

Approximate solutions for certain Integrodifferential equations of Volterra_Fredholm type

Omar M. Salih¹, Akram H. Mahmood²

^{1,2}Department of Mathematics, College of Education for Pure Science, University of Mosul, Mosul, Iraq

ABSTRACT

The main aim of this work is to investigate the approximate solutions for Volterra Fredholm integro_differential equations with given initial conditions. A certain integral inequality with explicit estimate is employed to obtain the results.

Keywords: approximate solutions, Integrodifferential equations, explicit estimate, closeness of solutions.

HOW TO CITE THIS ARTICLE

Omar M. Salih, Akram H. Mahmood, "Approximate solutions for certain Integrodifferential equations of Volterra_Fredholm type", International Journal of Enhanced Research in Science, Technology & Engineering, ISSN: 2319-7463, Vol. 7 Issue 4, April -2018.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let R^n be areal n-dimensional Euclidean space with an appropriate norm denoted by $|\cdot|$ and $R_+ = [0, \infty)$ be the given subsets of R , the set of real numbers.

In this work we study the following initial value problem (IVP, for short) for the Volterra -Fredholm integrodifferential equations of the form

$$x'(t) = f(t, x(t), x'(t), Hx(t), Kx(t)) \quad (1.1)$$

let $t \in R_+ = [0, \infty)$, with a given initial condition

$$x(0) = x_0, \quad (1.2)$$

Where

$$Hx(t) = \int_0^t h(t, \sigma, x(\sigma), x'(\sigma)) d\sigma \quad (1.3)$$

$$Kx(t) = \int_0^\beta k(t, \sigma, x(\sigma), x'(\sigma)) d\sigma, \quad \text{and} \quad (1.4)$$

$f \in C(R_+ \times R^n \times R^n \times R^n \times R^n, R^n)$, $h, k \in C(R_+^2 \times R^n \times R^n, R^n)$ known functions for $\sigma \leq t$, x is the unknown function has to be found, and $'$ denotes the derivative.

The special case of IVP such as

$$x'(t) = f(t, x(t), Hx(t)) \quad x(0) = x_0 \quad \text{and}$$

$$x'(t) = f(t, x(t), x'(t), Hx(t)) \quad x(0) = x_0,$$

have been studied by many authors see ^[1,4,5,6,7] and references there in.

Our aim in this paper is the extension of the results of ^[3] where the approximate solutions of (1.1)-(1.2) and other properties are estimate to get the results.

2- MAIN RESULTS

Let $x_i'(t) \in C(R_+, R^n)$ ($i = 1, 2$) be functions such that $x_i'(t)$ exist for $t \in R_+$ and satisfy the inequalities

$$|x_i'(t) - f(t, x_i(t), x_i'(t), Hx_i(t), Kx_i(t))| \leq \epsilon_i \quad (2.1)$$

For given constants $\epsilon_i \geq 0$, where it is assumed that the initial conditions

$$x_i(0) = x_i, \quad (2.2)$$

are fulfilled, then we call $x_i(t)$ the ϵ_i -approximate solutions with respect to IVP(1.1)-(1.2).

We need the following integral inequality, we establish it by the same technique used in [2].

Lemma. Let $u, a, b \in C(R_+, R_+)$ and for $s \leq t$; $e(t, s), Q(t, s), k(t, s), \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e(t, s), \frac{\partial}{\partial t} Q(t, s) \in C(R_+^2, R_+)$ and $a(t)$ is non-decreasing for $t \in R_+$. If

$$u(t) \leq a(t) + \int_0^\beta Q(t, \sigma)u(\sigma)d\sigma + \int_0^t [b(s)u(s) + e(t, s)u(s) + \int_0^s k(s, \sigma)u(\sigma)d\sigma + \int_0^\beta Q(s, \sigma)u(t)ds]ds \quad (2.3)$$

for $t \in R_+$, then

$$u(t) \leq \frac{a(t)}{1-d} \exp\left(\int_0^t [b(s) + A(s)]ds\right) \quad (2.4)$$

For $t \in R_+$, where

$$d = \int_0^\beta Q(0, \sigma) \exp\left(\int_0^\sigma [b(s) + A(s)]ds\right) d\sigma \quad (2.5)$$

$$A(t) = e(t, t) + \int_0^t [k(t, \sigma) + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e(t, \sigma)] + \int_0^\beta [Q(t, \sigma) + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} Q(t, \sigma)]d\sigma \quad (2.6)$$

Proof: From (2.3), we have

$$\frac{u(t)}{a(t)} \leq 1 + \int_0^\beta Q(t, \sigma) \frac{u(\sigma)}{a(t)} d\sigma + \int_0^t [b(s) \frac{u(s)}{a(t)} + e(t, s) \frac{u(s)}{a(t)} + \int_0^s k(s, \sigma) \frac{u(\sigma)}{a(t)} d\sigma + \int_0^\beta Q(s, \sigma) \frac{u(\sigma)}{a(t)} d\sigma] ds,$$

and since $\frac{u(s)}{a(t)} \leq \frac{u(s)}{a(s)}$

$$\frac{u(t)}{a(t)} \leq 1 + \int_0^\beta Q(t, \sigma) \frac{u(\sigma)}{a(\sigma)} d\sigma + \int_0^t [b(s) \frac{u(s)}{a(s)} + e(t, s) \frac{u(s)}{a(s)} + \int_0^s k(s, \sigma) \frac{u(\sigma)}{a(\sigma)} d\sigma + \int_0^\beta Q(s, \sigma) \frac{u(\sigma)}{a(\sigma)} d\sigma] ds \quad (2.7)$$

Let $w(t)$ denote the right hand side of (2.7), then $w(0) \geq 0$, $\frac{u(t)}{a(t)} \leq w(t)$, $w(t)$ is non-decreasing in $t \in R_+$ and

$$w(t) \leq 1 + \int_0^\beta Q(t, \sigma)w(\sigma)d\sigma + \int_0^t [b(s)w(s) + e(t, s)w(s) + \int_0^s k(s, \sigma)w(\sigma)d\sigma + \int_0^\beta Q(s, \sigma)w(\sigma)d\sigma]ds,$$

and

$$w'(t) \leq \int_0^\beta \frac{\partial}{\partial t} Q(t, \sigma)w(\sigma)d\sigma + b(t)w(t) + e(t, t)w(t) + \int_0^t \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e(t, s)w(s)ds + \int_0^t k(t, \sigma)w(\sigma)d\sigma + \int_0^\beta Q(t, \sigma)w(\sigma)d\sigma$$

$$w'(t) \leq b(t)w(t) + e(t, t)w(t) + \int_0^t [k(t, \sigma) + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e(t, \sigma)]w(\sigma)d\sigma + \int_0^\beta [Q(t, \sigma) + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} Q(t, \sigma)]w(\sigma)d\sigma$$

$$w'(t) \leq b(t)w(t) + A(t)w(t) \leq [b(t) + A(t)]w(t) \quad (2.8)$$

Integrating (2.8) from 0 to t gives

$$w(t) \leq w(0) \exp\left(\int_0^t [b(s) + A(s)]ds\right) \quad (2.9)$$

$$w(0) \leq 1 + \int_0^\beta Q(0, \sigma)w(\sigma)d\sigma$$

$$w(0)[1 - \int_0^\beta Q(0, \sigma) \exp\left(\int_0^\sigma [b(s) + A(s)]ds\right) d\sigma] \leq 1$$

$$w(0) \leq \frac{1}{1-d} \quad (2.10)$$

Using (2.10)in(2.9) and the fact that $\frac{u(t)}{a(t)} \leq w(t)$,we obtain the required inequality in (2.4).

In the following theorem deals with estimate the difference between the two approximate solutions of equation (1.1) with (2.2).

Theorem1. Assume that the functions f, h, k in equation (1.1) satisfy the conditions

$$|f(t, x, y, z, w) - f(t, \bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z}, \bar{w})| \leq M[|x - \bar{x}| + |y - \bar{y}| + |z - \bar{z}| + |w - \bar{w}|] \quad (2.11)$$

$$|h(t, \sigma, x, y) - h(t, s, \bar{x}, \bar{y})| \leq q_1(t, s)[|x - \bar{x}| + |y - \bar{y}|] \quad (2.12)$$

$$|k(t, s, x, y) - k(t, s, \bar{x}, \bar{y})| \leq q_2(t, s)[|x - \bar{x}| + |y - \bar{y}|] \quad (2.13)$$

Where $M \geq 0$ is a constant such that $M < 1$ and for $s \leq t$; $q_1(t, s), q_2(t, s), \frac{\partial}{\partial t} q_1(t, s), \frac{\partial}{\partial t} q_2(t, s) \in C(R_+^2, R_+)$.

Let $x_i(t)(i = 1,2)$ be respectively ϵ_i -a.pproximate solutions of equation (1.1)with(2.2)on R_+ such that

$$|x_1 - x_2| \leq \delta \quad (2.14)$$

Where $\delta \geq 0$ is a constant . Then

$$|x_1(t) - x_2(t)| + |x_1'(t) - x_2'(t)| \leq \alpha(t) \exp\left(\int_0^t \left[\frac{M}{1-M} + A_0(s)\right] ds\right) \quad (2.15)$$

for $t \in R_+$, where

$$\alpha(t) = \frac{(\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2)(t + 1) + \delta}{1 - M}, \quad (2.16)$$

$$A_0(t) = \frac{1}{1 - M} [q_1(t, t) + \int_0^t [q_1(t, \sigma) + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} q_1(t, \sigma)] d\sigma + \int_0^\beta [q_2(t, \sigma) + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} q_2(t, \sigma)] d\sigma] \quad (2.17)$$

Proof: since $x_i(t)(i = 1,2)$ for $t \in R_+$ are respectively ϵ_i -approximate solutions of equation (1.1) with (2.2), we have (2.1). Integrating both sides with respect to t from 0 tot ,we have

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon_i t &\geq \int_0^t |x_i'(s) - f(s, x_i(s), x_i'(s), Hx_i(s), kx_i(s))| ds \\ &\geq \left| \int_0^t \{x_i'(s) - f(s, x_i(s), x_i'(s), Hx_i(s), kx_i(s))\} ds \right| \\ &= \left| \{x_i(t) - x_i(0) - \int_0^t f(s, x_i(s), x_i'(s), Hx_i(s), kx_i(s)) ds\} \right|, \end{aligned} \quad (2.18)$$

For $i = 1,2$. from (2.18) and using the elementary inequalities

$$|v - z| \leq |v| + |z|, \quad |v| - |z| \leq |v - z| \quad (2.19)$$

We observe that

$$\begin{aligned} (\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2)t &\geq \left| \{x_1(t) - x_1(0) - \int_0^t f(s, x_1(s), x_1'(s), Hx_1(s), kx_1(s)) ds\} \right| \\ &\quad + \left| \{x_2(t) - x_2(0) - \int_0^t f(s, x_2(s), x_2'(s), Hx_2(s), kx_2(s)) ds\} \right| \\ &\geq \left| \{x_1(t) - x_1(0) - \int_0^t f(s, x_1(s), x_1'(s), Hx_1(s), kx_1(s)) ds\} - \{x_2(t) - x_2(0) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \int_0^t f(s, x_2(s), x_2'(s), Hx_2(s), kx_2(s)) ds\} \right| \\ &\geq |x_1(t) - x_2(t)| - |x_1(0) - x_2(0)| \\ &\quad - \left| \int_0^t f(s, x_1(s), x_1'(s), Hx_1(s), kx_1(s)) ds \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \int_0^t f(s, x_2(s), x_2'(s), Hx_2(s), kx_2(s)) ds \right| \end{aligned} \quad (2.20)$$

Moreover, from(2.1) and using the elementary inequalities in (2.19), we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} (\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2)t &\geq |x_1'(t) - f(t, x_1(t), x_1'(t), Hx_1(t), kx_1(t))| + |x_2'(t) - f(t, x_2(t), x_2'(t), Hx_2(t), kx_2(t))| \\ &\geq |\{x_1'(t) - f(t, x_1(t), x_1'(t), Hx_1(t), kx_1(t))\} - \{x_2'(t) - f(t, x_2(t), x_2'(t), Hx_2(t), kx_2(t))\}| \\ &\geq |x_1'(t) - x_2'(t)| \\ &\quad - |f(t, x_1(t), x_1'(t), Hx_1(t), kx_1(t)) \\ &\quad - f(t, x_2(t), x_2'(t), Hx_2(t), kx_2(t))|. \end{aligned} \quad (2.21)$$

Let $u(t) = |x_1(t) - x_2(t)| + |x_1'(t) - x_2'(t)|$ for $t \in R_+$. From(2.20), (2.21) and using the hypotheses ,we observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
 u(t) &\leq (\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2)t + |x_1(0) - x_2(0)| + (\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2) \\
 &\quad + \int_0^t |f(s, x_1(s), x_1'(s), Hx_1(s), Kx_1(s)) - f(s, x_2(s), x_2'(s), Hx_2(s), Kx_2(s))| \\
 &\quad + |f(t, x_1(t), x_1'(t), Hx_1(t), Kx_1(t)) - f(t, x_2(t), x_2'(t), Hx_2(t), Kx_2(t))| \\
 &\leq (\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2)(t + 1) + \delta + \int_0^t \{Mu(s) + \int_0^s q_1(s, \sigma)u(\sigma)d\sigma + \int_0^\beta q_2(s, \sigma)u(\sigma)d\sigma\}ds + Mu(t) \\
 &\quad + \int_0^t q_1(t, \sigma)u(\sigma)d\sigma + \int_0^\beta q_2(t, \sigma)u(\sigma)d\sigma
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.22}$$

From (2.22), it is easy to observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
 u(t) &\leq \alpha(t) + \frac{1}{1-M} \left[\int_0^\beta q_2(t, \sigma)u(\sigma)d\sigma \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \int_0^t \{Mu(s) + q_1(t, s)u(s) + \int_0^s q_1(s, \sigma)u(\sigma)d\sigma + \int_0^\beta q_2(s, \sigma)u(\sigma)d\sigma\} ds \right]
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.23}$$

Where $\alpha(t)$ is given by (2.16). Clearly $\alpha(t)$ is no decreasing for $t \in R_+$. Now a suitable application of Lemma to (2.23) yields (2.15).

Remark 1. Note that the estimate obtained in (2.15) yields not only a bound for the difference between the two approximate of solutions of equation (1.1) with (2.2) but also bound on the difference between their derivatives. If $x_1(t)$ is a solution of equation (1.1) with $x_1(0) = x_1$, then we see that $x_2(t) \rightarrow x_1(t)$ as $\epsilon_2 \rightarrow 0$ and $\delta \rightarrow 0$.

Consider the IVP (1.1)-(1.2) together with the following IVP

$$y(t) = g(t, y(t), y'(t), Hy(t), Ky(t)), \tag{2.24}$$

$$y(0) = y_0, \tag{2.25}$$

for $t \in R_+$, where H, K is given by (1.3), (1.4) and $g \in C(R_+ \times R^n \times R^n \times R^n \times R^n, R^n)$.

In the next theorem we provide conditions the closeness of the solution of IVP (1.1)-(1.2) and IVP (2.24)-(2.25).

Theorem 2. Assume that the function f, h, k in equation (1.1) satisfy the conditions (2.11), (2.12), (2.13) and there exist constants $\bar{\epsilon} \geq 0, \bar{\delta} \geq 0$ such that

$$|f(t, x, y, z, u) - g(t, x, y, z, u)| \leq \bar{\epsilon} \tag{2.26}$$

$$|x_0 - y_0| \leq \bar{\delta} \tag{2.27}$$

Where f, x_0 and g, y_0 are as in IVP (1.1)-(1.2) and IVP (2.24)-(2.25). Let $x(t)$ and $y(t)$ be respectively solutions of IVP (1.1)-(1.2) and IVP (2.24)-(2.25) on R_+ . Then

$$|x_1(t) - y_2(t)| + |x_1'(t) - y_2'(t)| \leq \gamma(t) \exp\left(\int_0^t \left[\frac{M}{1-M} + A_0(s)\right] ds\right) \tag{2.28}$$

for $t \in R_+$, where

$$\gamma(t) = \frac{\bar{\epsilon}(t+1) + \bar{\delta}}{1-M}, \tag{2.29}$$

and $A_0(t)$ is as in (2.17).

Proof: Let $r(t) = |x(t) - y(t)| + |x'(t) - y'(t)|$ for $t \in R_+$. Using that $x(t)$ and $y(t)$ be respectively solutions of IVP (1.1)-(1.2) and IVP (2.24)-(2.25) and the assumption, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
 r(t) &\leq |x_0 - y_0| + \int_0^t |f(s, x(s), x'(s), Hx(s), Kx(s)) - f(s, y(s), y'(s), Hy(s), Ky(s))| ds \\
 &\quad + \int_0^t |f(s, y(s), y'(s), Hy(s), Ky(s)) - g(s, y(s), y'(s), Hy(s), Ky(s))| ds \\
 &\quad + |f(t, x(t), x'(t), Hx(t), Kx(t)) - f(t, y(t), y'(t), Hy(t), Ky(t))| \\
 &\quad + |f(t, y(t), y'(t), Hy(t), Ky(t)) - g(t, y(t), y'(t), Hy(t), Ky(t))| \\
 &\leq \bar{\delta} + \int_0^t \{Mr(s) + \int_0^s q_1(s, \sigma)r(\sigma)d\sigma + \int_0^\beta q_2(s, \sigma)r(\sigma)d\sigma\} ds + \bar{\epsilon}t + Mr(t) \\
 &\quad + \int_0^t q_1(t, \sigma)r(\sigma)d\sigma + \int_0^\beta q_2(t, \sigma)r(\sigma)d\sigma + \bar{\epsilon} \\
 &= \bar{\epsilon}(t+1) + \bar{\delta} + Mr(t) + \int_0^\beta q_2(t, \sigma)r(\sigma)d\sigma \\
 &\quad + \int_0^t \{Mr(s) + q_1(t, s)r(s) + \int_0^s q_1(s, \sigma)r(\sigma)d\sigma + \int_0^\beta q_2(s, \sigma)r(\sigma)d\sigma\} ds
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.30}$$

From (2.30), we have

$$r(t) \leq \gamma(t) + \frac{1}{1-M} \left[\int_0^\beta q_2(t, \sigma) r(\sigma) d\sigma + \int_0^t \{Mr(s) + q_1(t, s)r(s) + \int_0^s q_1(s, \sigma)r(\sigma) d\sigma + \int_0^\beta q_2(s, \sigma)r(\sigma) d\sigma\} ds \right] \quad (2.31)$$

For $t \in R_+$, Where $\gamma(t)$ is given by (2.29). Clearly $\gamma(t)$ is no decreasing for $t \in R_+$. Now a suitable application of Lemma to (2.31) yields (2.28).

Remark 2. We note that the result given in theorem 2 relates the solutions of IVP(1.1)-(1.2) and IVP(2.24)-(2.25) in the sense that if f is close to g and x_0 is close to y_0 , then the solutions of IVP (1.1)-(1.2) and IVP (2.24)-(2.25) are also close together.

The following theorem gives conditions for an estimate of the difference between the solutions of IVP (1.1)-(1.2) and IVP (2.24)-(2.25).

Theorem 3: Assume that

$$|f(t, x, y, z, w) - g(t, \bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z}, \bar{w})| \leq L[|x - \bar{x}| + |y - \bar{y}| + |z - \bar{z}| + |w - \bar{w}|] \quad (2.32)$$

Where $L \geq 0$ is a constant such that $L < 1$ and the conditions (2.12), (2.13), and (2.27) hold. Let $x(t)$ and $y(t)$ be respectively, solutions of IVP (1.1)-(1.2) and IVP(2.24)-(2.25) on R_+ . Then

$$|x(t) - y(t)| + |x'(t) - y'(t)| \leq \left(\frac{\delta}{1-L}\right) \exp\left(\int_0^t \left[\frac{L}{1-L} + A_1(s)\right] ds\right) \quad (2.33)$$

Where

$$A_1(t) = \frac{1}{1-L} [q_1(t, t) + \int_0^t [q_1(t, \sigma) + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} q_1(t, \sigma)] d\sigma + \int_0^\beta [q_2(t, \sigma) + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} q_2(t, \sigma)] d\sigma] \quad (2.34)$$

Proof : Let $z(t) = |x(t) - y(t)| + |x'(t) - y'(t)|$ for $t \in R_+$. Using the facts that $x(t)$ and $y(t)$ are respectively, solutions of IVP (1.1)-(1.2) and IVP (2.24)-(2.25) and the assumptions, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} z(t) &\leq |x_0 - y_0| + \int_0^t |f(s, x(s), x'(s), Hx(s), K(s)) - g(s, y(s), y'(s), Hy(s), Ky(s))| ds \\ &\quad + |f(s, x(s), x'(s), Hx(s), K(s)) - g(s, y(s), y'(s), Hy(s), Ky(s))| \\ &\leq \delta + \int_0^t \{Lz(s) + \int_0^s q_1(s, \sigma)z(\sigma) d\sigma + \int_0^\beta q_2(s, \sigma)z(\sigma) d\sigma\} ds + Lz(t) \\ &\quad + \int_0^t q_1(t, \sigma)z(\sigma) d\sigma + \int_0^\beta q_2(t, \sigma)z(\sigma) d\sigma \end{aligned} \quad (2.35)$$

From (2.35), we have

$$z(t) \leq \frac{\delta}{1-L} + \frac{1}{1-L} \left[\int_0^\beta q_2(t, \sigma)z(\sigma) d\sigma + \int_0^t \{Lz(s) + q_1(t, s)z(s) + \int_0^s q_1(s, \sigma)z(\sigma) d\sigma + \int_0^\beta q_2(s, \sigma)z(\sigma) d\sigma\} ds \right] \quad (2.36)$$

Now an application of Lemma to (2.36) yields (2.33).

REFERENCES

- [1] Akram H. Mahood, Lamyaa H. Sadoon, (2012), "Existence and uniqueness of solutions for certain nonlinear mixed Type integral and integro-differential equations", Rat. J. of Comp. and M. Math., vol.9, No. 1, 163-173.
- [2] B. G. Pachpatte, (2006), "Integral and finite difference inequalities and application", North Holland mathematics studies, 205, Elsevier science, B.V., Amsterdam.
- [3] B. G. Pachpatte, (2010), "Implicit type volterra integrodifferential equations", Tamkang J. Math. 41, 97-107.
- [4] B. G. Pachpatte, (2010), "Approximate solutions for integrodifferential equations of the neutral type", Comment. Math. Univ. Caroline ,51,3,489-501.
- [5] C. Corduneanu, (1991), "Integral equations and applications", Cambridge University Press, first edition, 124-125.
- [6] H. Brunner, (2004), "Collection method for volterra integral and related functional differential equations", Cambridge University Press, first edition ,155,175.
- [7] Noora L. Husein, (2018), "Existence and uniqueness of mild solution of certain nonlinear integrodifferential", IJERSTE, Vol.7, Issue 1, 23-30.

