International Journal of Enhanced Research in Management & Computer Applications,
ISSN: 2319-7471, Vol. 14 Issue 6, June, 2025

Workplace Discrimination and the Ethical Responsibility of Hr Managers

Monica Bhrara

Manager H.R, K.L. Bhrara & Co. Pvt. Ltd.

ABSTRACT

Workplace discrimination – treating employees or applicants unfavorably due to personal attributes – remains a pervasive issue. Despite international laws and corporate policies, "millions of people around the world continue to be denied opportunities and fair treatment because of identity and beliefs"[1]. Human resource managers sit at the intersection of hiring, promotion, and discipline decisions, so they must understand how discrimination can occur and intervene ethically[2][3]. Effective HR leadership builds trust and fairness in the workplace.

Image: A businesswoman and colleagues shaking hands at an office meeting, symbolizing trust and fairness. As one commentator notes, HR professionals are "responsible for making decisions about employees… [and] require a sophisticated understanding of how discrimination can occur"[2]. In contemporary organizations, discrimination undermines morale and productivity and exposes companies to legal penalties. For example, the U.S. Supreme Court has reaffirmed that workers must not face bias on the basis of race, sex, national origin or other protected categories[3]. Thus preventing discrimination is both a moral imperative and a legal necessity. HR managers must foster inclusive practices to uphold human rights and organizational values[1][3].

Types of Discrimination

Discrimination in the workplace takes many forms. It can be **direct** (explicit adverse treatment) or **indirect** (neutral rules that disproportionately harm a protected group)[4]. For example, requiring all employees to work weekends may unintentionally disadvantage those of certain faiths – an instance of indirect discrimination. Harassment (unwelcome conduct based on a protected trait) and retaliation (punishing an employee for reporting discrimination) are also unlawful forms of discrimination. Broadly, most countries outlaw bias against particular personal characteristics. Common protected categories include:

- **Gender/Sex:** Discrimination based on gender or pregnancy. This covers issues like unequal pay, pregnancy bias, and sexual harassment. Laws such as the U.S. Equal Pay Act and Title VII forbid gender-based discrimination[5]. Yet gaps remain: on average worldwide women earn only about 77 cents for every dollar paid to men[6]. Even policies like maternity leave and accommodations can give rise to disputes if not handled fairly.
- **Race/Ethnicity:** Discrimination based on race, color, or national origin. This includes overt bias (slurs, exclusion) and more subtle forms (stereotyping, profiling). For example, under U.S. law race is a protected trait[5]. Many organizations have faced high-profile cases: one report described a Black worker at Tesla's factory who was subjected to pervasive racial slurs and even had a manager greet employees with "welcome to the plantation"[7]. Such cases highlight the need for HR vigilance.
- **Disability:** Discrimination against individuals with physical or mental impairments. Many jurisdictions (e.g. the U.S. ADA) require *reasonable accommodations* and forbid treating disabled applicants or employees less favorably[5]. Failure to provide aids (like specialized software or accessible facilities) can constitute illegal bias. Globally, disability discrimination is severe: up to 90% of disabled people are unemployed in developing countries[8], underscoring the importance of equitable hiring and support.
- **Age:** Bias toward older or younger workers. The U.S. Age Discrimination in Employment Act protects workers 40 and older[5], and other countries have similar laws. Ageism can manifest in denying promotions to older staff or excluding younger candidates based on assumptions. Organizations must balance experience with opportunity and avoid age stereotypes.
- **Sexual Orientation and Gender Identity:** Harassment or adverse treatment because of a person's sexual orientation or gender identity. While legal protection varies worldwide, many places (including recent U.S. Supreme Court rulings) now recognize that firing or harassing someone for being gay or transgender is unlawful. Inclusive HR policies should cover LGBTQ+ rights explicitly.

- **Religion:** Refusing to accommodate religious practices (e.g. prayer times, dress) or disparaging someone's faith is illegal discrimination in many countries. For example, U.S. laws require reasonable accommodations for religious observance unless it causes undue hardship.
- **Other Traits:** Other protected characteristics may include color, nationality, caste, or marital/family status. In India, for instance, the Constitution forbids discrimination on "ground of religion, race, caste, sex or place of birth"[9] and special laws (e.g. the SC/ST Act) protect historically marginalized castes[10]. Workplace bias can also arise on grounds like pregnancy (unless protected by maternity laws) or political belief, depending on local statutes.

HR must recognize both *direct* and *indirect* bias. Direct discrimination occurs when, for example, a woman is explicitly paid less than a man for the same job[4]. Indirect discrimination arises when a neutral rule (say, a height requirement) disadvantageously affects a group (such as some religious minorities)[4]. In practice, addressing discrimination requires identifying these varied forms and protected classes, then ensuring policies and decisions (from recruiting to promotions) are free from unlawful bias[5][11].

Legal Framework

Numerous international and national laws forbid workplace discrimination. At the global level, instruments like the **ILO Discrimination (Employment and Occupation) Convention No. 111** set standards. The ILO defines discrimination broadly as treating someone "less favourably… because of characteristics that are not related to the person's competencies or the inherent requirements of the job"[12]. This Convention forbids bias based on race, color, sex, religion, political opinion, national origin or social origin, and by extension age, disability, sexual orientation and other grounds[11]. Similarly, the **UN's Universal Declaration of Human Rights** guarantees "the right to equality" at work, and the **UN Convention on the Elimination of Racial Discrimination** (and analogous treaties) obligate signatory states to protect workers against discrimination. In business practice, the UN Global Compact emphasizes that companies should base hiring and promotion on "capabilities, qualifications and experience" to uphold both the letter and spirit of such laws[12].

Regionally and nationally, many jurisdictions have comprehensive anti-discrimination statutes. In the **United States**, Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 makes it unlawful to discriminate on the basis of race, color, religion, sex or national origin in employment[5]. It also covers sexual harassment (as a form of sex discrimination). The **Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA)** prohibits disability bias, and the **Age Discrimination in Employment Act (ADEA)** protects employees 40 and older[5]. The **Equal Pay Act** requires equal wages for equal work regardless of gender. Enforcement of these federal laws is overseen by the U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC). As one legal guide notes, *"Title VII of the Civil Rights Act… prohibits discrimination based on race, gender, age, disability, religion, national origin"*, and HR must ensure employees do not face discrimination on these bases[5]. HR teams are expected to "recognize, report, and correctly manage any violations" of such laws[13], balancing the company's legal compliance with employees' rights.

In **India**, the constitutional guarantee of equality (Article 14) and the right to non-discrimination (Article 15) forbid bias on religion, race, caste, sex or birthplace[9]. However, India lacks a single omnibus anti-discrimination law; protections arise from multiple acts. The *Equal Remuneration Act (1976)* mandates equal pay for men and women[10]. The *Rights of Persons with Disabilities Act (2016)* prohibits denying jobs to persons with disabilities[10]. The *Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act (1989)* bars workplace discrimination against members of those castes[10]. For sexual harassment, the *POSH (Sexual Harassment of Women at Workplace) Act 2013* requires every organization to have an internal complaints committee and policy to address harassment[14]. Similarly, many countries (e.g. the UK's Equality Act 2010, and the EU's anti-discrimination directives) cover broad protected classes and impose duties on employers. In all cases, HR managers must stay current on applicable laws where they operate, because violating discrimination statutes can lead to legal sanctions, financial liability and reputational harm.

Ethical Frameworks in HR Management

Beyond legal compliance, HR decisions are guided by ethical principles. Classic ethical theories offer useful lenses:

- **Utilitarianism** (consequentialism) holds that the ethically right action is the one that maximizes overall good (e.g. happiness or well-being). In the HR context, this means crafting policies that benefit the majority of employees. For example, a utilitarian approach might support restructuring office layouts to satisfy the greatest number of workers[15]. However, pure utilitarianism can risk overlooking minority rights if not carefully balanced.

- **Deontological ethics** focuses on duties and rules. According to this view, some actions are simply right or wrong regardless of consequences. In HR, a deontological stance means always treating employees as ends in themselves and upholding principles like fairness, honesty and respect. For instance, a deontological HR manager would insist on following fair hiring procedures even if breaking the rules might benefit the company in the short term[16]. The

emphasis is on *process*: consistent rules, equal treatment, and honoring promises (e.g. honoring agreed interview dates or published criteria for promotion).

- **Virtue ethics** emphasizes the character and virtues of the decision-maker. A virtuous HR leader cultivates traits like integrity, compassion and courage. This might manifest in mentoring programs, ethical leadership training, and recognizing employees who embody company values[17]. Virtue ethics suggests HR should "lead by example," demonstrating empathy and fairness in everyday interactions. While rules and outcomes matter, this approach reminds HR to also consider *what a good person would do* and to build an ethical culture from the ground up.

These frameworks overlap with professional values. For example, utilitarian thinking aligns with promoting overall employee well-being (a "greatest good" for the workforce), deontology aligns with strict adherence to fairness and anti-discrimination laws, and virtue ethics resonates with the SHRM Code's call for HR to treat "all employees with dignity, respect and compassion"[18]. Together, they remind HR managers to consider consequences, duties and character when shaping policies. In practice, ethical HR decisions often incorporate elements of all three: aiming for positive outcomes while respecting rights and fostering integrity.

HR Managers' Ethical Responsibilities

HR managers have a duty to create a workplace free from discrimination. This involves not only following the law but also embodying ethical leadership. Professional codes underscore HR's role in promoting fairness. As the SHRM Code of Ethics states, "As human resource professionals, we are ethically responsible for promoting and fostering fairness and justice for all employees and their organizations"[18]. HR must translate this into action.

Key responsibilities include:

- **Policy and Training:** HR should develop and enforce a clear anti-discrimination policy. The EEOC recommends training *all* employees (especially managers and HR staff) on equal opportunity laws and diversity best practices[19]. According to EEOC guidelines, employers must have a strong harassment policy, *periodically train* employees on it, and *vigorously enforce* it[20]. This means routine anti-bias training, clear communication of expectations, and regular reminders of zero-tolerance for harassment.
- **Impartial Investigation:** When a complaint arises, HR must act promptly and impartially. The EEOC emphasizes a "prompt, thorough, and impartial investigation" of harassment claims, with documented corrective action[20]. HR must protect confidentiality, interview witnesses, and take corrective steps if wrongdoing is found. For example, in a recent EEOC case the Commissioner remarked that "employers must take prompt and effective action to address complaints" of racial or sexual harassment[21]. HR should also follow up to ensure the issue is resolved.
- **Protection from Retaliation:** HR must guard against retaliation. Employees often fear speaking up, so HR should reassure them of protection. EEOC guidelines specifically instruct employers to "provide assurances" that complainants will not face retaliation[22]. In practice, this may involve anonymous reporting channels or whistleblower policies. HR must enforce anti-retaliation rules: any act of punishing an employee for reporting discrimination is itself unlawful.
- **Fair Procedures:** All HR actions (recruiting, promotion, compensation, discipline) must use neutral, objective criteria. To avoid hidden bias, employers are advised to "establish neutral and objective criteria" and standardize selection processes[23]. For instance, HR can use structured interviews and job-related evaluation metrics. Compensation and performance reviews should be regularly audited for disparities[24]. For example, one study noted that proactive pay audits (like Salesforce's $12.2 million equity audit) can eliminate gender and racial pay gaps[25].
- **Advocacy and Advice:** HR should counsel managers on equitable treatment and advise leadership about legal and ethical obligations. HR's "job is to protect both employers and employees from harmful actions," including ensuring decisions are ethical as well as legal[13]. The SHRM Code reminds HR to support organizational decisions that are "both ethical and legal," even if this conflicts with short-term business pressures[26]. In practice, this means HR must sometimes push back on managers or executives if a proposed decision (like terminating an older employee without cause) violates legal or ethical standards.
- **Culture Building:** Beyond policies, HR leaders shape culture. They should model respect and encourage transparency. A code of ethics explicitly says HR should "foster a trusting work environment free of harassment, intimidation, and unlawful discrimination"[18]. This involves recognizing and rewarding inclusive behavior and ensuring that diversity and inclusion are woven into the organizational culture, not treated as mere paperwork.

In sum, HR managers must vigilantly enforce anti-discrimination rules, educate the workforce, and handle complaints ethically. As one HR specialist warns: "HR managers play a crucial role in preventing discrimination… [and] must be able to recognize, report, and correctly manage any violations"[13]. Upholding both legal mandates and moral standards is essential for fair treatment of employees.

Challenges for HR Professionals

Balancing the interests of the organization and its employees often places HR professionals in difficult positions. Common challenges include:

- **Conflicting Stakeholder Demands:** HR often faces *dual loyalties* – to the employer (who wants efficiency or profit) and to employees (who seek fair treatment). As one expert observes, "many leaders mistakenly believe ethics is solely the responsibility of HR… Ethical conduct cannot be siloed to one department"[27]. In reality, HR is *uniquely positioned* to promote ethics but "cannot do it on [its] own" – it needs top leadership commitment[28]. In day-to-day practice, this means HR may feel pressure when a "high performer" violates policy. The Uber example (below) illustrates how some managers resisted disciplining a star employee accused of harassment. HR professionals must navigate these pressures and advocate for lawful, fair outcomes even if executives balk.
- **Ethical Dilemmas:** HR regularly encounters complex dilemmas with no clear-cut answers. As a practitioner notes, HR is "caught in complex situations under immense pressure and conflicting directions… 'You feel you cannot… satisfy all your stakeholders at the same time.'"[29]. For example, allocating limited training budgets between departments or deciding when to promote can raise tough questions of fairness. HR must carefully weigh competing values (e.g. productivity versus equity) and apply ethical reasoning from the frameworks above.
- **Unconscious Bias:** Subtle, unintentional bias is difficult to eliminate. HR teams are not immune to stereotypes or "affinity bias" (favoring people like themselves). This can affect hiring or evaluation unless checked. The EEOC advises using "neutral and objective criteria" to guard against hidden biases[23]. In practice, HR may conduct training on unconscious bias, use diverse hiring panels, or anonymize resumes. Still, changing ingrained attitudes is a slow process.
- **Fear of Retaliation:** Even with anti-retaliation policies, employees may hesitate to speak up about discrimination. Ensuring genuine trust is hard; some workers fear losing their job if they complain. HR must constantly work to build confidence in reporting systems. One EEOC bulletin stresses that companies should *guarantee* protection: if employees make discrimination claims, "they will be protected"[22]. Overcoming employee skepticism is a challenge.
- **Regulatory and Cultural Complexity:** Multinational organizations must navigate different legal regimes and cultural norms. What is required or taboo in one country may differ elsewhere. HR must ensure local compliance (e.g., EU anti-discrimination directives, U.S. laws, or religious norms) while upholding the company's global standards. This can cause tension if local practices clash with universal values of fairness. HR also must manage language and cultural barriers in communication and training.
- **Resource Limitations:** Not all organizations have ample resources for diversity initiatives. Small businesses or tight budgets can limit training, hiring practices or outreach. HR must find cost-effective ways (like e-learning, online tools, or leveraging free guidance from bodies like the EEOC or ILO) to implement best practices. Additionally, collecting and analyzing data (on pay gaps, promotion rates, etc.) requires time and expertise that some HR departments lack.

Overall, HR's challenges center on balancing competing demands and operating within imperfect systems. It requires courage to stand up for ethical principles. Acknowledging these difficulties is the first step; the next is finding pragmatic ways to uphold standards.

Case Examples

Uber (2017): In a now-famous example, engineer Susan Fowler reported repeated sexual harassment by a manager at Uber. Instead of disciplining the manager, Uber's HR failed her. Fowler's public account describes how HR and management defended the harasser as a "high performer." She was told *"even though this was clearly sexual harassment… they wouldn't feel comfortable giving him anything other than a warning"*[30]. When Fowler pointed out that other women had complained about him, HR insisted "he had never been reported before" and refused further action[31][32]. This inaction and intimidation of the victim led to outcry and eventually overhaul of Uber's culture. The case illustrates how unethical HR responses (protecting the harasser and punishing the complainant) can deepen injustice and cause public scandal.

Tesla (2024–25): A Reuters investigation detailed racial discrimination at Tesla's Fremont factory. A Black female operator reported that the "n-word" was painted in restrooms and a manager often greeted workers with "welcome to the plantation."[7]. Tesla initially denied such incidents. After multiple lawsuits, a jury awarded one worker $137 million (later settled). These cases underscore how entrenched bias can exist even in innovative companies, and how HR's failure to address a known problem resulted in huge legal penalties and public relations damage.

United Airlines (2025): The EEOC reported a settlement where United Airlines agreed to pay $99,000 to an Asian-American employee who endured racial slurs and graffiti[21]. The agency noted that United's HR had delayed investigating the complaint. An EEOC official emphasized that *"Employers must take prompt and effective action to address complaints"* of discrimination[21]. United was also required to update its anti-discrimination policies. This case shows that

even established companies can falter in handling bias, and regulators expect HR to act swiftly when notified of misconduct.

These examples highlight the consequences of inadequate HR action: they resulted in costly settlements and reputational harm. Conversely, they underscore HR's ethical responsibility to diligently enforce rules. When HR acts decisively against discrimination (by listening to victims, investigating thoroughly, and disciplining offenders), such cases can often be resolved internally before escalating. Best-practice leadership would have avoided the harm: Uber's HR should have believed and protected Fowler; Tesla's leadership should have enforced zero-tolerance; United's HR should have acted immediately on the complaint.

Best Practices and Recommendations

To build an ethical, discrimination-free workplace, HR leaders should adopt proactive, comprehensive strategies:

- **Clear, Enforced Policies:** Develop a detailed anti-discrimination policy that defines prohibited conduct and outlines complaint procedures. Ensure the policy is widely communicated. The EEOC recommends that such policies include a clear reporting process and statement that retaliation is forbidden[20]. Regularly update the policy to reflect new legal requirements (e.g. recent laws on gender identity or remote work).
- **Comprehensive Training:** Conduct ongoing training for all staff (especially managers) on diversity, equity and inclusion. This includes unconscious bias workshops, bystander intervention training, and culturally competent communication. Training should emphasize real scenarios and "soft skills" for respectful behavior. As one study advises, embed training within larger diversity initiatives and ensure it is supported by upper management[33]. Critically, measure training effectiveness: track whether it leads to increased reporting of incidents and greater awareness of rights.
- **Diverse Recruiting and Evaluation:** Use standardized, objective hiring and promotion criteria. Widen candidate pools to include underrepresented groups (e.g. partnering with diverse job boards). Implement blind resume review or structured interviews to reduce bias. Regularly review promotion and performance data by demographic group. The EEOC suggests establishing *"neutral and objective criteria"* for hiring to avoid hidden biases[23]. Likewise, companies like Salesforce use regular pay equity audits to detect any unexplained gaps[25].
- **Accountability Metrics:** Track diversity and equity metrics over time. For example, monitor the hiring rates, retention, and reported inclusion levels of different groups[33]. Incorporate these metrics into HR's performance reviews and the company's strategic goals. Linking part of leadership compensation to diversity outcomes can also signal commitment.
- **Leadership Involvement:** Ensure senior executives actively champion diversity. The HRM Online guide emphasizes that ethics "must be woven into every business decision… as HR practitioners, we cannot do it on our own"[27][28]. Leaders should publicly endorse inclusion efforts (e.g. participate in training, speak at diversity events) and hold managers accountable for infractions. Visible leadership commitment makes HR's job easier and embeds ethics into the culture.
- **Effective Reporting Systems:** Provide multiple, confidential channels for employees to report concerns (hotlines, ombudsperson, online forms). Assure anonymity where possible. Respond quickly to reports, as delays can discourage complaining. Follow the EEOC's guidance to "protect against retaliation" at all costs[22]. Publicize the availability of support resources (counseling, legal advice) for those involved in complaints.
- **Promote Inclusion:** Beyond compliance, cultivate a genuinely inclusive environment. Support Employee Resource Groups or mentoring programs for underrepresented staff. Celebrate diversity (e.g. cultural events). Encourage open dialogue about bias and difference. If subtle issues arise (microaggressions, cultural misunderstandings), address them immediately through coaching and conversation rather than ignoring them.
- **Ethical Leadership and Culture:** Model the values in everyday operations. Integrate ethics discussions into leadership development and performance reviews[34]. Institute "ethical audits" (periodic assessments of culture) and risk assessments to identify hotspots. Recognize and reward managers who exemplify fairness and respect, reinforcing that ethical leadership is valued.
- **Stay Current and Seek Advice:** Keep abreast of legal developments (e.g. new federal or local laws). Consult legal counsel or external experts when complex cases arise. HR should also network with peers (e.g. through SHRM or industry groups) to share best practices. Many professional bodies publish updated guidelines for discrimination prevention, which HR should follow.

Implementing these best practices requires commitment and resources, but they pay dividends. Fair workplaces have lower turnover, higher engagement and better reputation – which benefits the bottom line. Indeed, research shows that organizations embracing diversity outperform peers: companies with the highest levels of racial and gender diversity are significantly more likely to have above-average profitability[35]. Thus, ethical HR leadership not only fulfills a moral duty, it also makes sound business sense.

CONCLUSION

Workplace discrimination is a critical challenge in modern organizations. HR managers stand on the front lines in preventing bias and building an ethical culture. Through a solid understanding of the law, application of ethical principles, and proactive policies, HR can mitigate discrimination and promote justice. The stakes are high: not only do individual workers suffer when bias is ignored, but companies face legal risk and reputational damage. By learning from case examples and following best practices (such as strong training, objective procedures, and leadership accountability), HR professionals can fulfill their ethical responsibilities. Ultimately, ethical HR leadership yields a more engaged, diverse workforce and upholds the core values of the organization. As one professional code succinctly puts it, HR's role is to *"promote and foster fairness and justice for all employees"*[18] – a principle that should guide every HR decision and action.

REFERENCES

[1]. Devine, P. G., & Ash, T. L. (2022). *Diversity Training Goals, Limitations, and Promise: A Review of the Multidisciplinary Literature*. Annual Review of Psychology, 73, 403–429. [33]
[2]. Dunn, A. (2025, December 12). *17 HR Compliance Challenges Every Business Must Solve in 2025*. Deliberate Directions. [36][25]
[3]. Fowler, S. (2017, February 19). *Reflecting on one very, very strange year at Uber* [Blog post]. Retrieved from https://susanqfowler.com/reflecting-on-one-very-strange-year-at-uber[30]
[4]. Global Compact. (n.d.). *Discrimination in the workplace & supply chains*. United Nations Global Compact Business & Human Rights Resource Centre. Retrieved from https://bhr-navigator.unglobalcompact.org/issues/discrimination[12]
[5]. Global People Strategist. (2025, June 24). *A Quick Guide to Indian Discrimination Laws*. Retrieved from https://www.globalpeoplestrategist.com/news-and-policy/a-quick-guide-to-indian-discrimination-laws[9][10]
[6]. Inbar, Z. (2024, July 26). *An HR leader's guide to nurturing an ethics-first culture*. HRM Online (Australian HR Institute). Retrieved from https://www.hrmonline.com.au/section/featured/nurturing-an-ethics-first-culture/[27][29]
[7]. Stempel, J. (2025, April 17). *Tesla settles Black employee's lawsuit alleging pervasive harassment*. Reuters. Retrieved from https://www.reuters.com/legal/tesla-settles-black-employees-lawsuit-alleging-pervasive-harassment-2025-04-17/[7]
[8]. Society for Human Resource Management. (n.d.). *SHRM Code of Ethics*. Retrieved from https://www.shrm.org/about-shrm/pages/code-of-ethics.aspx[18][26]
[9]. Tulane University Law School. (2024, January 8). *The Fundamentals of HR Employment Law for Compliance*. Retrieved from https://online.law.tulane.edu/blog/employment-law-and-hr-understanding-the-basics-and-staying-compliant/[37][13]
[10]. U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission. (2025, January 6). *United Airlines to Pay $99,000 in EEOC Discrimination Case*. Retrieved from https://www.eeoc.gov/newsroom/united-airlines-pay-99000-eeoc-discrimination-case[21]
[11]. U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission. (n.d.). *Best Practices for Employers and HR/EEO Professionals: How to Prevent Race and Color Discrimination (E-RACE)*. Retrieved from https://www.eeoc.gov/eeoc/task_force/harassment/preventing_harass.html[19][22]
[12]. [1] [6] [8] [11] [12] [35] Discrimination in the Workplace & Supply Chains | BHR Navigator https://bhr-navigator.unglobalcompact.org/issues/discrimination/
[13]. [2] Discrimination in Organizations – Human Resources Management – Canadian Edition https://opentextbooks.concordia.ca/hrmcanadian/chapter/3-2-diversity-plans/
[14]. [3] [5] [13] [37] HR Employment Law Basics: How Can You Stay Compliant? https://online.law.tulane.edu/blog/employment-law-and-hr-understanding-the-basics-and-staying-compliant
[15]. [4] Discrimination in the workplace | CIPD https://www.cipd.org/uk/knowledge/factsheets/discrimination-workplace-factsheet/
[16]. [7] Tesla settles Black employee's lawsuit alleging pervasive harassment | Reuters https://www.reuters.com/business/autos-transportation/tesla-settles-black-employees-lawsuit-alleging-pervasive-harassment-2025-04-17/
[17]. [9] [10] [14] A Quick Guide to Indian Discrimination Laws - Global People Strategist https://globalpeoplestrategist.com/a-quick-guide-to-indian-discrimination-laws/
[18]. [15] [16] [17] [27] [28] [29] [34] An HR leader's guide to nurturing an ethics-first culture - HRM online https://www.hrmonline.com.au/section/featured/nurturing-an-ethics-first-culture/
[19]. [18] Southern New Mexico SHRM - Code of Ethics https://snmshrm.org/Code_of_Ethics

[20]. [19] [20] [22] [23] [24] BEST PRACTICES FOR EMPLOYERS AND HUMAN RESOURCES/EEO PROFESSIONALS | U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission
https://www.eeoc.gov/initiatives/e-race/best-practices-employers-and-human-resourceseeo-professionals
[21]. [21] United Airlines to Pay $99,000 in EEOC Discrimination Case | U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission
https://www.eeoc.gov/newsroom/united-airlines-pay-99000-eeoc-discrimination-case
[22]. [25] [36] 17 HR Compliance Challenges Every Business Must Solve in 2025
https://deliberatedirections.com/hr-compliance-challenges-2025/
[23]. [26] Code of Ethics
https://www.shrm.org/legal/bylaws-and-code-of-ethics/code-of-ethics
[24]. [30] [31] [32] Reflecting on one very, very strange year at Uber — Susan Fowler
https://www.susanjfowler.com/blog/2017/2/19/reflecting-on-one-very-strange-year-at-uber
[25]. [33] Diversity Training Goals, Limitations, and Promise: A Review of the Multidisciplinary Literature - PMC
https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC8919430/