

# Integral Humanism and the Modern World

V. Seshagiri Rao<sup>1</sup>, M.V. Srinivasa Rao<sup>2</sup>, T. Vijayababu<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1,2,3</sup>K.B.N. College (Autonomous), Vijayawada-520001, Andhra Pradesh, India

---

## ABSTRACT

**Integral humanism refers to a vision of a perfect human being confronted by a possible, utopian global world. It's about an integral human being, spiritual, imaginative, and rational, confronted with the three global networks he built to survive well balanced within the wholeness of existence: the functionally hierarchical network of states, the concurrent network of corporations, and the cooperative network of civil society. Integral humanism appears to be a dream, but it appears to be the only solution for the too skilled, tricky, and apparent spiritual being who dominates a limited natural environment in a recklessly authoritarian manner, while unable to control at least his inner and (possibly too) close natural instincts. Already presented remedies, which emphasised the importance of turning on rational or spiritual constructs at the level of the human mind or the society we live in, proved to be ineffective due to their simplicity. Its implications range from most complex organizations such as the government to the simplest ones aka our personal lives. Through this research paper an attempt has been made to study the implications of integral humanism in the modern world w.r.t. the contribution of Pandit Deen Dayal Upadhyay to the relevant thought process.**

**Key Words: Integral humanism, rational, environment, organization and government.**

---

## INTRODUCTION

To avoid confusion, we should state right away that the current viewpoint is of tangible logic of historical actions, not the logic of ideas and doctrines.

Primarily speaking, an explanation pertaining to this is that of simple logic of thoughts and principles, it is clear that there are numerous alternatives to the "pure" perspectives that should be considered. One can wonder what value these varied viewpoints have on a theoretical and abstract level. That is not something can be intended to be accomplished. In a nutshell, the general viewpoint is one of cultural philosophy rather than metaphysics. From this perspective, that of the material reason of human history's occurrences, it can be content with a relatively broad characterization of humanism.

To keep the debate going, let's say that humanism (and such a definition can be developed along a variety of lines) aims to make man more truly human and to manifest his original grandeur by allowing him to participate in everything that can enrich him in nature and history (by concentrating the world in man, almost in Max Scheler's words, and making man the centre of the universe). Certainly, the pagan knowledge, which attempted to "attach itself to" hut, according to the author of the Eudemian Ethics, is superior to tradition; and we are cautioned from defining humanism by excluding all references to the superhuman and denying all transcendence.

In a nutshell, what exactly are these historical occurrences? It is a tangible evolution moulded on the one hand by the internal logic of ideas and ideologies, and on the other hand by the human environment in which these ideas operate, as well as historical compulsions and acts of liberty. Necessity and contingency are marvellously adjusted in this concrete logic, and we may use the term "dialectic" to refer to this logic in the sense that has just been articulated, which is neither Hegelian nor Marxist. Furthermore, because we're discussing the practical and existential order of human life here, with the demands of the universe of desire and its palpable objectives, of emotion and action, this dialectic indicates a much faster and more violent movement than abstract reasoning. Technically tenable (rightly or incorrectly) positions are swept aside because they appear unliveable in practise, and not for a single person, but for the communal consciousness.

Here we see the particular flaw of classical humanism, which is more concerned with what it rejects, denies, and divides than with what it affirms; it is an anthropocentric view of man and civilization. Despite the fact that these are bad word choices, they conceal truth. We can argue that the error in question is thinking of nature as self-contained or self-sufficient. People imagine that there is a nature and a reason that are isolated from everything that

is not themselves reason, and that this is true nature and real reason, and that being a source of logic, "cannot be separated from humanistic values" instead of an open human nature and an open reason, which is genuine nature and real reason (Maritain and Catholique, 1939).

### **INTERGRAL HUMANISM BY PANDIT DEEN DAYAL**

Humanism's philosophy aids in the resolution of conflicts in these various areas, resulting in a synthesis of the individual's existence with that of society, state, and nation. Certain life activities can fuse struggle and peace, making them complementary rather than antagonistic. Any individual has the right to the fullest possible development and enjoyment. As a result, the social and economic system should be designed in such a way that each individual has ample opportunity for enjoyment and progress. Pandit Deen is a renowned astrologer. Integral humanism, as defined by Dayal Upadhyay, outlined the following key principles: Limited government intervention in business; promotion of private investment and entrepreneurship; and equal rewards for everybody. A plethora of little projects: mass manufacturing for mass consumption, a decentralised economy. Individual land ownership is encouraged, as is technological advancement, but mechanisation should not supplant livelihoods. Nonviolence and peace principles. Secular state having no linguistic, religious, racial, political, or other distinctions between majority and minority.

Pandit ji was a firm believer that capitalism benefits only the wealthy few, while communism and socialism benefit no one in the long run, because both doctrines deny the presence of the soul, and hence the spiritual oneness of humanity. These philosophies have failed to bring about human development and enjoyment, which should be the primary goals of human existence. Pandit Deendayal believed that all type of conflict, whether political or otherwise, has a solution in its cultural principles. He opposed the idea of a "one-size-fits-all" solution, believing that the problem and its remedy could not be applied equally due to cultural differences. He gave traditional Indian values a new lease on life and attempted to resurrect the ancient nationhood. His concept can be applied not just in politics, but also in other areas of man's existence, such as our nation's social, economic, and cultural realms.

### **INTEGRAL HUMANISM IN MODERN TIMES**

Integral Humanism aspires to give every human being with a dignified life while balancing the requirements of individuals with those of society and governments. Poverty affects a substantial portion of the world's population. Various development models have been tried on occasion in order to achieve integrated and sustainable growth. The concept of Integral Humanism, which encourages diversity and a tailor-made solution to varied situations, is an appropriate model that might serve the goal. Balance, according to Pandit Deendayal, is the key to success in both the material and spiritual worlds. Pandit DeenDayal Upadhaya's integral humanism has the potential to overcome many of India's current challenges. Some of India's biggest issues, such as sanitation, corruption, poor education, bonded labour, religious violence, unemployment, and others, require tailor-made solutions. Poverty affects a substantial portion of the world's population. After experimenting with a variety of development models with variable results, the world is looking for a comprehensive and long-term development model. Pandit Upadhyaya emphasised the importance of "Antyodaya" in eradicating extreme poverty in the country. Only through eliminating excessive competition and conflicts among individuals, groups, and nations, as well as creating a holistic interaction between the person, family, society, planet, and universe, can sustainable development be ensured. There is a worldwide call for integrated humanism to address issues such as pollution, poverty, unemployment, economic disparity, unrelenting consumerism, and mental stress.

Investigating Integral Humanism's roots in humanistic management ideas, the core theme of a country's economic system, like its political system, should be the all-round development of each individual. Without a suitable focus on human resources, an economic system will not be able to sustain itself. To avoid class conflict and power centralization, economic authority should be decentralised vertically. Indeed, the increased interest in ethics and corporate social responsibility, as well as the growing quantity of literature on the subject, appear to be one of the earliest symptoms of a shift in thinking. The philosophy of integrated humanism is easily relatable to certain well-known topics in economics and management. The humanistic ideals founded in Rogers and Maslow's views are viewed as having the roots of integral humanism philosophy. These ideas demonstrate a concern for personal development, self-acceptance, and social acceptance, or, to put it another way, they allow for a more human corporate world. Integral Humanism's basic argument is that all views regarding politics, economy, society, national organisation, international relations, and so on must stem from a proper knowledge of the human being, rather than from a philosophy. Two key concepts underpin Maslow's theory of motivation: (a) here are diverse and self-determining essential needs or motives, and (b) these purposes create a pyramid, with certain reasons taking precedence.

### **CONCLUSION**

Particular circumstances cannot precondition integral humanism. The human being as a whole, indestructibly

grounded in the fullness of life through its own world, must be considered as a natural and straightforward starting point in defining, implementing, and promoting integral humanism. It is easier to consider all of the complexities of human nature rather than making reductionist simplifications with highly complex consequences. A more complicated beginning is sometimes the result of a simpler evolution. Maslow hypothesised a number of distinct sets of basic human requirements. He assumed that there was a universal set of diverse impulses relating to physiology, safety, affection, esteem, and self-actualization. Maslow's emphasis on self-actualization emphasises the idea that all human objectives are not always related to physiological demands and propagates the notion that man is more than an economic creature. He is a physical, psychological, political, social, and religious entity, among other things. Maslow, like Panditj, advocates for the simultaneous and integrated functioning of each human being's body, mind and intellect, and soul, however in a hierarchical order. Similarly, Roger's Self theory provides a wide range of explanations for behaviour and what makes up a person. People, according to Rogers, strive to improve themselves. People, according to Rogers, have a desire to grow and realise their potential.

#### REFERENCES

- [1]. Roy, K., Vohra, N., & Budhwar, P. (2016). Rethinking management theory and practice for the Indian context: an international management perspective. *Thunderbird International Business Review*, 58(6), 507-513.5. <https://arisebharat.com/tag/ekatma-manav-darsha/> retrieved on 12/11/2017.
- [2]. Gosling, David (2001). *Religion and ecology in India and southeast Asia*. London New York: Routledge  
Yadav and Awasthi (2018), "Integral Humanism: Integrating Humanism with Management Development", <http://conference.nrjp.co.in/index.php/DDU/article/view/447/438>
- [3]. Abraham J (2019), "In Search of Dharma: Integral Humanism and the Political Economy of Hindu Nationalism", Vol. 42, Issue 1, pp: 16-32
- [4]. Maritain and Catholique (1939), "Integral Humanism and the Crisis of Modern Times", *The Review of Politics*, Vol. 1, Issue. 1, January 1939, pp: 1-17