

Minimalism and Spatial Dynamics in Contemporary Indian Theatre: A Comparative Study of Badal Sircar and Annie Zaidi

Menaka Rajput

Assistant Professor in English, Department of Higher Education, J&K, Govt M.A.M College Jammu

ABSTRACT

This paper explores how minimalism and spatial dynamics contribute to the modern Indian theatre by looking at how the two writers, Badal Sircar and Annie Zaidi have contributed to this field. It discusses the way in which the two playwrights used minimalist methods as a way of breaking the proscenium theatre tradition and developing more entertaining and significant performances. The work of the Badal Sircar, “Third Theatre lays stress on open spaces, the lack of props and the direct contact with the audience which turns the theatre into the medium of participation and social relevance. His style emphasises access, experience, and politics. Annie Zaidi, in her turn, is more systematic and symbolic in her application of space, and is concerned with psychological richness, emotional richness, and modern social problems, including identity and gender. The spatial dynamics of Sircar are dynamic and interactive, whereas the ones of Zaidi are regulated and reflective, the development of theatrical practices. The paper also examines the role of space as an active component of performance, which influences the perception of the audience and the sense of narratives. The paper is based on a secondary research methodology, utilizing academic sources to find out major similarities and differences in their methods. The results show that minimalism boosts creativity, lessens production limitations, and enhances audience interest. In the end, the paper comes to the conclusion that minimalism and spatial innovation still contribute to redefining the modern Indian theatre, making it more inclusive, flexible, and socially relevant.

Keywords - Minimalism, Spatial Dynamics, Contemporary Indian theatre, Audience engagement.

INTRODUCTION

The minimalism and spatial dynamics has now become important aesthetics and ideological tools used in the modern Indian theatre and has helped the playwrights and directors to no longer be constrained by the traditional proscenium traditions and conventions, but instead find ways to engage audiences through more intimate and provocative means. This change is part of a wider change in theatrical language, in which the focus has not been on detailed sets and technological display, but on how space, body, and story can be creatively utilized. The examples of minimalism and spatial innovation redefining theatrical experience in India, the works of Badal Sircar and Annie Zaidi in this context make a strong point.

Badal Sircar, one of the pioneers of the movement of the so-called Third Theatre, opposed the constraints of commercial theatre and the traditional folk theater by promoting a more flexible approach based on actors. He usually performed in unconventional or open spaces, erasing the barriers between the actors and the audience. Sircar utilized very few props and focused on physical movement and direct interaction with the audience and turned space into an active and participatory part of the storytelling. His minimalist was not just an aesthetic facet but a political facet to bring theatre close and socially relevant.

Annie Zaidi, on the contrary, is a more modern voice, who incorporates minimalist techniques into contemporary dramaturgical practices and deals with the urban realities and multifaceted societal issues. Her dramas frequently use minimal staging and symbolic space to accentuate emotional richness and dramatic tension. In contrast to the more interactive nature of the spaces created by Sircar, the spatial dynamics created by Zaidi are more formal, but they are also defiant of the conventional stage rules as they are based on the psychological and thematic appeal as opposed to the visual spectacle.

Comparative analysis of Sircar and Zaidi shows that there is continuity and development of minimalism and space in Indian theatre. Although the work of Sircar is a foreground of collective experience and socio-political involvement in terms of fluidity of space, Zaidi theatre reveals a subtle understanding of the identity, memory and power in the context of controlled, but meaningful space. Their works, combined, provide an idea of how minimalism and spatial effects have been influencing the modern Indian theatre, rendering it more flexible, expressive, and in touch with its socio-cultural environment .

LITERATURE REVIEW

(S. A. Ahmad & Khan, 2024) **Protest through Theatre: Analysing Humour and Fantasy as Resistance Tropes in Badal Sircar's "Scandal in Fairyland" and "Beyond the Land of Hattamala"** This study looks into the way Badal Sircar employed humour and fantasy to protest in his plays *Scandal in Fairyland* and *Beyond the Land of Hattamala* by using these mediums. Theatre is brought out as a very powerful tool of articulating protest against social oppression and injustice. The article is devoted to the concept of the Third Theatre developed by Sircar, integrating entertainment and valuable social commentary. By using humour and imaginative elements, Sircar makes serious issues easier to understand and accessible to a wider audience. He is an interesting playwright who questions social rules, authority and power systems. The study emphasizes the way his artistic methods make theatre a social protest and awakening. On the whole, the research demonstrates the significant role that Sircar played in protest theatre in India and his international applicability in the social change through art.

"The Power of Laughter: A Study of the Comedies of Badal Sircar" The study has brought out the role of comedy in the writings of Badal Sircar where it is not only a form of entertainment but also a means of social awareness. His comedies have not been given much attention although his serious and experimental plays are well studied. This study fills that gap by analysing various comedic plays from both his proscenium and non-proscenium phases. It demonstrates how Sircar relies on humour, black comedy, to introduce serious themes, such as political corruption, unemployment, social inequality, and struggles of middle-class. Through his plays, he entertains the audiences, but he also challenges them to contemplate the issues of real life. The study holds that comedy is not a minor art form and it has great prospects of creating socio-political awareness and it can impact on the thinking of people effectively.

"Theatre and Socio-Cultural Aspects in the Novels of Badal Sircar" This study examines the socio-cultural issues in the novels of Badal Sircar that reveal that he is not just influential in theatre. It looks at how his novels stand in correspondence with the social realities and cultural conditions of the day. His literary works are placed in the overall context of Indian literature and theatre in the research and it explicates the historical and cultural contexts that were used to guide his writing. It also talks about the way his novels prompt the readers to reflect on social matters and human experiences. The writing of Sircar provides both an account of society and documentation of cultural history. This paper brings out the relationship between theatre and literature in his work and how his stories still manage to resonate in our time. All in all, it highlights his enduring impact on literature, theatre, and society.

"Portrayal of Society and Hardships in Badal Sircar's Plays" This paper examines the way Badal Sircar depicts the social realities and human plight in his plays. Sircar is introduced as a caring and socially conscious author who dwells upon such issues as inequality, oppression, and political issues. His writings are based on real-life scenarios and draw attention to the challenges of life of individuals and the society, particularly the poorer layers of it. The analysis describes his plays as a reflection of the society with both dominant and marginalized voices. It also talks of the effects of social relations and systems on the human life and development. The writings of Sircar are founded on actual events, and seek to build awareness of social issues. All in all, the study reveals that he contributed to the exposition of the ugly side of life and the social awareness of people through theatre.

Research Gap

The available sources on Badal Sircar pay much attention to his so-called Third Theatre and its social-political topicality, whereas the works about Annie Zaidi are more focused on up-to-date topics and style of narration. Nevertheless, there is a paucity of comparative studies that investigate the two playwrights using the unified prism of minimalism and spatial dynamics. In addition, little attention has been given to the ways in which their respective space and audience interaction approaches are a reflection of the evolving theatrical practices. This paper fills this gap by providing a comparative and analytical insight on minimalist practices and spatial invention.

Problem of the statement

The contemporary Indian theatre has seen a movement to minimalism and new spatial practice but has not had an organized analysis of the comparison of classical experimental practice with contemporary dramaturgy. Where Badal Sircar gave radical change in the form of participatory and space oriented theatre, Annie Zaidi gives a more restrained and psychologically motivated minimalism. The issue is in the comprehension of what impacts the different approaches have on audience interest, depth of the narrative, and theatricality. This paper aims to analyze and contrast their methods in order to gain a better insight into the development and role of minimalism and spatial dynamics in modern Indian theatre.

Research Objectives

To analyze minimalist techniques used by Badal Sircar and Annie Zaidi in their theatrical works.
To examine the role of spatial dynamics and audience interaction in their plays.
To compare the evolution and impact of minimalist theatre practices in contemporary India.

Research Questions

What are the key minimalist techniques used by Badal Sircar and Annie Zaidi?
How do spatial dynamics influence audience engagement in their works?
In what ways do their theatrical approaches differ and contribute to contemporary Indian theatre?

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This paper will use a secondary-based research design and will solely use available literature and published resources to bring out minimalism and spatial dynamics of contemporary Indian theatre. A suitable amount of academic databases and sites like PubMed, Research Gate, Scopus, Sodhganga, and Google Scholar are used to gather relevant information, which ensures the availability of peer-reviewed articles, theses, and academic discussions. Moreover, books, journal publications, and conference papers among other scholarly resources are consulted in order to learn more about the works and theatrical techniques of major playwrights and reviews of Badal Sircar and Annie Zaidi are studied to learn the style of their works.

The methodology is based on the comparative analysis and it reveals some similarities and differences in the minimalist techniques and the dynamics of space. Themes, staging patterns, and strategies of engaging the audience are interpreted using content analysis. The gathered data are thoroughly studied, analyzed, and discussed to determine patterns, themes, and comparative factors associated with minimalist methods and the use of space. Such an approach permits a methodical awareness of theoretical views and critical studies already in the area this approach guarantees an all-inclusive awareness of the topic by unifying the already existing knowledge and offers a comparative viewpoint concerning modern Indian theatre practices.

Evolution of Contemporary Indian Theatre Practices

The modern Indian theatre has experienced a vibrant change of traditional and colonial influenced theatre to experimental and social theatres. During its early development, Indian theatre was dominated to a large extent by classical Sanskrit drama and local folk drama forms like Nautanki, Yakshagana and Jatra. The proscenium theatre became prominent during the colonial period, as inspired by the western dramaturgy, realism, and physical stagecraft. But with the post-independence India, there was a major change as theatre practitioners started seeking native expressions of expression that could portray the socio-political realities of the country.

Since the 1960s, the playwrights and directors defied the strict rules of proscenium theatre. Such active personalities as Badal Sircar, Habib Tanvir and Vijay Tendulkar pioneered novel methods that incorporated folk values with contemporary sensibility. These practitioners placed a lot of focus on experimentation, decentralization of performance spaces, and audience involvement.

Alternative theatrical spaces like streets, courtyards, and small studios were an indication that the use of elaborate theatrical settings had been abandoned. The theatre was made less formal and more participatory and usually took up issues such as social injustice, gender inequality and political conflict. The impact of the world theatrical trends such as the absurd and the Brechtian theatre also enriched the Indian theatrical practices.

Over the last few decades, contemporary Indian theatre has been interdisciplinary, with multimedia, physical theatre, and minimalist aesthetics. Annie Zaidi, among other playwrights, is a representative of a new generation connecting with the urban life, identity politics, and emerging cultural discourse. Indian theatre is today developing as a living and diverse industry that is both conservative and creative in its style, which is representative of the challenges of contemporary Indian society.

Concept of Minimalism in Modern Theatre

Minimalism in contemporary theatre can be defined as a form of simplification of theatrical means like set design, props, costumes and technical effects to their simplest appearance. Minimalist theatre does not focus on visual spectacle but on the performance of the actor, narrative understanding and symbolism. This method promotes the audience to actively envision and interpret the performance and thus, establish a more profound intellectual and emotional connection.

Minimalism was also prominent in the Indian scene as a response to the overreliance of commercial theatre on fancy stagecraft. Such practitioners as Badal Sircar promoted minimalist forms with the help of the simplest spaces, ordinary objects, and the human body as the main means of expression. His Third Theatre did not accept the costly productions, but rather emphasized accessibility and social importance.

Minimalism also enables the theatre to overcome spatial and economic constraints. It enables performances to be flexible to various settings, whether it is in a city auditorium or in an open field. Modern playwrights like Annie Zaidi still employ minimalist effects to express thematic issues as opposed to visual saturation. Minimalism produces a sense of intimacy due to the symbolic staging and the limiting design, in which meaning is expressed not by representation but by suggestion. Therefore, minimalism continues to be a significant aesthetic that resonates with the artistic expression and social inclusivity and creativity.

Understanding Spatial Dynamics in Performance Spaces

Spatial dynamics in theatre are used to describe how physical space is organized, used and communicated in a performance. It involves how actors, audience and the environment are related to each other, and how a narrative is perceived and perceived. Space is no longer regarded as a passive element in the modern theatre but rather as an active element that adds to meaning-making.

The proscenium stages which were traditional had a distinct distance between the performers and the viewer which supported the hierarchy of viewing. Nevertheless, this separation has increasingly been broken by modern theatre practitioners, who have tried out other spaces to perform. Directors such as Badal Sircar transformed the dynamics of space by employing open spaces, circular compositions and direct interaction with the audience and thus formed an immersive theatre experience .

The symbolic use of space is also a part of spatial dynamics. The arrangement of actors, the dynamics and closeness to the spectators may express power dynamics, emotional charge and depth of the theme. Space arrangements are even more marked in minimalist theatres where the use of physical elements is minimal. An empty space, silence and physical distance tend to have a deeper meaning.

Modern playwrights like Annie Zaidi are using systematic spatial arrangement to mirror psychological and social truths. The restricted or fragmented spaces which she frequently uses in her work illustrate isolation, identity crises and conflict among people. In contrast to the flowing and interactive space of Sircar, the space dynamics in Zaidi are more regulated where the focus is on internal stories.

Comprehensively, spatial dynamics contribute to the expressive power of theatre, making space a communicative tool. It enables theatre practitioners to stretch the traditional confines, to involve audiences in a closer way, and to experiment with new modes of storytelling. Consequently, the issue of spatial experimentation remains an important aspect of contemporary Indian theatre .

Badal Sircar and His Third Theatre Movement

One of the most influential personalities of the modern Indian theatre was Badal Sircar, who was the first to introduce the so-called Third Theatre movement during the 1960s and 1970s. Frustrated with commercial theatre as well as traditional folk performance, Sircar aimed to establish a new form of performance that was affordable, approachable and socially pertinent.

The Third Theatre did not accept the traditional proscenium stage but rather the open areas like parks, streets, and courtyards. This strategy helped to remove the physical and psychological separation between performers and spectators and created a feeling of communal involvement. Sircar had an idea that theatre is not limited to the elite world, but should reach to the masses, and it should focus on burning social issues.

The main characteristic of the work of Sircar was the simplicity of style. He employed very few props, costumes that were very basic, and very strong on the physicality and expressiveness of actors. His plays, including, *Evam Indrajit* and *Bhoma*, dealt with existential crisis, alienation and socio-political injustice. The focus was on dialogue and not on spectacle and thus theatre was a great source of awareness and transformation.

Sircar also took a very political turn in the Third Theatre, as he usually criticized the systems of power and inequality. His fresh approach to space and minimalism impacted generations of theatre practitioners in India and elsewhere. His legacy can be acted upon in experimental theatre today where simplicity, accessibility, and social engagement in performance are once again emphasized.

Drama and Experiments of Annie Zaidi

Annie Zaidi is a leading modern Indian playwright whose plays contain a subtle approach to minimalism, spatial play, and social-political issues. Her theatrical style focuses on emotional richness, character-based story, and symbolic presentation as opposed to any ornate theatrical effects. Some of the issues that Zaidi frequently addresses are identity, gender, marginalization, and power structure in contemporary urban settings. Using modest settings and well-designed spaces, she builds a personal environment, inviting the audience to contemplate. Her writing can be seen as a change towards psychologically enriched and themed based theatre in modern India .

Minimalist Staging Techniques - Zaidi uses minimalist style in that they use fewer props, sets, and graphics. This enables the emphasis to be on dialogue, character interaction and exploration of themes. She bases her staging on suggestion much more than language.

Pay attention to Social and Political Themes - Her plays are very active in exploring the problem of gender inequality, communal tensions, and identity politics. This makes the work socially pertinent and provocative as Zaidi employs theatre to challenge the mainstream discourse and give a voice to marginalized groups.

Formalized Use of Performance Space - The use of space by Zaidi is more formal and conscious as opposed to the fluid spatial dynamics of the pioneers of experimental theatre. She frequently uses enclosed or divided spaces to indicate psychological tension and interpersonal conflict in her stories.

The Character and Narrative Richness Focus - The theatre Zaidi focuses on is characterized by the focus on the development of the characters as well as the depth of the storyline. Her characters tend to be moving around in difficult emotional settings, which enables the audience to engage with the performance personally.

With the help of such innovations, Annie Zaidi makes a significant contribution to the development of the modern Indian theatre and unites minimalism with the modern thematic interests and sophisticated space practices .

Comparative Analysis of Minimalist Techniques in Selected Works

The continuity and change in modern Indian theatre can be seen in the works of Badal Sircar and Annie Zaidi both through minimalist techniques. The minimalism of Sircar is well grounded in his Third Theatre where the absence of fancy sets and the use of the actor and his voice in the play yields a rough and shared experience. His works are mobile, interactive and political. Zaidi, in contrast, is more formal and symbolic in his minimalism and emphasizes emotional evocation and psychological complexity within a space of controlled conditions. When Sircar employs minimalism to democratise theatre, engage the audience in the directness of theatrical production, Zaidi employs it to give dramatic meaning to the narrative and complex identity, as theatrical priorities evolve .

Minimalist Techniques of Badal Sircar

Badal Sircar transformed the Indian theatre by establishing a minimalist aesthetic, which was practical and ideological. His style opposed the reliance on big stage techniques and instead focused on face-to-face communication between actors and audiences.

Tossing Elaborate Stagecraft - Sircar scaled back heavy sets, lighting effects and costumes that were typical of proscenium theatre. His works were based on the simple and everyday objects, which made performances cheap and could be easily adapted to different destinations.

Actor-Centric Performance - The body of the actor became the main means of expression in the theatre of Sircar. Actors used gestures, movement, and voice modulation to develop several settings and characters, which minimized the use of physical props.

Open and Flexible Spaces - His sparse style was closely associated with the experimentation with space. The performances were often done in the parks, the streets or the courtyards to be flexible and to break the traditional barrier between stage and audience.

Collective and Participatory Experience - Sircar was minimalistic, and this approach stimulated the participation of the audience. He eliminated physical and symbolical barriers and thus turned spectators into participants, which increased involvement and immediacy.

Political and Social Focus - Minimalism in the work of Sircar was not just an aesthetic undertaking but very much political. It enabled him to focus on issues such as inequality, oppression, and existential crisis without any distractions and thus the message is more powerful.

Mobility and Accessibility - His theatre could be staged anywhere and it needed few resources. Such movement allowed performances to access a wide range of audiences, even beyond the conventional theatre rooms.

Symbolic Representation - The plays of Sircar had symbols that are frequently found in the objects and actions. One prop might symbolize a variety of concepts, inviting the audience to be creative in their understanding.

The minimalist techniques of Sircar transformed Indian theatre, making it more inclusive, expressive, and socially closer, which has left an impact on generations of theatre practitioners.

Minimalist Techniques of Annie Zaidi

Minimalism used by Annie Zaidi is modern and subtle, yet it concentrates on the emotional richness and thematic clarity instead of spatial experimentation. Her minimal style echoes a changing trend of collective performance to introspective narration, in which subtlety and symbolism are vital elements.

Controlled and Symbolic Staging - Zaidi employs well planned minimal sets which are symbolic in nature. Her stage is not empty, as selective elements, which are symbolic of emotional or psychological conditions, are frequently used.

Focus on Dialogue and Language - Language is of the core in her minimalist technique. The dialogues are very thick, accurate, and meaningful, and there is no need of visual elaboration.

Concentrate on Urban and Contemporary Themes - Zaidi is minimalistic and follows modern motifs like identity, gender, and social fragmentation. These issues can now be in the limelight due to the simplicity of staging.

Audience as Observers and not Participants - Zaidi does not follow the interactive approach Sircar did but instead gives a fine line between actors and audience. It is an intellectual and emotional engagement but not physical.

The simplistic methods of Zaidi show that simplicity can be applied to produce depth and resonance and this was in fact a step forward in the Indian theatre aesthetics of that time.

Role of Space and Audience Interaction in Contemporary

The element of space and interaction with the audience is an important aspect of the contemporary theatre experience. Space is no longer regarded as a neutral or fixed aspect of modern theatrical practices; rather, it comes to play an active role in narrative. Space is laid out in a way that determines the way the narratives unfold, the way the actors perform and the way the audiences view the performance.

Spatial relationships were redefined by practitioners such as Badal Sircar who broke through the proscenium stage. His open space and circular design erased the hierarchical division between performers and spectators and provided the participants with the feeling of being included and immersed in the space. The interaction between the audience and such settings is immediate and direct, and creates the feeling of shared experience.

Modern playwrights, such as Annie Zaidi, on the other hand, are more systematic in their use of space. Although they are not so close to the performers and audiences, they make use of the spatial design to help bring emotional and psychological reactions. The spatial arrangement of actors, the presence of silence, and the play of proximity add richness to the story.

Modern theatre involves interaction with the audience more than just physical interaction. It involves emotional participation, intellectual understanding and imaginative participation. Minimalist environments tend to maximize this interaction in promoting meaning construction by the viewers.

Moreover, the possibilities of audience interaction have been increased by alternative performance spaces including black box theatres, streets and non-traditional spaces. These spaces disrupt the customary patterns of viewing and promote novel participatory forms.

On the whole, space and audience engagement have changed theatre to a more interactive and dynamic art form. It enables practitioners to explore form and content and to form significant relationships with audiences .

Impact of Minimalism on Modern Indian Theatre Practices

Minimalism has made a great impact on modern Indian theatre by transforming the emphasis on spectacle to content. Such practitioners as Badal Sircar or Annie Zaidi have shown how less theatrical elements can be used to make narratives clearer and more engaging to audiences. Theatre has also been made more accessible and flexible by reducing the use of costly sets and technical effects. Minimalism is all about creativity, innovation and more meaning and social relevance of the performances. It has also facilitated theatre to reach out to various audiences and delve into complicated themes with simplicity and accuracy .

Focus on Actor and Performance - When there is a reduced number of visual aspects, the focus is on the actor. The performance skills including expression, movement, and delivery of dialogue become more significant to the theatre making it more artistic.

Plasticity of Performance Spaces - Minimalist theatre can be staged in all sorts of places, such as the streets, classrooms and small studios. This freedom paves the way to the theatre beyond the conventional auditoriums.

Greater Audience Interaction - Minimalism promotes audiences to engage in visualizing and interpreting the performance. The lack of vivid images will enable the audience to be more interested in the story and the themes.

Promoting Experimental Theatre - Minimalism breaks with old rules, which enables people to try new things and come up with new ideas. It lets people in the theater try out different styles, formats, and ways of presenting stories.

In general, minimalism has transformed the contemporary Indian theatre by rendering it more representative, innovative, and effective, which guarantees its further applicability to the ever-evolving cultural environment.

Scope of the Study

This paper is devoted to the comparative analysis of minimalism and spatial dynamics of the chosen works of Badal Sircar and Annie Zaidi. It explores their performance mode, space utilization, and interaction with the audience in the contemporary Indian theatre. The work is not based on empirical or field-based research, but instead it tries to learn how minimalist practices developed over the years and how they have influenced modern dramaturgy using solely secondary sources.

LIMITATION OF THE STUDY

Based only on secondary data, no primary field research Poor access to comparative studies in detail. Not complete oeuvre, but selected works.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the paper has been able to achieve its purposes by analyzing the purpose of minimalism and spatial dynamics in modern Indian theatre by comparing Badal Sircar and Annie Zaidi. It also brings out the way both playwrights use minimalist elements to break the traditional theatrical standards and establish a significant audience appeal. The study confirms that the practice of Sircar is based on political consciousness, communal involvement and spatial adaptability, which make theatre more open and socially influential. There is, however, a modern development of minimalism in the work of Zaidi, with the focus on the psychological richness, the staging formality, and the complexity of the themes. The research also illustrates the role of space as an active constituent in performance and perception of the audience and not just a backdrop. It further establishes that minimalism has gone a long way in shaping the current theatre practices through its promotion of creativity, diminishing production limits, and experimental forms. The study compares the two different yet related approaches, making it a complete picture of the transformation of Indian theatre. In the end, it comes to the conclusion that minimalism and spatial creativity still change how theater is expressed, which keeps that expression relevant, open to everyone, and able to change with the times.

REFERENCES

1. T., & Naqvi, D. S. (2025). From Street to Soul: The Political Aesthetics of Badal Sircar's Third Theatre. *International Journal of Research in English*. <https://doi.org/10.33545/26648717.2025.v7.i2d.460>
2. .B, C. (2018). Dramatic Techniques Used in Stale News by Badal Sircar.
3. Ahmad, A., & Srivastava, M. (2023). Portrayal of Society and Hardships in Badal Sircar's Plays. *International Journal of Reviews and Research in Social Sciences*. <https://doi.org/10.52711/2454-2687.2023.00008>
4. Ahmad, S. A., & Khan, M. R. (2024). Protest through Theatre: Analysing Humour and Fantasy as Resistance Tropes in Badal Sircar's Scandal in Fairyland and Beyond the Land of Hattamala. *International Journal of Language, Literature and Culture*. <https://doi.org/10.22161/ijllc.4.6.5>
5. Alex Chinwuba Asigbo, P. & E. C. U. (2023). Theatre Practice in a Pandemic: Minimalism to the Rescue. *Sarcouncil Journal of Arts Humanities and Social Sciences*, 2(1), 1–6.
6. Amitabh Kumar, & Dr. Vivek Kumar Dwivedi. (2023). From Proscenium to Public: Explorations of Body and Space in Sircar's Third Theatre. *The Creative Launcher*. <https://doi.org/10.53032/tcl.2023.8.2.08>
7. Chavda, M. (2015). Bharata's Natyashastra-based theatre analysis model : an experiment on British South Asian and contemporary Indian theatre in English. *Cultural Studies, Art, South Asia, Gestures, British Asian Theatre*, 450.
8. Chowdhury, S. G. R. (2017). REVISITING BADAL SIRCAR'S THIRD THEATRE. *South Asian Journal of Multidisciplinary Studies*, 1–7.
9. Führer, H., & Schoene, J. (2021). Media modalities of theatrical space. *Intermedial Studies*, 255–264.
10. Gadekar, S. (2022). Annie Zaidi's Name, Place, Animal, Thing A Study of Gender Dichotomy and Women's Status in Society. *Indian Women in Independence: A Literary Survey*, 1–9.