

Interdental Papilla Reconstruction: Biological Determinants, Contemporary Treatment Modalities, and an Evidence-Based Clinical Approach

Dr. Ayesha Ahmed¹, Ankit Kumar², Amisha Goyal³, Varsha Kapoor⁴

ABSTRACT

Background: The interdental papilla plays an important role in periodontal health, phonetics, and dental aesthetics. Its loss produces open gingival embrasures, commonly termed gingival black triangles, which may compromise smile aesthetics, facilitate food impaction, and adversely affect patient satisfaction. Despite advances in periodontal plastic surgery and regenerative biomaterials, predictable reconstruction of the interdental papilla remains challenging because of its restricted vascular supply, complex anatomical relationships, and dependence on the underlying interproximal bone.

Aim: This review evaluates the biological determinants of interdental papillary deficiency and critically discusses contemporary orthodontic, restorative, surgical, minimally invasive, and tissue-engineering approaches to its management.

Methods: A focused narrative review was developed using the supplied educational materials as an initial framework and supplemented by PubMed-indexed clinical studies, systematic reviews, randomized controlled trials, and foundational publications. Particular attention was given to the 2024 systematic review of interdental papilla reconstruction, subsequent evidence concerning hyaluronic acid and collagen matrices, and comparative clinical investigations of connective tissue grafting and platelet-rich fibrin.

Review findings: Papillary deficiency is multifactorial, involving periodontal attachment and bone loss, tooth morphology, root angulation, contact-area configuration, orthodontic changes, and restorative factors. The distance between the interproximal contact point and the alveolar bone crest remains an important predictor of papillary presence. Orthodontic and restorative interventions are useful when tooth position or embrasure morphology contributes to the defect.

Surgical procedures, particularly those using connective tissue grafts, can improve papillary dimensions in selected cases, although complete regeneration is not predictable. Hyaluronic acid injections may provide short-term aesthetic improvement in small defects, while platelet-rich fibrin and volume-stable collagen matrices represent potential alternatives to conventional grafting. However, small sample sizes, heterogeneous protocols, and limited long-term data constrain comparisons between interventions.

Conclusion: Successful management requires a diagnosis-driven, interdisciplinary approach that addresses the underlying cause rather than the visible black triangle alone. Current evidence supports individualized treatment selection, realistic patient expectations, and periodontal maintenance. Further adequately powered clinical trials with standardized outcomes and longer follow-up are required to establish comparative effectiveness and long-term stability.

Keywords: Interdental papilla; gingival black triangle; papilla reconstruction; connective tissue graft; hyaluronic acid; platelet-rich fibrin; periodontal plastic surgery; orthodontics; collagen matrix.

INTRODUCTION

The interdental papilla is the portion of the gingiva that occupies the space between adjacent teeth. Its morphology is influenced by the shape and dimensions of the adjacent teeth, the location and extent of the interproximal contact area, the course of the cemento-enamel junction (CEJ), and the height of the underlying alveolar bone. The papilla consists of connective tissue covered by oral epithelium and contributes to the continuity of the gingival architecture.

In the anterior dentition, the interdental papilla is generally pyramidal, whereas posterior papillae tend to be comparatively flattened in the buccolingual dimension. Together with the marginal gingiva, the papilla contributes to the scalloped gingival contour that is particularly important in the aesthetic zone.

Loss of papillary height produces an open gingival embrasure, commonly described as a gingival black triangle. Although the term emphasizes its aesthetic appearance, the condition may also have functional implications, including food impaction, altered phonetics, and difficulty maintaining comfortable interdental hygiene. Its psychological impact may be substantial because relatively small defects in the maxillary anterior region can become conspicuous during smiling and speaking.

The etiology of papillary deficiency is multifactorial. Periodontal attachment and bone loss are important contributors, but triangular crown morphology, divergent roots, interdental spacing, orthodontic tooth movement, aging, traumatic oral hygiene practices, and inappropriate restorative contours may also influence papillary height. Consequently, defects with a similar clinical appearance may have different underlying causes and require different therapeutic approaches.

Tarnow et al. established a clinically important relationship between the distance from the interproximal contact point to the bone crest and the presence of the interdental papilla. Their findings continue to inform clinical assessment, particularly when determining whether a defect is amenable to soft-tissue augmentation or whether modification of the contact relationship is more appropriate.

Numerous approaches have been proposed to manage papillary deficiency, including orthodontic correction, restorative recontouring, connective tissue grafting, pedicle flaps, hyaluronic acid injections, platelet-rich fibrin (PRF), collagen matrices, and tissue-engineering techniques. However, the restricted recipient-site dimensions and dependence on adequate vascular supply make surgical reconstruction technically demanding.

A systematic review published by Patel et al. in 2024 identified 45 studies investigating interdental papilla reconstruction but found substantial heterogeneity in study design and outcome assessment, preventing meta-analysis. The authors concluded that several techniques may improve clinical outcomes, while evidence supporting long-term effectiveness remains limited.

This review therefore examines the biological determinants of papillary deficiency and critically evaluates available treatment modalities. Its objective is to provide a clinically applicable framework for treatment selection while identifying limitations in the current evidence and priorities for future research.

REVIEW METHODOLOGY

A focused narrative literature review was undertaken. The two supplied presentations on interdental papilla reconstruction provided the initial framework for identifying anatomical concepts, classification systems, established surgical procedures, and emerging treatment modalities.

These materials were supplemented by literature indexed in PubMed, with emphasis on foundational anatomical studies, clinical investigations, randomized controlled trials, and systematic reviews. Relevant publications included studies by Tarnow et al. on the contact-point-to-bone-crest relationship, Nordland and Tarnow on papillary classification, Cardaropoli et al. on the Papilla Presence Index, and contemporary reviews evaluating surgical and non-surgical reconstructive techniques.

Search terms included combinations of “interdental papilla,” “gingival black triangle,” “papilla reconstruction,” “connective tissue graft,” “hyaluronic acid,” “platelet-rich fibrin,” “volume-stable collagen matrix,” “orthodontic treatment,” and “tissue engineering.”

Priority was given to human clinical studies and systematic reviews with clinically relevant follow-up. Publications concerning hyaluronic acid, PRF, connective tissue grafting, and collagen matrices were assessed with particular attention to their study designs, outcome measures, follow-up periods, and clinical applicability.

The 2024 systematic review by Patel et al., the 2025 systematic review of hyaluronic acid injections by Larderet et al., and the 2026 systematic review by Jamjoom and Bakhshwain were considered when interpreting the available comparative evidence.

This article is a narrative review, not a systematic review. It does not claim exhaustive retrieval of all eligible publications, duplicate independent screening, formal risk-of-bias assessment across the entire literature, or a quantitative synthesis of treatment outcomes. The conclusions are therefore qualitative and should be interpreted in light of the limitations of the underlying evidence.

Biological determinants of interdental papillary presence

3.1 Contact-point-to-bone-crest distance

The relationship between the interproximal contact point and the alveolar bone crest is one of the most important anatomical determinants of papillary presence. Tarnow, Magner, and Fletcher examined 288 interproximal sites in 30 patients and reported that the papilla was present almost 100% of the time when the distance from the contact point to the bone crest was 5 mm or less. Papillary presence decreased to 56% when the distance was 6 mm and to 27% when the distance was 7 mm or greater.

These findings suggest that the vertical distance between the contact area and the bone crest strongly influences the likelihood of complete embrasure fill. Periodontal destruction that reduces interproximal bone height increases this distance and may therefore compromise papillary support.

Nevertheless, the 5-mm observation should not be interpreted as an absolute biological threshold. The original study was observational, and papillary morphology is also influenced by tooth form, tissue phenotype, contact-area width, and other anatomical factors. The measurement is best regarded as a clinical predictor rather than a guarantee of papillary presence or reconstructive success.

3.2 Tooth morphology and contact-area configuration

Triangular-shaped crowns commonly have relatively narrow cervical dimensions and contact areas positioned more incisally. This morphology creates a larger gingival embrasure and increases the vertical space that must be occupied by the papilla.

Square-shaped crowns generally have broader contact areas positioned more cervically, resulting in a smaller embrasure. Consequently, patients with triangular crowns may exhibit black triangles even when periodontal support is relatively preserved.

This distinction is clinically important because a defect caused predominantly by crown morphology may be managed more rationally by modifying the contact relationship than by attempting to increase soft-tissue volume surgically.

3.3 Root angulation and interdental spacing

Divergent roots can increase the dimensions of the interproximal space and influence contact-area geometry. Diastemata and absent contact areas may also produce embrasures that are too large for the papilla to occupy completely.

Orthodontic correction can improve root parallelism and establish more favorable contact relationships. However, treatment must respect the alveolar housing and periodontal phenotype. Excessive or inappropriate tooth movement may compromise periodontal tissues and should not be undertaken solely to eliminate a cosmetic defect.

3.4 Periodontal support and gingival phenotype

Periodontitis may lead to interproximal attachment and bone loss, thereby reducing the anatomical support available to the papilla. In such cases, reconstructive treatment must be considered in the context of periodontal stability and long-term maintenance.

Gingival phenotype may also influence treatment response. A thicker phenotype provides greater tissue volume and may be more favorable for augmentation than a thin phenotype. However, phenotype alone does not determine the outcome, and adequate vascular supply and the underlying bone architecture remain important.

The limited dimensions of the interdental recipient site are a particular challenge for grafting procedures. Graft survival and integration depend on adequate vascularization, tissue stability, and appropriate wound closure. These biological constraints help explain why procedures that work predictably in larger mucogingival defects may be less predictable in the interdental region.

Etiology and classification of papillary deficiency

4.1 Etiological factors

Papillary deficiency may arise from one or several interacting factors.

Periodontal attachment and bone loss: Loss of interproximal support is an important cause of papillary recession in adults. The increased distance between the contact point and bone crest reduces the likelihood of complete papillary fill.

Age-associated changes: Changes in gingival architecture and the cumulative effects of periodontal and dental changes may increase the prevalence of open embrasures. Age alone, however, should not be assumed to explain an individual defect.

Triangular crown morphology: Narrow cervical tooth dimensions and relatively incisal contact areas produce larger gingival embrasures, increasing the risk of incomplete papillary fill.

Root divergence and malposition: Rotations, crowding, divergent roots, and unfavorable contact relationships may contribute to black-triangle formation.

Orthodontic treatment: Alignment of crowded teeth may reveal pre-existing embrasure spaces previously obscured by tooth overlap. Changes in root position and contact relationships can also influence the final gingival contour.

Restorative factors: Inappropriate proximal contours, inadequate contact areas, and poorly designed restorations may contribute to food impaction, plaque retention, and unsatisfactory embrasure morphology.

Traumatic oral hygiene practices: Repeated mechanical trauma may contribute to soft-tissue injury. Effective plaque control remains essential, but cleaning techniques and interdental aids should be adapted to the anatomical space.

Interdental spacing: Diastemata and absent contact areas may create spaces that cannot be predictably filled through soft-tissue augmentation alone.

Correct diagnosis is therefore fundamental. A black triangle resulting primarily from tooth morphology requires a different strategy from one associated with substantial periodontal attachment loss. Mixed etiologies are common and frequently require interdisciplinary treatment.

4.2 Nordland and Tarnow classification

Nordland and Tarnow proposed a classification system based on three anatomical landmarks: the interdental contact point, the interproximal CEJ, and the facial CEJ.

- **Normal:** The papilla fills the embrasure apical to the contact point.
- **Class I:** The papillary tip lies apical to the contact point but coronal to the interproximal CEJ.
- **Class II:** The papillary tip is at or apical to the interproximal CEJ but remains coronal to the facial CEJ.
- **Class III:** The papillary tip is at or apical to the facial CEJ.

This system provides a clinically useful description of papillary recession and facilitates communication between clinicians. Its application may be complicated by restorations, tooth wear, or altered crown morphology that obscure the relevant landmarks.

4.3 Papilla Presence Index

Cardaropoli, Re, and Corrente introduced the Papilla Presence Index (PPI) to assess interproximal papillary levels.

- **PPI 1:** The papilla completely fills the embrasure and reaches the contact point.
- **PPI 2:** The papilla lies apical to the contact point, but the interproximal CEJ is not visible.
- **PPI 3:** The papilla has migrated apically and the interproximal CEJ is visible.
- **PPI 4:** The papilla lies apical to both the interproximal and facial CEJ landmarks.

The PPI can be used to record baseline conditions and monitor changes following treatment. However, neither the PPI nor the Nordland and Tarnow classification independently predicts surgical success. Defect dimensions, contact-to-bone distance, tissue phenotype, tooth morphology, and periodontal stability must also be considered.

Principles of clinical assessment and treatment planning

5.1 Periodontal stability

Elective papillary reconstruction should be undertaken only after periodontal inflammation has been adequately controlled. Plaque control, professional periodontal treatment when indicated, and supportive periodontal care provide the foundation for stable healing.

Aesthetic reconstruction should not replace appropriate treatment of active periodontal disease. When papillary loss is associated with substantial attachment loss, the clinician should explain that restoration of the original anatomy may not be biologically achievable.

5.2 Anatomical assessment

The clinical examination should document papillary height, the position and width of the contact area, crown morphology, root alignment, interdental spacing, facial gingival recession, and the condition of adjacent soft tissues.

Appropriate radiographs may help assess interproximal bone height and its relationship to the contact area. Imaging should be selected according to clinical indication, with radiation exposure minimized.

The contact-point-to-bone-crest distance is particularly useful when estimating the potential for papillary fill. If the distance exceeds 5 mm because of bone loss or an unfavorable contact relationship, soft-tissue surgery alone is less likely to achieve complete fill. In selected cases, modifying the contact area may be more appropriate than attempting to increase tissue height surgically.

5.3 Patient expectations

Patients should be informed that treatment may reduce the visible black triangle without completely eliminating it. Expected benefits, invasiveness, donor-site morbidity, treatment duration, maintenance requirements, cost, and the possibility of retreatment should be discussed.

The least invasive approach capable of addressing the underlying cause should generally be considered first. This principle does not exclude surgery; rather, it emphasizes that surgery should be selected when the anatomy is suitable and the anticipated benefit justifies the intervention.

Orthodontic and restorative management

6.1 Orthodontic correction

Orthodontic treatment can improve papillary appearance by correcting root divergence, repositioning teeth, closing diastemata, and modifying contact relationships. When divergent roots contribute to the defect, improved root parallelism may permit the contact area to extend apically, reducing the vertical dimension of the embrasure.

Orthodontic treatment is particularly relevant when black triangles are associated with crowding, rotation, or unfavorable tooth position. It may also be combined with periodontal surgery or restorative treatment when a single modality is insufficient.

Treatment planning must consider the periodontal phenotype, available bone, root position, and the possibility that alignment will reveal an existing black triangle. Patients should be counselled about this possibility before treatment, particularly when triangular crowns and reduced interproximal bone height are present.

6.2 Interproximal reduction

Interproximal reduction involves conservative removal of selected proximal enamel to modify tooth shape and facilitate space closure. In appropriately selected patients with triangular crowns, it can convert a narrow contact point into a broader contact area positioned more apically.

When combined with orthodontic space closure, interproximal reduction may reduce the vertical height of the open embrasure and improve the likelihood of soft-tissue fill. It must be planned with consideration of enamel thickness, tooth dimensions, caries risk, occlusion, and the amount of reduction required.

The objective is to improve contact geometry while preserving tooth structure and natural crown proportions.

6.3 Restorative modification

Composite additions, veneers, or other appropriately indicated restorations may improve open embrasures by changing proximal crown contours and extending the contact area cervically.

Restorative management is particularly useful when tooth shape is the primary contributing factor. However, excessive enlargement can produce disproportionately wide teeth, plaque-retentive contours, compromised emergence profiles, and unsatisfactory aesthetics.

The relationship between tooth width and height should be considered when modifying the maxillary anterior teeth. A combined orthodontic-restorative approach may preserve more natural proportions than restorative enlargement alone. Restorations should provide cleansable proximal contours and appropriate contact relationships. The objective is to improve the embrasure while maintaining periodontal health rather than merely concealing the visible black triangle.

6.4 Correction of traumatic oral hygiene practices

When repetitive trauma is suspected, the clinician should assess brushing and interdental cleaning techniques. Education and appropriate selection of interdental aids can reduce tissue injury while maintaining effective plaque control.

Routine plaque removal should not be abandoned without a clinical reason. Instead, cleaning methods should be adapted to the available space, recession, and patient dexterity. Inflammation and plaque accumulation can compromise the outcomes of both surgical and non-surgical treatment.

Surgical approaches to interdental papilla reconstruction

Surgical reconstruction aims to increase papillary height, augment soft-tissue volume, or reposition existing tissue coronally. The success of these procedures depends on donor-tissue availability, preservation of vascular supply, wound stability, and the anatomical limitations of the recipient site.

7.1 Beagle's technique

Beagle described a surgical procedure for reconstructing a collapsed interdental papilla using principles of ridge augmentation and papilla preservation. The technique was presented in a case report in 1992.

Subsequent modifications have used pedicle tissue mobilized from adjacent gingiva to improve papillary coverage. The principal advantage of a pedicle approach is that the tissue retains a vascular connection with its donor site, potentially improving survival in a restricted recipient area.

However, available tissue dimensions, surgical access, flap mobility, and preservation of blood supply constrain the technique. The evidence is primarily based on case reports and small clinical studies, and long-term predictability remains uncertain.

7.2 Han and Takei's semilunar coronally repositioned papilla technique

Han and Takei proposed a technique combining a semilunar incision with a connective tissue graft. A semilunar incision is made in the facial alveolar mucosa, and a pouch-like recipient site is prepared in the interdental region. Intrasulcular incisions around the adjacent teeth release the gingival-papillary unit, allowing it to be displaced coronally.

A subepithelial connective tissue graft harvested from the palate is inserted into the pouch to support the repositioned tissue. The procedure aims to increase papillary volume while minimizing disruption of the overlying tissue.

The technique combines coronal repositioning with graft-based augmentation. Nevertheless, the restricted surgical space, potential for tissue tearing, and dependence on adequate vascularization make it technically demanding. Postoperative shrinkage may also limit the final result.

7.3 Azzi's envelope flap approach

Azzi and colleagues described an envelope-type flap for coverage of a connective tissue graft in the interdental region. Intrasulcular incisions are made adjacent to the defect, followed by facial flap elevation to permit access to the proximal site.

A connective tissue graft is harvested, trimmed to the required dimensions, and placed beneath the flap. The flap is then repositioned and sutured to cover the graft. Related approaches have combined connective tissue grafting with autogenous bone grafting when hard- and soft-tissue deficiencies coexist.

The biological rationale is to increase tissue volume while maintaining adequate coverage and vascular support. However, graft placement does not guarantee complete or durable papillary fill. The need for a donor site and the technical sensitivity of the procedure remain important limitations.

7.4 Modified pedicle and microsurgical techniques

Modifications of pedicle approaches have been developed to improve flap mobility and coronal advancement. Such procedures generally involve carefully positioned incisions, partial-thickness dissection, mobilization of the papillary unit, and coronal stabilization with sutures.

Microsurgical and tunnel-based approaches seek to minimize tissue trauma and preserve vascularity. Their outcomes depend on the defect configuration, availability of suitable tissue, operator skill, and ability to achieve stable closure.

Although individual clinical studies have reported improvements in papillary dimensions, small samples and heterogeneous methods limit comparisons between surgical techniques. Results from one specific flap design should not be generalized to all papillary defects.

7.5 Subepithelial connective tissue grafting

Subepithelial connective tissue grafting is widely used in periodontal plastic surgery because it can increase soft-tissue volume and provide an autogenous tissue scaffold. In papilla reconstruction, the graft may be placed beneath a coronally repositioned papillary flap, within a pouch, or under a tunnel-based preparation.

Its advantages include the use of autogenous tissue and the potential for integration with the recipient site. Limitations include donor-site morbidity, postoperative discomfort, restricted graft dimensions, and technique sensitivity.

The 2024 systematic review by Patel et al. found that soft-tissue grafting, particularly subepithelial connective tissue grafting, had comparatively robust evidence for longer-term reduction of black triangles. However, the review could not perform a meta-analysis because of substantial heterogeneity and concluded that the evidence was insufficient to make definitive recommendations for clinicians.

Connective tissue grafting should therefore be considered a useful option in appropriately selected patients rather than a guaranteed method of restoring the original papilla.

Minimally invasive and biomaterial-based approaches

8.1 Hyaluronic acid injections

Hyaluronic acid is a naturally occurring glycosaminoglycan present in the extracellular matrix of connective tissues. Its water-binding capacity and contribution to tissue hydration have led to its use as an injectable material for soft-tissue volumization.

In papilla reconstruction, hyaluronic acid is injected into the soft tissue apical to the papillary tip with the intention of increasing tissue volume and reducing the visible black triangle. Some clinical protocols involve repeated injections at intervals of several weeks.

Potential advantages include avoidance of a palatal donor site and limited surgical trauma. However, outcomes vary according to defect dimensions, gingival phenotype, anatomical location, material characteristics, injection protocol, and follow-up duration.

Makdisi et al. published a systematic review and meta-analysis in 2023 that included eight clinical studies, six of which contributed to the meta-analysis. The pooled analysis reported a 57.7% reduction in black-triangle area at six months. The authors noted clinical diversity and reported some relapse in individual studies.

Collectively, these findings suggest that hyaluronic acid may be a reasonable minimally invasive option for selected small defects. Nevertheless, complete papillary fill and long-term stability remain uncertain, and the evidence does not establish superiority over connective tissue grafting or other regenerative approaches.

8.2 Platelet-rich fibrin

Platelet-rich fibrin is an autologous fibrin-based biomaterial containing platelets, leukocytes, and a matrix capable of supporting cellular migration and the release of biologically active mediators during healing. Its use in papilla reconstruction is based on the potential to support angiogenesis and soft-tissue repair.

PRF has been used beneath surgically repositioned flaps, with microsurgical tunnelling procedures, and in combination with other tissue-augmentation techniques. Injectable formulations have also been investigated as minimally invasive approaches.

Potential advantages include autologous origin and avoidance of a separate connective tissue donor site. However, biological activity and improved wound healing do not necessarily translate into predictable long-term tissue-volume gain.

A randomized controlled trial comparing connective tissue grafting with PRF using the Han and Takei technique reported improvements in clinical parameters in both groups over three months. Other clinical investigations have reported favorable outcomes with both materials, although comparative findings vary according to the procedure and follow-up period.

A randomized trial comparing advanced PRF with connective tissue grafting reported significant improvements in papillary height in both groups at 12 months, without a statistically significant difference between them. However, a nonsignificant difference in a relatively small trial does not establish formal equivalence or non-inferiority.

PRF may therefore be considered an alternative or adjunct in selected cases, but clinicians should explain that the evidence remains limited and does not establish consistent superiority over connective tissue grafting.

8.3 Volume-stable collagen matrices

Autogenous connective tissue grafts provide an established method of soft-tissue augmentation but require a donor site and may cause postoperative morbidity. Volume-stable collagen matrices have been developed as substitutes that eliminate the need for autogenous tissue harvesting.

These matrices provide a scaffold intended to support tissue integration, fibroblast migration, extracellular matrix formation, and vascular ingrowth. Their structural stability may be advantageous in papillary reconstruction, where sufficient tissue volume must be maintained during healing.

A randomized controlled trial by Sharma et al., published in *Clinical Oral Investigations* in 2025, compared a volume-stable collagen matrix with a subepithelial connective tissue graft in 36 participants with Class II papillary loss. Both groups demonstrated significant improvements in papillary height and related clinical measurements at six months. The collagen-matrix group had comparable clinical outcomes and reported less postoperative pain.

These results are promising, particularly for patients who prefer to avoid a palatal donor site. However, the findings arise from a relatively small trial with limited follow-up. Additional independent studies are needed to confirm durability, reproducibility, and comparative effectiveness across different defect configurations.

Collagen matrices should not automatically be considered equivalent to connective tissue grafts for every papillary defect. Their role should be determined by the available clinical evidence, defect anatomy, material characteristics, and patient preferences.

8.4 Autologous fibroblast therapy

McGuire and Scheyer investigated cultured and expanded autologous fibroblast injections for interdental papillary insufficiency in a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled clinical study published in 2007.

The approach involved a minimally invasive papilla-priming procedure followed by injections of autologous fibroblasts. The objective was to augment open interproximal spaces without conventional graft harvesting.

Although this approach provides an important example of tissue-engineering research, it requires specialized cell-processing procedures and cannot be regarded as equivalent to routine injectable biomaterials. Clinical effectiveness, feasibility, long-term stability, and cost-effectiveness require careful consideration before routine use.

8.5 Stem-cell-based approaches

Stem-cell-based approaches represent an experimental direction in periodontal tissue engineering. A published clinical report by Yamada and colleagues described a tissue-engineering strategy involving autologous bone-marrow-derived mesenchymal cells in combination with platelet-rich plasma and hyaluronic acid.

Such approaches are biologically interesting because they attempt to support tissue formation through cellular and scaffold-based mechanisms. Nevertheless, the complexity of cell harvesting, culture, preparation, and delivery limits routine clinical application.

Evidence from individual reports cannot be generalized to ordinary papillary defects or interpreted as proof of superiority over conventional reconstructive procedures. Safety, regulatory requirements, reproducibility, cost, and long-term clinical outcomes must be established before these interventions can be considered standard care.

Comparative evidence and clinical interpretation

The current literature indicates that several approaches can improve interdental papillary deficiencies, but the extent and durability of improvement vary. Reduction in black-triangle dimensions should not be equated with complete anatomical regeneration, and short-term improvement does not necessarily establish long-term stability.

The 2024 systematic review by Patel et al. included 45 studies: seven randomized controlled trials, two cohort studies, 19 case series, and 17 case reports. The review covered hyaluronic acid, PRF, soft-tissue grafting, orthodontic treatment, and other modalities. Heterogeneity in study design and outcome measures prevented meta-analysis.

These findings have several implications for clinical practice.

First, the primary cause of the defect should determine the treatment strategy. When tooth morphology, root position, or contact configuration is the principal contributor, orthodontic and restorative correction may be more appropriate than soft-tissue augmentation.

Second, connective tissue grafting remains a well-established reconstructive option for selected defects, but its invasiveness and technical demands must be considered. The evidence does not establish that it is the best option for every patient.

Third, minimally invasive approaches such as hyaluronic acid and PRF may be attractive when limited defects and patient preferences favor less invasive procedures. However, clinicians should avoid presenting these materials as predictable replacements for connective tissue grafts.

Fourth, collagen matrices may reduce donor-site morbidity, but their longer-term outcomes require further validation.

Finally, the evidence base remains limited by small samples, heterogeneous protocols, inconsistent outcome measurements, and relatively few long-term controlled trials. Clinical recommendations should therefore remain cautious and individualized.

10. A practical clinical decision-making framework

An evidence-based approach to managing interdental papillary deficiency requires a structured, etiology-driven protocol:

1. **Establish Periodontal Stability:** Control active inflammation and establish supportive periodontal therapy prior to elective aesthetic interventions.
2. **Identify Primary Etiology:** Determine whether the defect stems from attachment/bone loss, triangular tooth morphology, root divergence, diastemata, or iatrogenic restorations.
3. **Assess Anatomical Feasibility:** Measure contact-point-to-bone-crest distance, papillary defect dimensions, and gingival phenotype.
4. **Select Etiology-Driven Therapy:**
 - *Triangular Crowns:* Conservative interproximal reduction, orthodontic space closure, or restorative recontouring.
 - *Root Divergence / Diastemata:* Orthodontic realignments to optimize proximal contact geometry.
 - *Minor Soft-Tissue Deficiencies:* Injectable hyaluronic acid, acknowledging limited long-term durability.
 - *Localized Volume Loss:* Subepithelial connective tissue grafts or pedicle flap approaches.
 - *High Surgical Morbidity Concerns:* Volume-stable collagen matrices or platelet-rich fibrin (PRF) as alternatives to autogenous harvesting.
 - *Severe Attachment / Bone Loss:* Prioritize contact-area modification over surgical soft-tissue augmentation, setting realistic expectations regarding complete fill.
5. **Establish Informed Consent:** Manage expectations regarding potential postoperative shrinkage, partial fill, and the need for long-term maintenance.
6. **Systematic Outcome Monitoring:** Record standardized photography, clinical metrics, patient-reported aesthetic satisfaction, and periodontal stability longitudinally.

FUTURE RESEARCH DIRECTIONS

Future research in interdental papilla reconstruction requires adequately powered, multi-arm randomized controlled trials that directly compare surgical grafting, biomaterials (PRF and collagen matrices), injectable agents (hyaluronic acid), and orthodontic-restorative approaches across strictly defined defect etiological factors. Research protocols must adopt standardized outcome metrics—including clinical papillary height, three-dimensional black-triangle volumetric reduction, patient-reported outcomes, and health-related quality of life—assessed over long-term follow-up periods exceeding 12 months to account for tissue remodeling and relapse. Furthermore, multivariate analyses are needed to clarify how baseline variables, such as gingival phenotype, contact-to-bone distance, and defect architecture, influence clinical success. Finally, emerging tissue-engineering strategies must be rigorously evaluated for safety, reproducibility, cost-effectiveness, and therapeutic superiority beyond biological plausibility alone.

CONCLUSION

Interdental papilla reconstruction remains a challenging aspect of aesthetic periodontal therapy because papillary form depends on the interaction of interproximal bone height, tooth morphology, contact relationships, and soft-tissue characteristics. The multifactorial etiology of gingival black triangles means that no single treatment can address every clinical presentation.

Orthodontic and restorative interventions are particularly useful when unfavorable root position, tooth shape, or contact configuration contributes to the defect. Surgical approaches, especially connective tissue grafting, can improve soft-tissue dimensions in selected cases, although restricted vascular supply, technical demands, and postoperative shrinkage limit predictability. Hyaluronic acid injections and PRF offer less invasive alternatives, while volume-stable collagen matrices may reduce donor-site morbidity. Tissue-engineering approaches remain investigational.

Current evidence supports the possibility of clinical improvement with several modalities, but complete and stable papillary regeneration cannot be guaranteed. A diagnosis-driven, interdisciplinary approach that prioritizes periodontal stability, anatomical assessment, realistic patient expectations, and supportive maintenance remains the most defensible clinical strategy. Larger randomized trials with standardized outcomes and longer follow-up are essential to establish comparative effectiveness and long-term stability.

REFERENCES

1. Tarnow DP, Magner AW, Fletcher P. The effect of the distance from the contact point to the crest of bone on the presence or absence of the interproximal dental papilla. *J Periodontol.* 1992;63(12):995–996. doi:10.1902/jop.1992.63.12.995.
2. Nordland WP, Tarnow DP. A classification system for loss of papillary height. *J Periodontol.* 1998;69(10):1124–1126. doi:10.1902/jop.1998.69.10.1124.
3. Cardaropoli D, Re S, Corrente G. The papilla presence index (PPI): a new system to assess interproximal papillary levels. *Int J Periodontics Restorative Dent.* 2004;24(5):488–492.
4. Beagle JR. Surgical reconstruction of the interdental papilla: case report. *Int J Periodontics Restorative Dent.* 1992;12(2):145–151. PMID:1521996.
5. Han TJ, Takei HH. Progress in gingival papilla reconstruction. *Periodontol 2000.* 1996;11:65–68.
6. Sharma AA, Park JH. Esthetic considerations in interdental papilla: remediation and regeneration. *J Esthet Restor Dent.* 2010;22(1):18–30.
7. Patel M, Guni A, Nibali L, Garcia-Sanchez R. Interdental papilla reconstruction: a systematic review. *Clin Oral Investig.* 2024;28(1):101. doi:10.1007/s00784-023-05409-0. PMID:38231354.
8. Makdisi J, Akbari S, Zayeri F, AslRoosta H, Yaghobee S. Application of hyaluronic acid for treatment of interdental papillary deficiency: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Front Dent.* 2023;20:19. doi:10.18502/fid.v20i19.12867. PMID:37701648.
9. Sharma G, Tanwar N, Tewari S, Arora R. Comparative evaluation of efficacy of volume stable collagen matrix in interdental papilla reconstruction as compared to connective tissue graft in maxillary esthetic region: a randomized controlled clinical trial. *Clin Oral Investig.* 2025;29(5):235. doi:10.1007/s00784-025-06307-3. PMID:40199770.
10. Comparative evaluation of subepithelial connective tissue graft versus platelet-rich fibrin membrane in surgical reconstruction of interdental papillae using Han and Takei technique: a randomized controlled clinical trial. PMID:33424172.
11. McGuire MK, Scheyer ET. A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study to determine the safety and efficacy of cultured and expanded autologous fibroblast injections for the treatment of interdental papillary insufficiency associated with the papilla priming procedure. *J Periodontol.* 2007;78(1):4–17. doi:10.1902/jop.2007.060105.
12. Da Silva TZ, de Oliveira AC, Margonar R, Faeda RS, Dos Santos PL, Queiroz TP. Effectiveness of hyaluronic acid injection for interdental papillae recovery in esthetic areas: a randomized clinical trial. *Int J Periodontics Restorative Dent.* 2023;43(2):e80. doi:10.11607/prd.5814.