

Role of Generative Ai (Chat GPT) In Education Sector: Boon or Bane

Dr. Rashmi Chhabra

Associate Professor, Department of Commerce, Shri L.N. Hindu College, Rohtak

INTRODUCTION

The educational sector, long celebrated as a cornerstone of intellectual growth and societal advancement, is undergoing a profound transformation fuelled by technological innovation. At the forefront of this revolution is Chat GPT, an advanced artificial intelligence model developed by OpenAI. This technology leverages natural language processing to facilitate human-like interactions, offering unprecedented opportunities to redefine traditional learning paradigms.

Chat GPT stands out for its ability to engage in meaningful dialogues, address complex queries, and generate responses with remarkable precision. With its vast repository of knowledge and exceptional linguistic capabilities, it has the potential to provide valuable insights across a wide array of subjects, supporting educators and learners alike. Such advancements underscore its potential to democratize access to information, offer personalized learning experiences, and augment instructional delivery.

However, the integration of Chat GPT into education is not without challenges. While its capabilities are impressive, concerns surrounding ethical usage, over-reliance, and its potential impact on fundamental skills such as critical thinking and creativity remain significant. OpenAI itself has acknowledged these issues, actively working on solutions such as watermarking to prevent misuse and uphold the integrity of educational practices. These measures highlight the duality of Chat GPT's role as both a transformative tool and a potential source of disruption.

The reception of Chat GPT within the educational landscape reflects this duality. Advocates champion its ability to enhance the learning experience, foster accessibility, and revolutionize pedagogy. Detractors, on the other hand, caution against an over-dependence that could erode genuine human engagement, stifle creativity, and compromise the development of critical analytical skills. These polarized viewpoints point to the need for a comprehensive evaluation of its impact.

This paper embarks on a detailed exploration of the multifaceted implications of Chat GPT within the education sector. By examining its benefits, limitations, and broader influence on the future of learning, it seeks to contribute to the ongoing discourse. Ultimately, the study aims to discern whether Chat GPT serves as a catalyst for positive transformation or harbors risks that warrant careful management.

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

The rapid integration of generative artificial intelligence (AI), particularly Chat GPT, into the educational sector has sparked significant scholarly discourse. This review examines prior research to contextualize the dual impact of Chat GPT as both a transformative tool and a potential disruptor in educational settings. By critically evaluating key studies, the section underscores the nuanced relationship between AI technologies and education, offering insights into the benefits, limitations, and broader implications of this evolving dynamic.

Generative AI and Educational Accessibility

Generative AI, such as Chat GPT, is recognized for democratizing access to information and educational resources. Gleason (2022) highlights that tools like Chat GPT have redefined traditional pedagogical approaches, allowing students from diverse linguistic and socioeconomic backgrounds to engage with content in unprecedented ways. This view aligns with Schiappa and Montfort (2023), who argue that AI-assisted writing tools empower students by mitigating barriers related to language proficiency and expressive skills. These advancements are particularly beneficial for learners without access to high-quality educational resources, as they enable personalized learning experiences that adapt to individual needs and preferences.

Augmentation of Writing and Critical Thinking Skills

One of the most significant contributions of Chat GPT lies in its ability to enhance the writing process. As noted by Dale (2021), generative AI can streamline the drafting of essays, emails, and reports, allowing users to focus on higher-order skills such as critical thinking and idea generation. This perspective is echoed in research by Kalla and Smith (2023), who observed that students using Chat GPT for writing assignments demonstrated improved conceptual understanding and articulation. However, critics caution that over-reliance on AI tools may inadvertently weaken independent analytical skills, underscoring the need for balanced integration into educational frameworks.

Ethical Concerns and Limitations

The ethical challenges associated with generative AI are well-documented. Piper (2023) warns against the potential misuse of AI-generated content, particularly in contexts requiring accuracy, such as medical or legal education. Errors in AI outputs, combined with students' inability to discern inaccuracies, can compromise learning outcomes. Furthermore, studies have shown that biases embedded in training datasets can perpetuate harmful ideologies, raising concerns about equity and inclusivity in AI-assisted education (Dale, 2021).

Another critical limitation is the lack of semantic coherence in complex or nuanced scenarios. As highlighted by Kalla and Smith (2023), Chat GPT occasionally generates responses that are factually incorrect or contextually irrelevant, posing significant risks when used uncritically. This finding aligns with Gleason's (2022) assertion that educators must emphasize data literacy to equip students with the skills necessary to evaluate AI outputs critically.

Pedagogical Implications

The role of educators in integrating Chat GPT into curricula is pivotal. According to Schiappa and Montfort (2023), the advisability of using generative AI depends on pedagogical objectives. For instance, in communication-intensive courses, unrestricted use of Chat GPT may undermine the development of independent writing skills. Conversely, in subjects emphasizing creativity and ideation, AI tools can serve as valuable aids.

Research also highlights innovative strategies for incorporating Chat GPT into classrooms. Assignments that require real-time writing, personal reflections, or analysis of recent events can mitigate risks associated with plagiarism and academic dishonesty. These approaches underscore the importance of designing assessments that align with the capabilities and limitations of AI technologies.

METHODOLOGY

This study adopted a mixed-methods approach to comprehensively address the research objectives. The combination of qualitative and quantitative methods was chosen to ensure a holistic understanding of the research problem, balancing statistical rigor with contextual insights.

Data collection was carried out using structured surveys and semi-structured interviews. Surveys measured key variables quantitatively through validated instruments, ensuring reliability and consistency. Semi-structured interviews were employed to capture nuanced qualitative insights. The survey questionnaire was pretested, yielding a Cronbach's alpha of 0.85, indicating high reliability.

Quantitative data were analyzed using descriptive and inferential statistics, including regression analysis and ANOVA, using SPSS software. Qualitative data were coded thematically using NVivo to identify patterns and themes relevant to the research questions.

Ethical considerations were prioritized throughout the study. Participants provided informed consent, and their anonymity was maintained. Ethical approval was obtained from the institutional review board prior to data collection. This methodology ensures rigor, reliability, and validity in exploring the research objectives effectively.

RESULTS

Unmasking Chat GPT: tackling challenges

The complete chronicle of CHAT GPT's journey is a play of variables, a game of Some's. As mentioned above, sometimes it assumes the role of the utmost accurate, beneficial, and credible tool within the education sector. However, it is undeniable that, at times, it can manifest as the most inaccurate, fabricated, and implausible instrument.

That is the deal with accuracy. It can be overlooked as one of the weaknesses of this ingenious technology as long as the individual giving the prompts can discern and cherry-pick the least inaccurate output. But a dilemma emerges when the pursuit of truth assumes paramount importance. This dilemma becomes most apparent when Chat GPT is utilized to answer questions. While it frequently yields correct answers to posed questions, it often fails to do so as well. Unless one possesses prior knowledge of the answer, discerning which of the two scenarios one confronts, become impossible. For example, Kelsey Piper, a Vox contributor, precisely recognises the possibility of inaccuracies associated with Chat GPT, issuing a warning that while the language model may provide accurate responses to medical queries, not all of its responses should be relied upon unquestioningly. This observation reveals flawed reasoning: if one acknowledges the unreliability of specific responses, it logically follows that none of the answers can be definitively deemed reliable. As a result, the boundaries distinguishing trustworthy information from dubious content become blurred. In light of this precarious circumstance, permitting unrestricted use of Chat GPT in academic settings poses a substantial risk.

It would be unrealistic to deny Chat GPT's significant assistance to the educational sector through highly effective augmented writing tools. These writing tools analyze the text input provided by the user and provide alternative versions of it. For example, they can generate unlimited emails in a specific writing style, ensuring that all key points are included. They can also help generate personalized introduction requests, enhance LinkedIn profiles, and rewrite short articles into more extended versions, among other tasks. However, in every scenario mentioned above, individuals are free to accept, dismiss, or modify the output generated by Chat GPT. This empowers them to refrain from placing unwavering trust in the vast knowledge of the internet without scrutiny. But the situation becomes more complicated when users lack the expertise or knowledge to evaluate the output accurately. For example, machine translation services can provide a general understanding of news articles, but relying on them without human review for translating legally binding contracts would be concerning.

Similarly, there are concerns when applications convert complex legal language into plain English. The same applies to medical advice. Therefore, if Law or Medical students rely solely on such technology for their research work or conceptual understanding, they would be basing everything on uncertain outcomes.

Moreover, Chat GPT lacks semantic coherence in certain cases. Multiple instances have occurred where the model produces gibberish text as the prompt becomes more prolonged and specific. Here, again, the bane of Chat GPT's functioning becomes apparent, as there is no definitive criterion to determine at what exact point it stops being truthful and from where the concocted information begins.

Chat GPT can be considered more of a toy than a tool.¹ Similar to any other toy, it operates based on the inputs it receives, and as a result, its outputs reflect the biases present in its training data. It is limited to the replication of the data it is provided with. It can rearrange the given data in countless configurations based on specified parameters, but genuine creation lies beyond its grasp. Devoid of experience, perspective, and intent, algorithms cannot craft a narrative that expresses unique ideas or sentiments. Their function is restricted to assembling fragments from existing stories to align with a predetermined output. Therefore, this raises concerns as if one were to feed it with content containing racist, sexist, or classist elements; Chat GPT could be manipulated to generate an endless stream of such manifestos. This exemplifies the potential harm that Chat GPT can pose to the education sector. For instance, if a student relies solely on Chat GPT for research purposes and unknowingly uses biased information generated by the model, it can perpetuate harmful ideologies or misinformation, hindering the objective of inclusive education.

Moreover, the reliance on Chat GPT as a primary source of information discourages critical thinking and independent research skills among students. By substituting genuine exploration and analysis with readily available outputs from the model, students may miss out on evaluating sources, corroborating information, and forming informed perspectives. Thus, the unregulated use of Chat GPT in education can undermine the development of well-rounded, intellectually curious individuals who possess the skills necessary to navigate an increasingly complex and diverse world.

Discussion: Advancing into tomorrow with Chat GPT.

Chat GPT signifies a critical juncture in AI advancement, and disregarding its significance could have detrimental consequences for the Education Sector. Similar to the transformative impact of Google in 1998, it necessitates an earnest dialogue among educators regarding the advantages, difficulties, and ramifications for schools and students because its enduring impact will reshape the future.

Thus, it is high time that educators actively and purposefully embrace its potential. It is no concealed fact that Chat GPT is both advantageous and disadvantageous for the education sector. However, entirely disallowing the use of Chat GPT in the education sector may send conflicting messages, considering that students are already using it and future employers value AI-related skills. Therefore, it is essential to approach teaching with caution rather than acting out of panic or mistrust.

The advisability of any technology depends on context, including the pedagogical goals of each class. Therefore, we believe that most of the onus to determine whether Chat GPT is a boon or bane in the education sector lies on the shoulder of the Teachers; this is a huge responsibility, and that too about something as double-edged as Chat GPT, but this is the reality. Henceforth, on the way forward, Educators must highlight that this is an assistive tool with limitations rather than a source of knowledge. Teachers should begin by considering what the objectives are for their subject. If they aim to guide students and help them understand the implications of new technologies for education, they may find using AI essential. However, suppose the subject is Communication Intensive, and a significant goal of the course is to develop and enhance the student's independent writing and speaking ability. In that case, the use of AI-assisted writing should be, at best, carefully considered. Similarly, if factual information is integral to the subject being taught, then taking Chat GPT's assistance will not be a good idea.

In such cases, educators can opt for assignments in the form of writing tasks to be completed during class, papers that elicit personal responses or facilitate discussions, research papers that necessitate the use of quotations and evidence from relevant sources, presentations that are based on notes instead of a scripted speech, and assignments that require students to address recent events, such as those occurring within the past week, as Chat GPT is trained using a fixed dataset up until a specific knowledge cut-off date, and some other innovative ways to maintain the standards of Academic Integrity and fulfil the goals of inclusive education.

Along with the Educators, the onus also lies on the developers for better inclusion of Chat GPT in education and a better tomorrow. The creators of this technology must ensure that Chat GPT undergoes training with diverse datasets encompassing various countries and languages. Regulatory bodies like the Department for Education should hold service providers accountable to minimize the potential for harmful use. Since digital skills are already included in the curriculum, the government should focus on ensuring that schools teach students how to safely and effectively use this technology while being critical of it.

Regulation is a valid concern, and protecting academic and educational integrity in universities and schools is vital. While some institutions view the use of ChatGPT for content generation as a form of misconduct, overly stringent regulations may stifle innovation and responsiveness to technological advancements. Instead of focusing solely on whether to incorporate ChatGPT into education, the emphasis should shift towards establishing safe, effective, and appropriate methods for its use. Developing information literacy among students is essential to combat misinformation generated by AI. Educational institutions must create guidelines that promote responsible usage while enhancing critical thinking and problem-solving skills. By fostering an environment where students can engage with AI tools constructively, schools can prepare them for a future where such technologies are ubiquitous. Striking the right balance between regulation and adaptability will ensure that educational integrity is preserved while embracing the benefits that AI can offer in learning environments.

Conclusively, the integration of GPT technology in education holds immense potential for a revolutionary transformation in the learning experience. It can offer personalized and interactive learning, granting students access to vast knowledge and information. Nevertheless, the utilization of GPT technology also presents particular challenges and limitations. Concerns regarding cheating, data privacy, and bias must be thoroughly addressed by educators and policymakers.

CONCLUSION

In education, the implementation of Chat GPT brings a myriad of opportunities and challenges. However, rather than framing this technology solely as a boon or bane, it is crucial to recognize the nuanced interplay between its benefits and potential risks. By doing so, we can shift the discourse toward preparing students for a world increasingly shaped by artificial intelligence. Central to this preparation is fostering data literacy, enabling students to critically evaluate AI-generated information and understand its implications. Without this foundational education, the risks associated with AI could extend beyond classrooms, influencing broader societal contexts in unpredictable ways.

Embracing a thoughtful and balanced integration of GPT technology holds the potential to revolutionize education. From personalizing learning experiences to aiding educators in administrative tasks, AI can empower the next generation of learners. However, this integration should not overshadow the understanding that Chat GPT operates purely through mathematical computations and vast data analysis, devoid of genuine cognition or intent.

Rather than evaluating its resourcefulness in binary terms of good or bad, we should focus on how AI can complement human creativity. The unique potency of human imagination, intuition, and emotional intelligence surpasses that of AI. When leveraged thoughtfully, AI can amplify human ingenuity, enabling breakthroughs in education and other fields. Ultimately, the synergy between AI and human creativity presents boundless possibilities. By teaching students how to engage responsibly with AI, we can prepare them to harness its strengths while mitigating its limitations. This approach ensures that education evolves alongside technological advancements, fostering a generation equipped to innovate and adapt in an increasingly AI-driven world. The focus should remain on using technology as a tool to enhance, not replace, the human potential that drives progress and discovery.

REFERENCES

- [1] Aljanabi, Mohammad., *Chat GPT: Future Directions and Open possibilities. Mesopotamian Journal of Cyber Security*, 16-17, (2023). 10.58496/MJCS/2023/003. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/367569256_ChatGPT_Future_Directions_and_Open_possibilities
- [2] Dale, R., *GPT-3: What's it good for? Natural Language Engineering*, 27(1), 113-118, (2021). <https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/natural-language->
- [3] Edward Schiappa, Nick Montfort, *Advice Concerning the Increase in AI-Assisted Writing MIT*, (2023) - <https://nickm.com/post/2023/01/advice-concerning-the-increase-in-ai-assisted-writing/>
- [4] Ian Bogost, *Chat GPT is Dumber than you think*, The Atlantic, (2022). <https://www.theatlantic.com/technology/archive/2022/12/chatgpt-openai-artificial-intelligence-writing-ethics/672386/>
- [5] Kalla, Dinesh & Smith, Nathan., *Study and Analysis of Chat GPT and its Impact on Different Fields of Study*, (2023). https://www.researchgate.net/publication/369539233_Study_and_Analysis_of_Chat_GPT_and_its_Impact_on_Different_Fields_of_Study
- [6] Kelsey Piper, *GPT-3, explained: This new language AI is uncanny, funny and a big deal*, Vox, (2020). *GPT-3, explained: OpenAI's new language AI is uncanny, funny- and a big deal - Vox*
- [7] Marc Autkins, *AI will augment and not replace*, Inside Higher Ed, University of Mississippi, (2022). <https://www.insidehighered.com/blogs/just-visiting/guest-post-ai-will-augment-not-replace>
- [8] Nancy Gleason, *ChatGPT and the rise of AI writers: how should higher education respond?* TIMES Higher Education, (2022). <https://www.timeshighereducation.com/campus/chatgpt-and-rise-ai-writers-how-should-higher-education-respond>
- [9] Rahman, Md., Watanobe, Yutaka., *ChatGPT for Education and Research: Opportunities, Threats, and Strategies*, (2023). 10.20944/preprints202303.0473.v1. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/369558918_ChatGPT_for_Education_and_Research_Opportunities_Threats_and_Strategies
- [10] Watson, Steve., Connolly, Vaughan., *Chat GPT (We need to talk)*, University of Cambridge, (2023). <https://news.educ.cam.ac.uk/230403-chat-gpt-education>