Assessing the Impact of Classroom Management, Self-Efficacy, And Learning Approaches Among Students

Jayakala M[1], Dr. Preeti Sharma[2]

[1]Research Scholar, Department of Education, Mansarovar Global University, Sehore, Madhya Pradesh
[2]Supervisor, Department of Education, Mansarovar Global University, Sehore, Madhya Pradesh

ABSTRACT

In this research, we look at how students in higher education are impacted by three interconnected factors: classroom management, self-efficacy, and learning styles. This study offers a theoretical framework that draws on pedagogical and educational theories to show how these three factors interact to affect students' motivation, engagement, and performance in the classroom. According to the review, kids are better able to overcome academic obstacles when they have faith in their own abilities, adaptive learning strategies help students grasp concepts better and retain more of what they've learnt, and good classroom management creates a structured environment that's ideal for learning.

Keywords: School, Student, Classroom, Self-Efficacy, Education.

INTRODUCTION

The importance of education as a foundation for individual growth and community advancement is universally recognised. Students in the upper secondary level are at a crossroads in their educational careers, when they must contend with mounting emotional and cognitive strain as well as the weight of important life choices. At this point in life, between childhood and adulthood, one must develop increasingly independent academic habits, critical thinking skills, and emotional maturity. So, not only do students' academic results and attitudes towards and abilities for lifelong learning depend on the quality of educational experiences and support systems accessible at this level. Student engagement, resilience, and success in this environment are heavily influenced by three critical constructs: classroom management, self-efficacy, and learning modalities. (Achurra, C., & Villardón, L. 2012).

When instructors utilise a variety of tactics and methods to keep their classrooms well-organised, they are engaging in classroom management. Discipline, effective lesson planning, time management, and building rapport with students are all parts of effective classroom management. Managing a classroom effectively is about more than just enforcing rules; it's also about making sure every student has a supportive, encouraging, and enjoyable learning environment. The seamless delivery of educational information is enhanced by a well-managed classroom that fosters a feeling of order and minimises distractions. Students are more likely to participate in learning activities and display positive academic behaviours when they view their classroom setting as well-structured and encouraging, according to research. Therefore, other educational dimensions, including self-efficacy and learning techniques, can thrive when classroom management is strong.

The term "self-efficacy," first used by psychologist Albert Bandura, describes a person's confidence in his or her own abilities to accomplish a set of objectives. Learners' perceptions of difficulties, their ability to self-regulate their learning behaviour, and their capacity to sustain motivation are all profoundly impacted by their level of self-efficacy. A strong academic self-efficacy student is one that is willing to take risks, keeps going when things become tough, and bounces back quickly from setbacks. On the flip side, pupils who lack confidence in their abilities could still struggle to fully immerse themselves in their work, even when they have all the required information and abilities. When it comes to higher secondary education, students' capacity to handle academic pressure and achieve is heavily dependent on their self-efficacy. This is because students at this level of school are frequently faced with challenging coursework and high-stakes exams. Crucially, classroom management strategies have a significant impact on students' ability to receive and apply constructive criticism, as well as on their ability to model appropriate behaviour for themselves and their peers and to receive and offer support from teachers. (Adedigba, O., & Sulaiman, F. R. 2020).

The idea of learning techniques is closely connected to self-efficacy. How students approach and approach academic content is known as their learning strategy. Deep, surface, and strategic are the usual ways to classify these techniques. Deep learning necessitates an earnest desire to comprehend notions and establish relationships between them. In contrast, surface learning is defined by a concentration on getting things done with little mental effort and an emphasis on rote memorisation. Using a combination of deep and surface strategies, strategic learners strive for the highest possible grades through goal-directed efforts. Students' intellectual growth and academic success are strongly correlated with the learning style they choose. Things like critical thinking and problem-solving are areas where deep learners shine, but surface learners can have trouble remembering what they've learnt. Importantly, learning technique selection is influenced by a variety of contextual elements, including classroom atmosphere, assessment systems, and teacher-student interactions, in addition to individual preferences and talents. (Alkadry, M. G., & Nyhan, R. C. 1999).

CONCEPT OF CLASSROOM MANAGEMENT

Effective classroom management is a cornerstone of quality education because it has a direct bearing on students' engagement, conduct, and performance in class. Managing a classroom effectively entails planning and executing the flow of classroom activities, student behaviour, instructional resources, and time in a way that promotes productive teaching and learning. It is crucial to have a well-organised and adaptable classroom management system in higher secondary schools since kids are going through a lot of changes both academically and personally. Engaged students, less behavioural concerns, and a conducive environment for learning are all results of a well-managed classroom. Beyond maintaining order and discipline, effective classroom management also involves the teacher's capacity to connect with their pupils on a personal level, cultivate an environment of mutual respect, instill a sense of personal responsibility, and meet their intellectual and emotional requirements. Teachers' ability to convey material effectively, students' ability to collaborate, and students' overall impressions of their educational experience are all shaped by this unseen framework. (Allinder, R. M. 1995).

Throughout history, there has been a shift in classroom management strategies, with an emphasis on student engagement, autonomy, and mutual respect replacing authoritarian methods that emphasised compliance and obedience. Proactive tactics, as opposed to reactive punishment, are the hallmark of modern ideas of classroom management that have their origins in educational psychology. Concepts like "withitness" (the teacher's capacity to be aware of everything happening in the classroom), "overlapping" (the ability to handle more than one issue at a time), "momentum" (keeping the pace of instruction steady), and "group focus" (engaging all students during activities) were introduced in Jacob Kounin's theory of classroom management. According to these guidelines, a teacher's ability to keep the class on track, engage pupils, and foresee potential issues is crucial for good classroom management. Good classroom management, according to Kounin's research, is more often the product of tactful, expert instruction than of aggressive control, and that the goal of classroom management should be prevention rather than punishment.

Making sure everyone knows what to anticipate and how to follow the rules is an important part of managing a classroom. As a result of having clear expectations and the corresponding penalties, students are more inclined to self-regulate and contribute positively to class activities. Students and instructors develop a feeling of fairness and trust when these norms are consistently enforced. Clear classroom norms, ideally crafted with student participation, are especially vital for students in higher secondary schools who are actively pursuing more independence and autonomy. A sense of community, responsibility, and ownership are fostered by this collaborative method. Particularly in high-stakes academic settings, students benefit from having established routines, such as how to enter the classroom, go from one activity to another, or ask for assistance when they need it. (Ashton, P. 1984).

The psychological and physical learning environment is another critical component of effective classroom management. Students are better able to concentrate and pay attention in a well-organised, physically appealing, and comfortable classroom. It is just as critical for pupils to feel psychologically safe, which is the confidence that they may speak their minds without fear of reprimand or humiliation. Students are more likely to ask questions, work together on projects, and take intellectual risks when they have a positive classroom climate. The way teachers respond, act, and speak all have an impact on the classroom climate. Building solid student-teacher connections is crucial for behaviour management and encouraging excellent learning outcomes. Demonstrating empathy, active listening, and cultural awareness can help with this. (Çoklar, A. N., & Odabaşı, H. F. 2009).

SELF-EFFICACY

One of the main tenets of Albert Bandura's social cognitive theory is self-efficacy, which is defined as the confidence one has in one's own abilities to carry out the actions that would lead to desired results. It is crucial in moulding people's thoughts, emotions, actions, and intrinsic motivation. Academic success, intrinsic motivation, perseverance, and resilience are all profoundly impacted by students' levels of self-efficacy, which is especially true in the secondary school setting. When students have high levels of self-efficacy, they believe they can successfully complete tasks related to a specific subject or task at hand, such as solving mathematical problems, writing essays, or contributing to class discussions, as opposed to general self-confidence, which is wide-ranging and universal. Students' views in their own abilities to succeed in school have a major impact on how they handle academic difficulties, bounce back from failure, and actively participate in class at the upper secondary level, when key life choices are being made. Students' levels of self-efficacy affect their ability to set ambitious goals, use effective learning strategies, and persevere through challenges. On the other hand, students with low self-efficacy are more likely to experience anxiety, avoidance behaviours, and underachievement, even though they may have adequate innate abilities. (Hampton, N. Z., & Mason, E. 2003).

Bandura proposed that four primary factors—physiological and emotional conditions, verbal persuasion, vicarious experiences, and mastery experiences—contribute to the formation of self-efficacy. The most potent wellspring of efficacy beliefs are experiences of mastery, or the effective completion of a task. Students gain confidence in their abilities to face future academic challenges with each victory. If a learner can confidently solve quadratic equations via repeated practice, they will be better prepared to tackle increasingly complex algebraic problems. However, when students see their setbacks as permanent markers of their limits rather than learning opportunities, repeated failures can have a negative impact on their self-efficacy. Witnessing the accomplishment of a peer may be a powerful source of confidence-boosting vicarious experiences, especially if the observer sees themselves reflected in the model's performance. When students observe their classmates excelling in a group assignment or public presentation, it can boost their confidence and make them think they can do well too. Peers, instructors, or parents might use words of encouragement to sway an individual's opinion. Sincere statements like "You can do this" or "I believe in your ability" supported by proof of prior effort or improvement may greatly enhance self-efficacy. Finally, students' perceptions of their own competency are influenced by their emotional and physiological conditions. When we're anxious, stressed, or exhausted, our self-efficacy takes a nosedive. When we're relaxed, energised, and focussed, it soars. Teachers can indirectly boost students' self-efficacy by assisting them in stress management, task preparation, and positive interpretation of bodily arousal (e.g., enthusiasm instead of dread).

Students' belief in their own abilities has far-reaching implications for their actions and outcomes in secondary school. Academic motivation is one of the major domains where self-efficacy exerts its impact. Students are more likely to work hard, employ effective tactics, and maintain engagement over time if they have faith in their ability to achieve. They seek out difficult work, aim high, and believe that effort, not luck, determines achievement. On the other hand, pupils who lack confidence in their abilities tend to shy away from challenging assignments, quit when things get tough, and suffer from increased exam anxiety. This can lead to a vicious cycle of disengagement and subpar performance. Furthermore, self-regulated learning—the capacity to organise, track, and evaluate one's own learning processes—is directly influenced by self-efficacy. Time management, goal-setting, metacognitive methods, and approach adaptation are all areas in which students who score higher on the self-efficacy scale excel. They are more independent in their pursuit of knowledge and make an effort to find solutions on their own. In the latter years of high school, when students are expected to assume greater responsibility for their own academic management due to a shift from a more directed to a more independent learning framework, this becomes even more crucial.

Students' emotional well-being is also significantly impacted by their sense of self-efficacy. Teens who believe in their own abilities to succeed in school report less stress, more resilience, and improved mental health in general. Instead of seeing difficulties as personal attacks, they are more like to see them as learning experiences. At times of high-stakes testing or in topics where students struggle, this resilience is vital. On the flip side, when people don't believe in their own abilities, it may lead to feelings of hopelessness, persistent anxiety, and depression. These mental health issues not only hinder academic performance but also make it less likely that people will pursue further education or their long-term job goals. To break this pattern, kids need teachers who are sensitive to their emotional needs and who offer scaffolded support and encouragement in the form of attainable assignments and constructive criticism.

There is no way to exaggerate the importance of instructors and classroom settings in helping students develop and sustain a sense of self-efficacy. Not only do they educate students, but they also act as positive role models and uplifting voices in the community. Their actions, attitudes, and comments have the power to boost or lower pupils' self-confidence. A teacher may help a student feel more confident in themselves if she or he shows assurance in their abilities, uses differentiation to help students learn best, and acknowledges and rewards students for even the smallest successes. Teachers who dwell too much on student failure, who compare them too harshly, or who set low expectations might have the opposite effect, undermining their students' confidence and drive. Strong self-efficacy is more likely to develop in classrooms that encourage cooperation, acknowledge effort, and accept mistakes as learning opportunities. In addition, goal-setting activities, reflective notebooks, and organised peer mentorship programs are all ways in which educators may help students understand self-efficacy and its development. (Henson, R. K. 2003).

LEARNING APPROACHES

Understanding how students acquire information, solve problems, and retain knowledge over time requires a look at their learning styles, which encompass a wide range of tactics, attitudes, and habits that individuals employ while interacting with academic material. Students' learning styles have a major impact on their grades and career decisions in higher secondary school because of the increased exposure to abstract material and the increased accountability for student success. There are three main schools of thought when it comes to learning methods: deep learning, surface learning, and strategic learning. The degree of intrinsic drive, the degree of cognitive engagement, and the degree of metacognitive control vary between approaches. Intrinsic drive and a desire to comprehend the content meaningfully are at the heart of a deep learning strategy; students who take this tack want to synthesise new information with what they already know, assess data critically, and apply concepts in novel ways. A surface learning strategy, on the other hand, is more commonly linked to external motivation, rote memorisation, and an overly focused on succeeding or failing mentality, which can result in information fragmentation and poor retention over time. A performance-oriented learning strategy, strategic learning (sometimes called accomplishing or organised learning) encourages students to maximise their academic potential by coordinating their study time and energy with specific assessment goals. Educators must be aware of and familiar with these various learning styles in order to personalise lessons, comments, and other forms of assistance for their students.

From an educational perspective, a deep learning strategy is ideal as it fosters long-term understanding and cognitive development. Students that use this strategy are inquisitive, involved, and self-reflective; they search for relationships between concepts, investigate fundamental principles, and challenge presumptions; and they take pleasure in learning for its own purpose. The ability to analyse, synthesise, and evaluate is essential for these students to fully grasp the intricacies of disciplines like literature, physics, and mathematics. Deep learners also have excellent metacognitive skills, which allow them to keep tabs on their own thought processes and modify their approach to learning accordingly. Students need to be able to self-regulate in order to improve their problem-solving and adaptation abilities. These are particularly important in higher secondary school, where students are expected to do more than just absorb information; they must also be prepared for a wide range of academic and career paths. Deep learning may be encouraged in the classroom through the use of inquiry-based activities, group projects, the incorporation of real-world applications, and chances for students to reflect on and discuss their own learning. (Hoy, A. W., & Spero, R. B. 2005).
Passive learning, shallow comprehension, and an emphasis on rote memorisation define the surface learning paradigm in comparison. Students that use this strategy typically focus solely on memorising facts and concepts in order to pass an exam, rather than trying to understand the material's significance or meaning. The reasons for this approach typically stem from a lack of interest in the subject, a fear of failing, or the belief that the material is irrelevant to future aspirations. Some people have trouble learning new material, get nervous when tested, and have trouble applying what they've learnt in other settings; these are all symptoms of being a surface learner. Excessive emphasis on lectures, rote tasks, or high-stakes testing are examples of teaching techniques that prioritise recall over reasoning and might worsen surface learning. Students may use surface tactics to cope when they are in a classroom that encourages competitiveness, punishment for mistakes, or conformity. Educators may combat this by fostering an environment that encourages students to think critically, take risks, and develop their skills, and by creating tests that focus on comprehension rather than rote memorisation.

Students that are goal-oriented and highly driven to succeed academically often employ the strategic learning strategy, which is a hybrid of deep and surface learning. These students often have little trouble planning their study sessions, having a firm grasp on what their instructors want from them, and making good use of available materials. Although strategic learners don't necessarily aim for in-depth comprehension, they are great at self-regulating and may adjust their approach to suit different academic requirements. For easier, lower-stakes exams, students may employ surface tactics; for more difficult, higher-stakes topics, they may use deep learning strategies. Learners with a strategic mindset are more likely to take the initiative, maintain self-control, and act on criticism. They thrive in regimented settings with well-defined goals and objectives. Although there are many benefits to strategic learning, it can also lead to instrumentalism, in which learning is seen as a means to a goal rather than an end in itself. As a result, teachers need to strike a balance between focussing on student accomplishment and trying to motivate students to participate critically and intrinsically.

Individual and environmental factors both have a role in shaping the preferred method of learning. Every student's approach to learning is unique, depending on factors such as their background knowledge, cognitive capacity, intrinsic drive, self-confidence, and emotional intelligence. Students who have faith in their own abilities to learn and retain information are more inclined to adopt tactics like deep and strategic learning. On the flip side, pupils who lack self-assurance could resort to superficial tactics in order to escape what they view as failure. Learning preferences are also correlated with personality factors including openness to experience, resilience, and conscientiousness. Learning strategies are greatly affected by classroom environment, teacher conduct, assessment tools, curriculum development, and peer pressure at the contextual level. Students are more likely to engage in deep and strategic learning when they are in an intellectually exciting and encouraging setting where they are not afraid to speak their minds or make errors. Surface learning and disengagement are common outcomes of environments that are harsh, competitive, or punishing. (Zajacova, A., Lynch, S. M., & Espenshade, T. J. 2005).

CONCLUSION

The academic performance and personal growth of students in upper secondary schools are significantly influenced by factors such as classroom management strategies, students' belief in their own abilities, and the ways in which they learn. A well-run classroom makes it possible for pupils to concentrate on their studies without interruptions. Students have a greater sense of motivation and engagement in their academic pursuits when instructors employ explicit guidelines, constructive corrections, and encouraging connections. Having faith in one's own abilities, or self-efficacy, also helps pupils maintain their self-assurance in the face of adversity. When kids have faith in themselves, they are more inclined to push through tough times, attempt new things, and ultimately succeed. Finally, students' learning styles might impact their performance, whether they aim for deep understanding, memorisation, or strategic planning. Teachers may aid their pupils in becoming better thinkers and better able to retain information by promoting deep and strategic learning. In general, students' educational experiences may be greatly improved when teachers assist them via positive classroom practices, increase their self-confidence, and help them develop good study habits. Students have a better chance of succeeding, developing, and feeling prepared for their future aspirations when all these factors come together.

REFERENCES

[1] Achurra, C., & Villardón, L. (2012). Teachers’ self-efficacy and student learning. *The European Journal of Social & Behavioural Sciences*, *2*, 366–383.

[2] Adedigba, O., & Sulaiman, F. R. (2020). Influence of teachers’ classroom management style on pupils’ motivation for learning and academic achievement in Kwara State. *International Journal of Educational Methodology*, *6*(2), 471–480.

[3] Alkadry, M. G., & Nyhan, R. C. (1999). The impact of school resources on student achievement test scores. *Journal of Education Finance*, *25*(2), 211–228.

[4] Allinder, R. M. (1995). An examination of the relationship between teacher efficacy and curriculum-based measurement and student achievement. *Remedial and Special Education*, *27*, 141–152.

[5] Ashton, P. (1984). Teacher efficacy: A motivational paradigm for effective teacher education. *Journal of Teacher Education*, *35*(5), 28–32.

[6] Çoklar, A. N., & Odabaşı, H. F. (2009). Eğitim teknolojisi standartları açısından öğretmen adaylarının ölçme ve değerlendirme öz-yeterliklerinin belirlenmesi. *Selçuk Üniversitesi Ahmet Keleşoğlu Eğitim Fakültesi Dergisi*, *27*, 1–16.

[7] Hampton, N. Z., & Mason, E. (2003). Learning disabilities, gender, sources of efficacy, self-efficacy beliefs, and academic achievement in high school students. *Journal of School Psychology*, *41*(2), 101–112.

[8] Henson, R. K. (2003). Relationships between pre-service teachers’ self-efficacy, task analysis, and classroom control management beliefs. *Research in the Schools*, *10*(1), 53–62.

[9] Hoy, A. W., & Spero, R. B. (2005). Changes in teacher efficacy during the early years of teaching: A comparison of four measures. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, *21*, 343–356.

[10] Zajacova, A., Lynch, S. M., & Espenshade, T. J. (2005). Self-efficacy, stress, and academic success in college. *Research in Higher Education*, *46*(6), 677–706.