

# On an Irreducibility Theorem of A. Cohn

Archana Malik  
 M.D.U Rohtak, Haryana India

A classical result of Cohn [See eg. Polya and Szego (1964)] states as follows:-

**Theorem 1.1:** If a prime  $p$  is expressed in the decimal system as

$$p = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k 10^k, 0 \leq a_k \leq 9$$

then the polynomial  $\sum_{k=0}^n a_k x^k$  is irreducible in  $Z[x]$ . In this paper, we shall give a generalization of Cohn's Theorem. We shall also prove a criterion to test the irreducibility of a wide class of polynomials.

**Theorem 1.2 :** Let  $f(x) \in Z[x]$  be a polynomial of degree  $n$  with  $z$  with zeroes  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$ . If there is an integer  $b$  for which  $f(b)$  is a prime,  $f(b-1) \neq 0$  and  $\text{Re}(\alpha_i) < b - \frac{1}{2}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , then  $f(x)$  is irreducible in  $Z[x]$ .

**Proof :** Let  $f(x)$  be reducible in  $Z[x]$  that is  $f(x) = g(x)h(x)$  where  $g(x), h(x) \in Z[x]$  with degree  $(g(x)), \text{degree}(h(x)) \geq 1$ . If  $\alpha_j$  are the zeroes of  $g(x)$  then  $\text{Re}(\alpha_j) < b - \frac{1}{2}$ . Let degree  $g(x)$  be  $m$ . Let  $g(x)$  be factored over the complex field that is  $g(x) = a_m(x - \beta_1)(x - \beta_2)\dots(x - \beta_r)(x - \beta_{r+1})\dots(x - \beta_m)$ , where  $\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_r$ , are reals and  $\beta_{r+1}, \beta_{r+2}, \dots, \beta_m$  are complex number with non-zero imaginary part. Further, complex roots occur in pairs so that

$$g(x) = a_m(x - \beta_1)(x - \beta_2)\dots(x - \beta_r)(x - \gamma_{r+1} - i\gamma_{r+2})\dots(x - \gamma_{r+1} + i\gamma_{r+2})\dots(x - \gamma_{m-1} - i\gamma_m)(x - \gamma_{m-1} + i\gamma_m)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } g\left(x + b - \frac{1}{2}\right) &= a_m \left(x + b - \frac{1}{2} - \beta_1\right) \left(x + b - \frac{1}{2} - \beta_2\right) \dots \left(x + b - \frac{1}{2} - \beta_r\right) \\ &\quad \left(x + b - \frac{1}{2} - \gamma_{r+1} - i\gamma_{r+2}\right) \left(x + b - \frac{1}{2} - \gamma_{r+1} + i\gamma_{r+2}\right) \dots \left(x + b - \frac{1}{2} - \gamma_{m-1} - i\gamma_m\right) \\ &\quad \left(x + b - \frac{1}{2} - \gamma_{m-1} + i\gamma_m\right) \\ &= a_m(x + \delta_1)(x + \delta_2) \dots (x + \delta_r)(x + \delta_{r+1} - i\gamma_{r+2})(x + \delta_{r+1} + i\gamma_{r+2}) \\ &\quad \dots (x + \delta_{m-1} - i\gamma_m)(x + \delta_{m-1} + i\gamma_m) \end{aligned} \quad \dots(1.2.1)$$

where  $\delta_j = b - \frac{1}{2} - \beta_j$  for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, r$

and  $\delta_j = b - \frac{1}{2} - \gamma_j$  for  $j = r + 1, r + 3, \dots, m - 1$

$$= a_m(x + \delta_1)(x + \delta_2) \dots (x + \delta_r) \left(x^2 + 2x\delta_{r+1} + \delta_{r+1}^2 + \gamma_{r+2}^2\right) \dots \left(x^2 + 2x\delta_{m-1} + \delta_{m-1}^2 + \gamma_m^2\right) \quad \dots(1.2.1)$$

Since  $\beta$ 's are one of  $\alpha$ 's so

$$\text{Re}(\beta_j) < b - \frac{1}{2} \text{ for } j = 1, 2, \dots, m.$$

$\therefore \delta_i > 0$  for all  $i$  occurring in question. So each term occurring in (1.2.1) is positive except possibly for  $a_m$ . So if

$$g'(x) = (x + \delta_1) \dots (x + \delta_r) \left(x^2 + 2x\delta_{r+1} + (\delta_{r+1}^2 + \gamma_{r+2}^2)\right) \left(x^2 + 2x\delta_{m-1} + (\delta_{m-1}^2 + \gamma_m^2)\right).$$

Then each coefficient in  $g'(x)$  is positive and no term is missing in  $g'(x)$ . So all the terms in  $g\left(x + b - \frac{1}{2}\right)$  have the same sign and no term is missing.

Now, the coefficients of  $g\left(x + b - \frac{1}{2}\right)$  are strictly alternating.

Thus

$$\left|g\left(b - \frac{1}{2} - t\right)\right| < \left|g\left(b - \frac{1}{2} + t\right)\right| \quad \text{for any } t > 0.$$

If we take  $t = \frac{1}{2}$ , then

$$|g(b-1)| < |g(b)|$$

from the given conditions for  $f(x)$ , these conditions also hold for  $g(x)$  that is

$$g(b-1) \in \mathbb{Z}[x] \text{ and } g(b-1) \neq 0,$$

it follows that  $|g(b-1)| \geq 1$  and  $|g(b)| \geq 2$ .

Similarly we get  $|h(b)| \geq 2$  which gives us a contradiction to the assumption that  $f(b) = h(b)g(b)$  is a prime. So our supposition that  $f(x)$  is reducible, is wrong. Hence  $f(x)$  is irreducible in  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ .

**Theorem 1.3 :** Let  $f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k x^k, \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  be a polynomial with  $a_n > 0, a_{n-1} \geq 0$  and  $a_{n-2} \geq 0$ .

Let  $m = \max_i \left\{ \frac{|a_k|}{a_n} \right\}$  for  $0 \leq k \leq n-2$ .

$$r_1 = \frac{1 + \sqrt{4m+1}}{2} \quad r_2 = \left[ \frac{s + \sqrt{s^2 - 4}}{54} \right]^{\frac{1}{3}} + \left[ \frac{s - \sqrt{s^2 - 4}}{54} \right]^{\frac{1}{3}} + \frac{1}{3}$$

where  $s = 27m+2$ . If there is an integer

$$b > \max_i \left\{ \frac{r_1}{\sqrt{2}}, r_2 \right\} + \frac{1}{2}$$

for which  $f(b)$  is prime and  $f(b-1) \neq 0$  then  $f(x)$  is irreducible in  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ .

**Proof :** Firstly let us consider the set

$$A = \left[ \mathbb{Z} \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re}(z) \leq \max_i \left\{ \frac{r_1}{\sqrt{2}}, r_2 \right\} \right].$$

In this theorem, we first prove that all zeroes of  $f$  lie in  $A$  by proving that  $|f(z)| > 0$  for  $z \in A^c$ , the complement of  $A$  and then we will apply theorem 1.2 and get the required result.

Now since  $r_1 = \frac{1 + \sqrt{4m+1}}{2} \geq 1$  since  $m \geq 0$ .

$$\Rightarrow r_1 \geq 1$$

$$\text{and } r_1 = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{4m+1}}{2}$$

$$\Rightarrow r_1 - \frac{1}{2} = \frac{\sqrt{4m+1}}{2}.$$

Squaring both sides, we get

$$\left( r_1 - \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 = \frac{4m+1}{4}$$

$$\Rightarrow r_1^2 + \frac{1}{4} - r_1 = \frac{4m+1}{4}$$

$$\Rightarrow r_1^2 - r_1 + \frac{1}{4} = m + \frac{1}{4}$$

$$\Rightarrow r_1^2 - r_1 = m$$

$$\Rightarrow r_1^2 - r_1 - m = 0.$$

Thus  $r_1$  is a positive zero of  $x^2 - x - m$  and  $x^2 - x - m > 0$  for  $x > r_1$

$$r_2 = \left[ \frac{s + \sqrt{s^2 - 4}}{54} \right]^{\frac{1}{3}} + \left[ \frac{s - \sqrt{s^2 - 4}}{54} \right]^{\frac{1}{3}} + \frac{1}{3}$$

where  $s = 27m + 2$  and  $m = \max. \left\{ \frac{|a_k|}{a_n} \right\}$  for  $0 \leq k \leq n - 2$ .

Since  $s = 27m + 2$ ,  $m \geq 0$

$$\Rightarrow s \geq 2$$

$$\text{Set } x = \left[ \frac{s + \sqrt{s^2 - 4}}{54} \right]^{\frac{1}{3}}. \text{ Then } x \geq \frac{1}{3}$$

$$\text{Now } \left[ \frac{s - \sqrt{s^2 - 4}}{54} \right]^{\frac{1}{3}} = \left[ \frac{s - \sqrt{s^2 - 4}}{54} \times \frac{s + \sqrt{s^2 - 4}}{s + \sqrt{s^2 - 4}} \right]^{\frac{1}{3}}$$

$$= \left[ \frac{s^2 - (s^2 - 4)}{54(s + \sqrt{s^2 - 4})} \right]^{\frac{1}{3}}$$

$$= \left[ \frac{4}{54(s + \sqrt{s^2 - 4})} \right]^{\frac{1}{3}}$$

$$= \left[ \frac{2}{27(s + \sqrt{s^2 - 4})} \right]^{\frac{1}{3}}$$

$$= \left[ \frac{54}{729(s + \sqrt{s^2 - 4})} \right]^{\frac{1}{3}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{9} \left[ \frac{54}{s + \sqrt{s^2 - 4}} \right]^{\frac{1}{3}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{9x}$$

$$r_2 = x + \frac{1}{9x} + \frac{1}{3}$$

Let  $f(y) = y + \frac{1}{9y} + \frac{1}{3}$  where  $y \geq \frac{1}{3}$ .

Then  $f'(y) = 1 - \frac{1}{9y^2} \geq 0$  for  $y \geq \frac{1}{3}$ .

$\therefore$   $f(y)$  is an increasing function of  $y$ .

for  $y = \frac{1}{3}$ ,  $f(y) = \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{3} = 1$

$\therefore r_2 \geq 1$  for  $x = \frac{1}{3}$

i.e.  $r_2 \geq 1$  for  $s = 27m + 2$ .

Let  $A^c$  be partitioned into two sets  $A^c \cap B$  and  $A^c \cap B^c$  where

$$B = \{Z \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re}(z) < 0 \text{ or } |z| \leq r_1\}$$

Let  $z \in A^c \cap B^c$ . Then  $(|z|^2 - |z| - m) > 0$  since  $|z| > r_1$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now } \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{1}{z}\right) &= \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{\bar{z}}{z\bar{z}}\right) \\ &= \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{\bar{z}}{|z|^2}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{|z|^2} \operatorname{Re}(\bar{z}) \\ &\Rightarrow \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{1}{z}\right) > 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{and } \left|\frac{f(z)}{z^n}\right| &= \left|\frac{a_0}{z^n} + \frac{a_1 z}{z^n} + \dots + \frac{a_{n-1} z^{n-1}}{z^n} + \frac{a_n z^n}{z^n}\right| \\ &= \left|\frac{a_0}{z^n} + \frac{a_1}{z^{1-n}} + \dots + \frac{a_{n-1}}{z} + a_n\right| \\ &= \left|a_n + \frac{a_{n-1}}{z} + \sum_{k=2}^n \frac{|a_{n-k}|}{|z|^k}\right| \\ &\leq \left|a_n + \frac{a_{n-1}}{z}\right| + \left|\sum_{k=2}^n \frac{a_{n-k}}{|z|^k}\right| \\ &\geq \left|a_n + \frac{a_{n-1}}{z}\right| - \sum_{k=2}^n \frac{|a_{n-k}|}{|z|^k} \\ &\geq \operatorname{Re}\left(a_n + \frac{a_{n-1}}{z}\right) - \sum_{k=2}^n \frac{ma_n}{|z|^k} \quad (\text{Since } |a_k| < ma_n \text{ for } k = 1, 2, \dots, n-2) \\ &> \operatorname{Re}\left(a_n + \frac{a_{n-1}}{z}\right) - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{ma_n}{|z|^k} \\ &= \operatorname{Re}\left(a_n + \frac{a_{n-1}}{z}\right) - (ma_n) \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|z|^k} \\ &= \operatorname{Re}\left(a_n + \frac{a_{n-1}}{z}\right) - ma_n \left(\frac{1}{|z|^2} + \frac{1}{|z|^3} + \dots + \infty\right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \operatorname{Re}(a_n + a_{n-1}) - ma_n \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{|z|}} \\
 &= \operatorname{Re}\left(a_n + \frac{a_{n-1}}{z}\right) - \frac{ma_n}{|z|^2 - |z|} \\
 &= a_n + a_{n-1} \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{1}{z}\right) - \frac{ma_n}{|z|^2 - |z|} \\
 &\geq a_n - \frac{ma_n}{|z|^2 - |z|} \left(\because \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{1}{z}\right) > 0\right) \\
 &= \frac{a_n(|z|^2 - |z| - m)}{|z|^2 - |z|} > 0 \text{ since } z \in A^c \cap B^c
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Rightarrow & |f(z)| \neq 0 \text{ for } z \in A^c \cap B^c \\
 \Rightarrow & f(z) \neq 0 \text{ for } z \in A^c \cap B^c
 \end{aligned}$$

In second case, let  $z \in A^c \cap B$  then if  $z \in A^c$ .

$\Rightarrow \operatorname{Re}(z) > \max\left\{\frac{r_1}{\sqrt{2}}, r_2\right\} > 0$  and if  $z \in B \Rightarrow \operatorname{Re}(z) < 0$  or  $|z| \leq r_1$ . But  $\operatorname{Re}(z) < 0$  not possible so  $|z| \leq r_1$ . Since any complex number  $z$  can be written as

$$z = r(\cos \theta + i \sin \theta) \text{ where } -\pi < \theta \leq \pi$$

Now  $z \in B \Rightarrow \operatorname{Re}(z) \leq r_1$ , i.e.,  $r \leq r_1$

$$z \in A^c \Rightarrow \operatorname{Re}(z) > \frac{r_1}{\sqrt{2}} \text{ i.e., } r > \frac{r_1}{2}$$

$$\therefore r_1 \cos \theta > r \cos \theta > \frac{r_1}{\sqrt{2}}$$

$$\Rightarrow \cos \theta > \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$$

$$\Rightarrow |\theta| < \frac{\pi}{4}$$

$$\Rightarrow |\arg. z| < \frac{\pi}{4}$$

$$\text{Since } \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{1}{z}\right) = \frac{1}{|z|^2} \operatorname{Re}(z)$$

Replacing  $z$  by  $z^2$ , we get

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{1}{z^2}\right) = \frac{1}{|z|^4} \operatorname{Re}(z^2) = \frac{r^2 \cos 2\theta}{r^4} = \frac{\cos 2\theta}{r^2} > 0$$

$$\text{since } |\theta| < \frac{\pi}{4}$$

$$\text{Now } r_2 = \left[\frac{s + \sqrt{s^2 - 4}}{54}\right]^{\frac{1}{3}} + \left[\frac{s - \sqrt{s^2 - 4}}{54}\right]^{\frac{1}{3}} + \frac{1}{3}$$

$$\Rightarrow r_2 - \frac{1}{3} = a + b \text{ where } a = \left[ \frac{s + \sqrt{s^2 - 4}}{54} \right]^{\frac{1}{3}} \text{ and } b = \left[ \frac{s - \sqrt{s^2 - 4}}{54} \right]^{\frac{1}{3}}.$$

Cubing both sides, we get

$$\left[ r_2 - \frac{1}{3} \right]^3 = (a + b)^3$$

$$\Rightarrow r_2^3 - \frac{1}{27} - 3r_2 \cdot \frac{1}{3} \left( r_2 - \frac{1}{3} \right) = a^3 + b^3 + 3a^2b + 3ab^2$$

$$\Rightarrow r_2^3 - \frac{1}{27} - r_2^2 + \frac{r_2}{3} = a^3 + b^3 + 3ab(a + b)$$

$$\Rightarrow r_2^3 - \frac{1}{27} - r_2^2 + \frac{r_2}{3} = \frac{s + \sqrt{s^2 - 4}}{54} + \frac{s - \sqrt{s^2 - 4}}{54} + 3 \left( \frac{s + \sqrt{s^2 - 4}}{54} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \left( \frac{s - \sqrt{s^2 - 4}}{54} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \left( r_2 - \frac{1}{3} \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow r_2^3 - \frac{1}{27} - r_2^2 + \frac{r_2}{3} &= \frac{s}{27} + 3 \left( \frac{s^2 - (s^2 - 4)}{54 \times 54} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \left( r_2 - \frac{1}{3} \right) \\ &= \frac{s}{27} + 3 \left( \frac{4}{54 \times 54} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \left( r_2 - \frac{1}{3} \right) \\ &= \frac{s}{27} + \frac{3}{9} \left( r_2 - \frac{1}{3} \right) \\ &= \frac{s}{27} + \frac{r_2}{3} - \frac{1}{9} \end{aligned}$$

$$r_2^3 - \frac{1}{27} - r_2^2 + \frac{r_2}{3} = \frac{s}{27} + \frac{r_2}{3} - \frac{1}{9}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow r_2^3 - r_2^2 &= \frac{s}{27} - \frac{1}{9} + \frac{1}{27} \\ &= \frac{s - 3 + 1}{27} \\ &= \frac{s - 2}{27} = m. \text{ (given)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow r_2^3 - r_2^2 - m = 0.$$

Thus  $r_2$  is a root of the equation

$$x^3 - x^2 - m = 0 \text{ that is } r_2 \text{ is a zero of } x^3 - x^2 - m.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{f(z)}{z^n} \right| &= \left| \frac{a_0}{z^n} + \frac{a_1}{z^{1-n}} + \dots + \frac{a_{n-2}}{z^2} + \frac{a_{n-1}}{z} + a_n \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{a_{n-2}}{z^2} + \frac{a_{n-1}}{z} + a_n + \sum_{k=3}^n \frac{a_{n-k}}{(z)^k} \right| \\ &\geq \left| a_n + \frac{a_{n-1}}{z} + \frac{a_{n-2}}{z^2} \right| - \sum_{k=3}^n \frac{|a_{n-k}|}{|z|^k} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\geq \left| a_n + \frac{a_{n-1}}{z} + \frac{a_{n-2}}{z^2} \right| - \sum_{k=3}^n \frac{ma_n}{|z|^k} \\
 &> \operatorname{Re} \left( a_n + \frac{a_{n-1}}{z} + \frac{a_{n-2}}{z^2} \right) - \sum_{k=3}^{\infty} \frac{ma_n}{|z|^k} \\
 &= \operatorname{Re}(a_n) + a_{n-1} \operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{1}{z} \right) + a_{n-2} \operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{1}{z^2} \right) - ma_n \cdot \left( \frac{1}{|z|^3} + \frac{1}{|z|^4} + \dots \right) \\
 &= a_n + a_{n-1} \operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{1}{z} \right) + a_{n-2} \operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{1}{z^2} \right) - \frac{ma_n}{|z|^3 - |z|^2} \\
 &\geq a_n - \frac{ma_n}{|z|^3 - |z|^2} \\
 &= \frac{a_n(|z|^3 - |z|^2 - m)}{|z|^3 - |z|^2} > 0 \text{ since } |z| > r_2
 \end{aligned}$$

which is a positive zero of  $x^3 - x^2 - m$  and  $r_2 \geq 1$  gives  $\left| \frac{f(z)}{z^n} \right| > 0$

$\Rightarrow f(z) \neq 0$  for  $z \in A^c \cap B$ .

Thus we have shown that all zeros of  $f$  lie in  $A$  by proving that  $|f(z)| > 0$  for  $z \in A^c$ . Hence all the hypothesis of theorem 1.2 are satisfied for integer  $b$  which gives  $f(x)$  is irreducible in  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ .

**Remark 1.3.1 :** We can note that theorem 1.3 does not depend upon the actual value of  $a_{n-1}$ .

**Remark 1.3.2 :** In the part of the proof of theorem 1.3 where  $z \in A^c \cap B^c$ , if we take  $z \in B^c$  only in place  $A^c \cap B^c$ , we get that the result is true for this  $z$  i.e.,  $|f(z)| > 0$  for any  $z \in B^c$ .

**Corollary 1.3.1 :** Let  $b \geq 2$  be an integer and let  $B = 1$  if  $b = 0$  and

$$B = \left\lceil \frac{(b-1)(2b-1-\sqrt{2})}{2} \right\rceil \text{ if } b \geq 3$$

where brackets are greatest integer function. Also, let a prime  $p$  be expressed as

$$p = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k b^k$$

where  $a_n > 0$ ,  $a_{n-1} \geq 0$ ,  $a_{n-2} \geq 0$ ,

$$\text{and } \frac{|a_k|}{a_n} \leq B \text{ for } 0 \leq k \leq n-2$$

$$\text{and define } f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k x^k.$$

If  $f(b-1) = 0$  then  $f(x)$  is irreducible in  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ .

**Proof :** Because all the hypothesis of Theorem 1.3 except one are satisfied so to apply Theorem 1.3 we have only to show that

$$b > \max \left\{ \frac{r_1}{\sqrt{2}}, r_2 \right\} + \frac{1}{2}.$$

Let  $r_1^*$  and  $r_2^*$  be the positive zeros of  $x^2 - x - B$  and  $x^3 - x^2 - B$  respectively.

Let us denote  $g(x) = x^2 - x - B$ . Now since  $m \leq B$ , we have

$$r_1^* = \frac{1 + \sqrt{4B+1}}{2} \geq \frac{1 + \sqrt{4m+1}}{2} = r_1$$

$\Rightarrow r_1^* \geq r_1$ .

We have already proved that

$$f(y) = y + \frac{1}{9y} + \frac{1}{3} \text{ is an increasing function of } y.$$

Also  $r_2^*$  is a zero of  $x^3 - x^2 - B$ .

$$\text{and } r_2^* = \left[ \frac{s + \sqrt{s^2 - 4}}{54} \right]^{\frac{1}{3}} + \left[ \frac{s - \sqrt{s^2 - 4}}{54} \right]^{\frac{1}{3}} + \frac{1}{3}$$

where  $s = 27B + 2$ .

Now since  $m \leq B$ .

$$s^* = 27B + 2 \geq 27m + 2 = s$$

$$\Rightarrow s^* \geq s$$

$$\therefore \left[ \frac{s + \sqrt{s^2 - 4}}{54} \right]^{\frac{1}{3}} \leq \left[ \frac{s^* + \sqrt{s^{*2} - 4}}{54} \right]^{\frac{1}{3}}$$

Thus we have to show that  $b > \max_i \left\{ \frac{r_i^*}{\sqrt{2}}, r_2^* \right\} + \frac{1}{2}$ .

$\therefore r_1^*$  is a zero of  $x^2 - x - B$ .

$\therefore \frac{r_1^*}{\sqrt{2}}$  is a zero of  $(\sqrt{2}x)^2 - (\sqrt{2}x) - B = 2x^2 - \sqrt{2}x - B = h(x)$ .

$$\text{Now } h\left(b - \frac{1}{2}\right) = 2\left(b - \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 - \sqrt{2}\left(b - \frac{1}{2}\right) - B.$$

$$= \frac{2}{4}(2b-1)^2 - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}(2b-1) - B$$

$$= \frac{2b-1}{2}(2b-1-\sqrt{2}) - B > 0 \text{ for } b \geq 3 \text{ by definition of } B. \text{ Also if } b = 2, h\left(b - \frac{1}{2}\right) > 0, \text{ since } B = 1.$$

Thus  $h\left(b - \frac{1}{2}\right) > 0$  for  $b \geq 2$ .

$$\begin{aligned} g\left(b - \frac{1}{2}\right) &= \left(b - \frac{1}{2}\right)^3 - \left(b - \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 - B \\ &= \left(b - \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 \left[ \left(b - \frac{1}{2}\right) - 1 \right] - B \\ &= \left(b - \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 \left(b - \frac{3}{2}\right) - B \end{aligned}$$

For  $b = 2$ .

$$\begin{aligned} g\left(b - \frac{1}{2}\right) &= \left(b - \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 \left(b - \frac{3}{2}\right) - B \\ &= \left(2 - \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 \left(2 - \frac{3}{2}\right) - 1 \\ &= \frac{9}{4} \cdot \frac{1}{2} - 1 \\ &= \frac{9}{8} - 1 = \frac{1}{8} > 0. \end{aligned}$$



$$\text{For } b = 3, B = \left[ \frac{5(5-\sqrt{2})}{2} \right]$$

$$< [5 \times 1.8]$$

$$\Rightarrow B = 8$$

$$g\left(b - \frac{1}{2}\right) = \left(3 - \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 \left(3 - \frac{3}{2}\right) - 8$$

$$= \frac{75}{8} - 8$$

$$= \frac{11}{8} > 0$$

Now let  $b > 3$ , Then  $b \geq 4$  since  $b$  is an integer.

$$g\left(b - \frac{1}{2}\right) = \left(b - \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 \left(b - \frac{3}{2}\right) - B$$

$$= \left(b - \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 \left(b - \frac{3}{2}\right) - \frac{(2b-1)(2b-1-\sqrt{2})}{2}$$

$$> \left(b - \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 \left(b - \frac{3}{2}\right) - \left(b - \frac{1}{2}\right)(2b-1-\sqrt{2})$$

$$= \left(b - \frac{1}{2}\right) \left[ \left(b - \frac{1}{2}\right) - \left(b - \frac{3}{2}\right)(2b-1-\sqrt{2}) \right]$$

$$= \left(b - \frac{1}{2}\right) \left( b^2 - 2b + \frac{3}{4} - 2b + 1 + \sqrt{2} \right)$$

$$= \left(b - \frac{1}{2}\right) \left( b^2 - 4b + \frac{7}{4} + \sqrt{2} \right)$$

$$= \left(b - \frac{1}{2}\right) \left( b(b-4) + \frac{7}{4} + \sqrt{2} \right)$$

$$> 0 \text{ for } b \geq 4.$$

Thus  $g\left(b - \frac{1}{2}\right) > 0$  for  $b \geq 2$ .

Hence, we then have

$$b - \frac{1}{2} > \max_i \left\{ \frac{r_1^*}{\sqrt{2}}, r_2^* \right\}$$

$$b - \frac{1}{2} > \max_i \left\{ \frac{r_1}{\sqrt{2}}, r_2 \right\} \text{ since } r_1^* \geq r_1 \text{ and } r_2^* \geq r_2.$$

Thus all the hypothesis of Theorem 1.3 are satisfied. Hence by applying that theorem we get  $f(x)$  is irreducible in  $Z[x]$ .

**Corollary 1.3.2** : If a prime  $p$  is expressed in the number system with base  $b \geq 2$  as  $p = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k b^k$ ,  $0 \leq a_k \leq b-1$  then the

polynomial  $\sum_{k=0}^n a_k x^k = f(x)$  is irreducible in  $Z[x]$ .

**Proof** : In order to prove it, we shall prove that  $f(x)$  satisfies all the conditions of Corollary 1.3.1, that is

$$f(b-1) \neq 0.$$

and  $\frac{|a_k|}{a_n} \leq B$  for  $0 \leq k \leq n-2$ .

where  $B = 1$  if  $b = 2$

$$\text{and } B = \left\lceil \frac{(2b-1)(2b-1-\sqrt{2})}{2} \right\rceil \text{ if } b \geq 3$$

where brackets are greatest integer function.

$$\text{Now } f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k x^k, \quad a_n \neq 0, \quad 0 \leq a_k \leq b-1$$

$$\begin{aligned} f(b-1) &= \sum_{k=0}^n a_k (b-1)^k \\ &\geq a_n (b-1)^n > 0 \quad \forall b \geq 2 \text{ since all } a_n \text{'s are positive and } (b-1) \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

For  $b = 2, B = 1$

Since  $0 \leq a_n \leq 1$  and  $a_n \neq 0$

$$\Rightarrow a_n = 1.$$

Also  $0 \leq a_k \leq 1 = B$

$$\Rightarrow a_k \leq B$$

$$\Rightarrow B \geq a_k$$

$$\text{So } \frac{|a_k|}{a_n} \leq B \text{ for } b = 2$$

Let  $b \geq 3$ . Then

$$B = \left\lceil \frac{(2b-1)(2b-1-\sqrt{2})}{2} \right\rceil$$

$$\text{Now } \frac{(2b-1)(2b-1-\sqrt{2})}{2} \geq b-1$$

$$\Leftrightarrow (2b-1)(2b-1-\sqrt{2}) \geq 2b-2$$

$$\Leftrightarrow 2b-1-\sqrt{2} \geq \frac{2b-2}{2b-1}$$

which holds if

$$2b-1-\sqrt{2} \geq 1.$$

$$\text{or if } 2b \geq 2 + \sqrt{2}$$

which holds if  $2b \geq 4$

$$\Rightarrow b \geq 2$$

Thus  $B \geq b-1$  only if  $b \geq 2$ .

But in case  $b = 2$ , we have proved that  $\frac{|a_k|}{a_n} < B$  so we take  $b \geq 3$ . Thus if  $b \geq 3$  then

$$\frac{|a_k|}{a_n} \leq |a_k| \leq b-1 \leq B$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{|a_k|}{a_n} \leq B$$

$$\text{so } \frac{|a_k|}{a_n} \leq B \text{ for } b \geq 2.$$

Hence, all the conditions of for 1.3.1. are satisfied so by applying for 1.3.1 we get  $f(x)$  is irreducible in  $Z[x]$ .

### References

- [1]. Hardy, G.M., and W. Right, E.M. (1938(a)), An introduction to the theory of numbers.
- [2]. John Brillhart, Michael Filaseta and Andram Odlyzko (1981), On an irreducibility theorem of A. Cohn, Can. J. Math. Vol. XXXIII, No. 5, pp. 1055-1059.
- [3]. Michael Filaseta, (1982), A further Generalization of an irreducibility theorem of A. Cohn., Can. J. Math. Vol. XXXIV, No. 6, pp.1390-1395.
- [4]. Michael Filaseta, (1988), Irreducibility criteria for polynomials with non-negative coefficients, Can. J. Math. Vol. XL, No. 2, pp.339.351.

