

Regional Inequalities Among Different Sections in Female Literacy in Rajasthan

Annu

Department of Geography, MDU Rohtak, Haryana

ABSTRACT

The present paper examines the extent of inter-district inequality/disparity in female education in Rajasthan in 2011. Rajasthan recorded the lowest female literacy in 2011 census. The disparity/inequality in literacy rate is estimated by using Modified Sopher's disparity index. The empirical findings by and large support the hypothesis that (1) the inequality in literacy persists between SC, ST and General population; (2) the inter-district variation in literacy rates is found to be higher in ST followed by SC and General population in both rural and urban areas.

INTRODUCTION

Development of any economy is usually characterised by regional inequalities. The inequality will be of different kind in developed and underdeveloped areas. The presence of inequality augments the growth process and tries to bring the equalities among regions. Development of human capital receives high priority today all over the world. This is due to the realisation that mere growth of GNP does not automatically lead to over all development. The report of UNDP (1990) placed human being at the centre of all development programmes. It considered standard of living, education and health of human being as the ultimate objectives of the development. This paper attempts to examine the extent of inequality in female educational development in the state of Rajasthan, as the state has recorded the lowest female literacy rate of 52.12% in the country in 2011 census. Education is considered necessary for socio-economic development. The literacy of women is much more important as it provide the good base on which the progress of society depends.

Many schemes such as District Primary Education Programme, Sarva Sikshya Abhiyan, National Programme of Education of Girls at Elementary Level, National Literacy Mission, Universalisation of Girl Child Education, Non – Formal Education, Kasturba Gandhi Education Scheme, Kasturba Gandhi Balika Vidyalaya for girls belonging to SC/ST categories etc. have been launched to raise the literacy levels of females in India. There are sufficient evidences of inter-state, inter-district and intra-district inequality in India. Since this inequality is found to be more pronounced in across different sections, backward population (SC and ST) and non- backward population as well as in rural and urban areas, here is an attempt to estimate the inequality in education for females in different social groups of population in rural as well as urban areas. Here literacy has been taken as an indicator of education.

OBJECTIVES

The main objectives of the study are:

- (1) To estimate the inequality in literacy rate between SC, ST and General population at district level.
- (2) To examine the inter-district variation in the female literacy rates as a whole and in rural and urban areas.

HYPOTHESIS

Keeping in view the objectives of the study, the validity of the following hypothesis are also tested empirically.

- (1) The inequality in literacy persists between SC, ST and General population.
- (2) The variation in literacy rates is found to be higher in ST followed by SC and General population in both rural and urban areas.

METHODOLOGY

I. The Data

As the study relied upon secondary data, the required information on literacy rate is collected from the census of India, 2011 for different districts of Rajasthan. The district is considered as the region.

II. The Methods

The inter-district variability in literacy rate is estimated by standard deviation and co-efficient of variation for 2011 census year for females of different social groups of population.

In order to estimate the inequality/disparity in literacy rates Sopher's (1974) disparity index is used which may be written as follows.

$$D = \log(X_2/X_1) + \log [Q - X_1/Q - X_2]$$

Where $X_2 \geq X_1$
and $Q \geq 100$

However, Kundu and Rao (1986) have modified Sopher's index such that

$Q = 200$ would provide better results.

Here we have used X_1 = General population or SC Population
 X_2 = SC Population or ST Population
 $Q = 200$

The Empirical Results

This section presents the inter-district disparity/inequality in female literacy rates in Rajasthan.

Inter-district variation

The inter-district variation in literacy rates is estimated in terms of standard deviation and co-efficient of variations (Table -1).

Table 1: Average and Co-efficient of variation among different sections

	Rural Area				Urban Area				Overall			
	Total literate (%)	SC literate (%)	ST literate (%)	General literate (%)	Total literate (%)	SC literate (%)	ST literate (%)	General literate (%)	Total literate (%)	SC literate (%)	ST literate (%)	General literate (%)
RAJASTHAN	45.80	41.40	36.10	49.49	70.73	55.78	55.57	74.17	52.12	44.63	37.27	56.83
Ganganagar	55.31	45.97	55.31	61.85	71.31	49.87	53.95	78.09	59.70	46.63	54.24	67.17
Hanumangarh	53.12	44.83	47.44	56.67	66.79	49.13	53.06	70.78	55.84	45.35	50.87	59.83
Bikaner	44.32	33.73	38.31	47.85	69.54	45.42	65.03	72.78	53.23	36.13	52.23	57.58
Churu	51.12	41.20	52.77	54.40	61.30	46.29	63.99	63.48	54.04	42.07	56.38	57.29
Jhunjhununn	59.77	55.30	56.02	60.81	65.03	57.81	66.44	66.32	60.95	55.82	57.22	62.09
Alwar	52.16	51.27	48.73	52.80	74.67	59.52	66.75	78.27	56.25	52.71	50.17	57.74
Bharatpur	50.53	46.28	55.85	51.53	68.78	51.23	69.44	74.36	54.24	47.39	57.36	56.10
Dhaulpur	52.42	46.29	46.96	54.60	62.90	48.57	61.55	65.97	54.67	46.71	47.67	57.26
Karauli Sawai	46.52	40.84	47.66	48.80	60.05	45.72	57.59	63.71	48.61	41.43	47.99	52.10
Madhopur	42.43	39.11	43.01	43.45	67.22	54.77	68.33	70.29	47.51	42.09	44.21	50.67

Dausa	49.38	47.00	48.94	50.72	69.41	59.25	63.77	72.40	51.93	48.32	49.42	54.70
Jaipur	51.67	47.53	47.14	53.42	75.08	58.03	65.14	78.30	64.02	52.52	51.78	67.49
Sikar	56.35	49.59	53.01	57.84	64.32	55.52	63.77	65.60	58.23	50.72	54.23	59.76
Nagaur	45.18	33.51	41.91	48.56	58.84	41.08	60.15	61.58	47.82	34.45	44.23	51.31
Jodhpur	41.16	33.67	22.19	43.74	71.26	55.58	34.03	74.51	51.83	39.76	25.13	55.24
Jaisalmer	35.54	38.25	23.77	35.94	66.17	55.53	32.97	69.76	39.71	40.02	24.89	40.81
Barmer	38.55	34.39	21.19	40.98	66.64	50.48	28.38	70.62	40.63	35.50	21.37	43.32
Jalor	36.78	32.68	18.53	40.27	56.95	46.26	21.71	62.32	38.47	33.87	18.69	42.18
Sirohi	32.66	28.44	16.34	44.52	66.94	56.11	42.40	73.09	39.73	34.21	18.19	52.28
Pali	43.48	40.25	25.38	46.25	63.89	53.40	33.51	67.03	48.01	42.78	26.12	51.25
Ajmer	41.29	38.12	23.28	42.63	76.50	66.43	65.54	79.49	55.68	51.44	33.57	57.35
Tonk	39.66	37.15	34.82	41.68	64.76	55.71	66.89	66.47	45.45	40.56	35.62	48.65
Bundi	41.16	37.39	37.25	44.07	67.42	53.58	49.33	72.01	46.55	40.71	37.86	51.24
Bhilwara	40.58	35.41	27.52	43.80	71.79	55.20	41.63	75.77	47.21	39.12	28.42	51.36
Rajsamand	43.32	43.11	25.12	46.95	72.27	59.42	40.27	76.78	47.95	46.06	26.15	52.09
Dungarpur	43.96	45.05	39.37	57.96	77.11	58.73	56.29	84.39	46.16	47.16	39.66	62.75
Banswara	40.10	40.36	35.94	62.09	79.26	54.41	55.46	84.73	43.06	42.03	36.16	68.99
Chittaurgarh	40.24	35.55	24.15	44.79	74.27	61.30	47.75	77.21	46.53	39.46	25.16	51.82
Kota	53.95	46.82	52.15	57.18	73.71	58.96	54.25	78.50	65.87	53.52	52.78	71.21
Baran	47.78	44.08	41.66	51.86	67.48	52.90	55.00	72.39	51.96	46.25	42.27	57.27
Jhalawar	41.51	35.93	36.71	43.89	72.11	54.74	57.69	76.42	46.53	38.88	37.89	49.90
Udaipur	39.82	47.10	31.62	51.92	81.24	70.43	52.26	84.95	48.45	55.40	32.22	64.25
Pratapgarh	39.04	44.08	30.82	58.68	77.15	64.98	51.03	81.33	42.35	47.58	31.00	63.74
C.V.	14.53	14.84	31.68	13.76	8.49	11.39	23.53	8.82	13.64	13.99	30.63	13.06

The state has recorded the average female literacy rate of 52.12%. Jalor has lowest literacy rate of 38.47% while Kota has highest literacy rate of 65.87%. The average values for literacy are the highest in urban area followed by overall average and rural area recorded the least female literacy. The average literacy in rural area is 45.80% while literacy in urban area is found to be 70.73%. In case of rural area it is district Sirohi which have lowest literacy of 32.66% while Jhunjhununn records the highest literacy rate of 59.77%. In urban areas Jalor records the lowest literacy rate of 56.95% while Udaipur records the highest literacy rate of 81.24%. The female literacy also shows a peculiar trend as it is highest for the General population followed by the SC population and ST population with average values of 56.83%, 44.63% and 37.27% respectively. Jaisalmer (40.81%), Jalor (33.87%), Sirohi (18.19%) record the lowest literacy rates while Kota (71.21%), Jhunjhununn (55.82%), Bharatpur (57.36%) record the highest literacy rates for the General population, SC and ST population respectively. Co-efficient of correlation is highest in rural area while urban area recorded the least coefficient of correlation. In all cases the co-efficient of variation is the highest for the ST population followed by SC and General population. It clearly indicates that the degree of variation is always high in less developed section and less developed area in the region. It also supports the hypothesis that the variation in literacy rates is found to be higher in ST followed by SC and General population in both rural and urban areas.

INTRA-DISTRICT VARIATION

The disparity in literacy rates between SC, ST and General population has been calculated for rural, urban area and at overall district level with the help of Modified Sopher's index (Table-2).

Table 2: Sopher's Index among different sections

	General & SC population	Rural General & ST population	SC & ST population	General & SC population	Urban General & ST population	SC & ST population	General & SC population	Overall General & ST population	SC & ST population
RAJASTHAN	0.100	0.174	0.074	0.185	0.185	0.000	0.141	0.239	0.100
Ganganagar	0.175	0.070	-0.108	0.286	0.240	-0.049	0.219	0.134	-0.088
Hanumangarh	0.133	0.101	-0.030	0.226	0.181	-0.044	0.162	0.097	-0.069
Bikaner	0.189	0.124	-0.069	0.290	0.074	-0.215	0.262	0.058	-0.207
Churu	0.157	0.017	-0.139	0.190	-0.004	-0.199	0.179	0.013	-0.166
Jhunjhunn	0.058	0.050	-0.008	0.086	0.000	-0.087	0.066	0.054	-0.012
Alwar	0.016	0.046	0.029	0.182	0.105	-0.073	0.058	0.082	0.030
Bharatpur	0.062	-0.049	-0.108	0.233	0.046	-0.187	0.097	-0.012	-0.112
Dhaulpur	0.097	0.085	-0.004	0.187	0.042	-0.143	0.119	0.108	-0.012
Karauli	0.097	0.012	-0.084	0.196	0.062	-0.138	0.129	0.050	-0.084
Sawai Madhopur	0.057	0.004	-0.049	0.157	0.022	-0.138	0.104	0.078	-0.026
Dausa	0.041	0.021	-0.022	0.127	0.086	-0.044	0.070	0.062	-0.012
Jaipur	0.066	0.070	0.004	0.198	0.124	-0.073	0.156	0.163	0.008
Sikar	0.093	0.050	-0.035	0.102	0.017	-0.087	0.097	0.058	-0.035
Nagaur	0.202	0.081	-0.119	0.237	0.013	-0.223	0.218	0.085	-0.135
Jodhpur	0.139	0.351	0.211	0.188	0.461	0.273	0.188	0.424	0.236
Jaisalmer	-0.031	0.208	0.244	0.145	0.433	0.289	0.009	0.256	0.244
Barmer	0.093	0.335	0.243	0.210	0.520	0.311	0.107	0.363	0.257
Jalor	0.111	0.389	0.279	0.179	0.568	0.392	0.118	0.415	0.295
Sirohi	0.237	0.506	0.270	0.167	0.329	0.162	0.234	0.548	0.315
Pali	0.077	0.316	0.239	0.141	0.398	0.258	0.104	0.360	0.260
Ajmer	0.061	0.311	0.252	0.124	0.132	0.008	0.062	0.301	0.234
Tonk	0.061	0.096	0.033	0.109	-0.004	-0.117	0.104	0.174	0.070
Bundi	0.089	0.089	0.000	0.184	0.236	0.050	0.129	0.167	0.042
Bhilwara	0.114	0.242	0.132	0.208	0.364	0.161	0.150	0.317	0.169
Rajsamand	0.049	0.329	0.277	0.168	0.395	0.227	0.070	0.371	0.299
Dungarpur	0.148	0.220	0.074	0.244	0.269	0.026	0.169	0.267	0.097
Banswara	0.252	0.314	0.062	0.293	0.282	-0.012	0.297	0.378	0.081
Chittaurgarh	0.125	0.320	0.197	0.153	0.303	0.148	0.150	0.386	0.233
Kota	0.115	0.058	-0.058	0.188	0.240	0.050	0.178	0.187	0.008
Baran	0.093	0.122	0.035	0.198	0.178	-0.022	0.126	0.175	0.050
Jhalawar	0.107	0.100	-0.008	0.218	0.182	-0.030	0.136	0.154	0.017
Udaipur	0.053	0.272	0.214	0.136	0.319	0.187	0.093	0.392	0.300
Pratapgarh	0.165	0.358	0.192	0.154	0.301	0.145	0.176	0.407	0.230

Overall disparity in literacy

It has been noticed that the largest value of disparity is seen between General population and ST population followed by disparity value between General population and SC population and least value between SC and ST population as 0.239, 0.141, 0.100 respectively. In case of disparity value between General population and SC population the least

value of 0.009 is found in Jaisalmer followed by Alwar with 0.058 value while highest value 0.297 is found Banswara. In case of disparity between General population and ST population the least value of -0.012 is found in Bharatpur followed by Churu with 0.013 value. In Bharatpur the negative value show that literacy levels are better for ST population rather than for General population while the highest disparity 0.548 is seen in Sirohi. In case of disparity between SC and ST population the highest value of 0.315 is found in Sirohi. There are 12 districts in which the ST population is showing better situation than the SC population. Here Jaipur and Kota show the lowest disparity value of 0.008. Overall disparity value ranges from 0.008 in Jaipur and Kota to 0.548 in Sirohi between SC/ST population and General/ST population respectively. It is clear that disparity values vary across districts and across different sections of the society.

Disparity in rural area

Disparity in rural area follows the same trend, it is highest between General population and ST population followed by General population and SC population and least disparity is observed in between SC and ST population. In case of disparity among General population and SC population Jaisalmer shows the negative value -0.031 which indicates that SC condition is better than the General population; the highest disparity 0.252 is found in Banswara, while lowest disparity 0.016 is found in Alwar. In case of disparity among General population and ST population Bharatpur shows the negative value -0.049, it indicates that situation of ST is far better than the General population; the highest disparity 0.506 is found in Sirohi while Sawai Madhopur experiences the lowest disparity value of 0.004. In case of disparity in SC and ST population 14 districts experience negative values which clearly indicate that situation of ST population is better than the SC population; the highest disparity 0.279 is found in Jalor while Bundi records no disparity among two sections of the society. Disparity in rural area ranges from zero in Bundi to 0.506 in Sirohi between SC/ST population and General/ST population respectively.

Disparity in urban area

Disparity in urban area follows a different trend. Disparity between General and SC population as well as General and ST population show equal value while Rajasthan show no disparity between SC/ST populations. In case of General and SC population the lowest value 0.086 is experienced by Jhunjhunn while Banswara experiences the highest disparity value of 0.293. In case of General and ST population the highest disparity value 0.568 is seen in Jalor while Jhunjhunn experiences no disparity. In case of disparity in SC/ST population 18 districts experience negative values which clearly indicate situation of ST population is far better than the SC population. The highest disparity value 0.392 is seen in Jalor while least disparity value 0.008 is experienced by Ajmer. The disparity value ranges from zero in Jhunjhunn to 0.568 in Jalor between General and ST population.

CONCLUSION

The preceding analysis clearly reflects that (1) the variation in literacy rates is found to be higher in ST population followed by SC and General population in both rural and urban areas. (2) inequality in literacy is highest for the General/ST population while least values are seen in SC/ST population. (3) urban area experience the higher disparity than the rural area. (4) 12 districts; Churu, Nagaur, Ganganagar, Bharatpur, Karauli, Bikaner, Sawai Madhopur, Sikar, Hanumangarh, Dausa, Jhunjhunn, Dhaulpur experience negative disparity values when compared SC and ST population in all areas. Hence it can be said that inter and intra disparity in female literacy in Rajasthan do exist. The good thing that has come out from the analysis is that in certain districts the literacy rates for the backward sections are higher than the non backward class which clearly indicates the awareness among the backward classes regarding education but still a lot to be done as state is laggard in terms of female education.

REFERENCES

- [1]. Census of India (2011), Directorate of Census, Government of India.
- [2]. Dreze, Jean and Amartya Sen. (1995). India: Economic development and social opportunity. New Delhi: Oxford University Press.
- [3]. Hirshman, A.O. (1961). The strategy of economic development. 1st ed. New Haven: Yale University Press.
- [4]. Kamat, A.R. (1981). Education and social change amongst the scheduled castes and scheduled tribes. Economic and Political Weekly. 16(31), 1279-1284.
- [5]. Kundu, A & Rao, J.M. (1983). Inequality in education development: Issues in measurement changing structure and its socio-economic correlates with special reference to India. In: Moonis Raza (ed), Educational planning : A long term perspective. 1st ed. New Delhi: NIEPA. pp.(435-465).
- [6]. Lockheed, M, A Verspooretal. (1991). Improving primary education in developing countries. London: Oxford University Press.
- [7]. Mahmood, A. (1977). Statistical methods in geographical studies. 1st ed. New Delhi: Rajesh Publications.
- [8]. Ramotra, K.C. (2008). Development processes and scheduled castes. 1st ed. Jaipur: Rawat Publications.
- [9]. Sopher, D.K. (1974). Measurement of disparity . The Professional Geographer, 26 (4), 389-392.
- [10]. Thapan, Meenakshi. (1993). Life at School. New Delhi: Oxford University Press.