

Fixed Point Theorems for Weakly Compatible Mappings in Metric Spaces

Monika

Department of Mathematics, Baba Mastnath University, Rohtak (Haryana) India

ABSTRACT

In this paper, we prove a common fixed point theorem from the class of compatible continuous mappings to a larger class of mappings having weakly compatible mappings without appeal to continuity which generalizes the result of Fisher[2], Jungck[4], Lohani and Badshah[6].

Keywords: compatible mappings, weakly compatible mappings, fixed point, metric space.

1. INTRODUCTION

In 1998, Jungck & Rhoades [3] introduced the concept of weakly compatible maps in metric spaces and proved a common fixed point theorem for these mappings by generalizing previous known results given by many authors in various ways.

In this paper, we prove a fixed point theorem for weakly compatible maps without appeal to continuity. We prove a common fixed point theorem, from the class of compatible continuous maps to a larger class of maps having weakly compatible maps without appeal to continuity, which generalizes the result of Fisher [2], Jungck[4], Lohani and Badshah [6].

2. PRELIMINARIES

Now we give some definitions which are used in this paper.

Definition. A pair of maps $A, S: (X, d) \rightarrow (X, d)$ is **compatible pair** if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(ASx_n, SAx_n) = 0,$$

Definition. A pair of maps $A, S: (X, d) \rightarrow (X, d)$ is **weakly compatible pair** if they commute at coincidence points i.e.

$$Ax = Sx \text{ implies } ASx = SAx.$$

Example.

Let $X=[0, 3]$ be equipped with the usual metric space $d(x, y) = |x - y|$. Define $A, S: [0, 3] \rightarrow [0, 3]$ by

$$A(x) = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x \in [0,1) \\ 3 & \text{if } x \in [1,3] \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad S(x) = \begin{cases} 3-x & \text{if } x \in [0,1) \\ 3 & \text{if } x \in [1,3] \end{cases}$$

Then for $x = 3$, $ASx = SAx$, showing that A, S are weakly compatible maps on $[0, 3]$.

Example.

Let $X=[2, 20]$ and d be the usual metric on X . Define mappings $A, S: X \rightarrow X$ by $Ax = x$ if $x = 2$ or $x > 5$, $Ax = 6$ if $2 < x \leq 5$, $Sx = x$ if $x=2$, $Sx = 12$ if $2 < x \leq 5$, $Sx = x - 3$ if $x > 5$.

The mappings A and S are non-compatible and sequence $\{x_n\}$ defined by $x_n = 5 + (1/n), n \geq 1$. Then

$Sx_n \rightarrow 2, Ax_n \rightarrow 2, SAx_n \rightarrow 2$ and $ASx_n \rightarrow 6$. But they are weakly compatible since they commute at coincidence point at $x=2$.

Example.

Let $X=\mathbb{R}$ and define $A, S : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $Ax = x/3, x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $Sx = x^2, x \in \mathbb{R}$. Here 0 and 1/3 are two coincidence points for the maps A and S . Note that A and S commute at 0, i.e. $AS(0)=SA(0)=0$, but $AS(1/3)=A(1/9)=1/27$ and $SA(1/3)=S(1/9)=1/81$ and so A and S are not weakly compatible maps on \mathbb{R} .

Remark.

Weakly compatible maps need not be compatible.

3. MAIN RESULT

We need the following lemma to prove our main result.

Lemma. Let A, B, S and T be self mappings from a metric space (X,d) into itself satisfying the following conditions.

$$A(x) \subset S(x) \text{ and } B(x) \subset T(x) \tag{3.1.1}$$

$$d(Ax, By) \leq \alpha \frac{d(Sy, By)(1 + d(Tx, Ax))}{[1 + d(Tx, Sy)]} + \beta [d(Tx, By) + d(Sy, Ax)] + \gamma d(Tx, Sy) \text{ for all } x, y \text{ in } X. \tag{3.1.2}$$

Where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \geq 0, 0 \leq \alpha + 2\beta + \gamma < 1$. Then for any arbitrary point x_n in X , by (3.1.1), therefore, there exists a point $x_1 \in X$ such that $Sx_1 = Ax_0$ and for this point x_1 , We can choose a point $x_2 \in X$ such that $Bx_1 = Tx_2$ and so on. Inductively, we can define a sequence $\{y_n\}$ in X such that

$$y_{2n} = Sx_{2n+1} = Ax_{2n} \text{ and } y_{2n+1} = Tx_{2n+2} = Bx_{2n+1} \text{ for } n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \tag{3.1.3}$$

Then the sequence $\{y_n\}$ defined by (3.1.3) is a Cauchy sequence in X .

Proof: From (3.1.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) &= d(Ax_{2n}, Bx_{2n+1}) \\ &\leq \alpha \frac{d(Sx_{2n+1}, Bx_{2n+1})[1 + d(Tx_{2n}, Ax_{2n})]}{[1 + d(Tx_{2n}, Sx_{2n+1})]} \\ &\quad + \beta [d(Tx_{2n}, Bx_{2n+1}) + d(Sx_{2n+1}, Ax_{2n})] + \gamma d(Tx_{2n}, Sx_{2n+1}) \\ d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) &\leq \alpha \frac{d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1})[1 + d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n})]}{[1 + d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n})]} \\ &\quad + \beta [d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1})] + d(y_{2n}, y_{2n}) \end{aligned}$$

$$+ \gamma d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n})$$

On simplification we have

$$d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) \leq \frac{(\gamma + \beta)d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1})}{1 - \alpha - \beta}$$

$$d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) \leq h d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) \text{ where } h = \frac{\gamma + \beta}{1 - \alpha - \beta} < 1$$

Now $d(y_n, y_{n+1}) \leq h d(y_{n+1}, y_n) \leq \dots \leq h^2 d(y_0, y_1)$

For every integer $t > 0$, we get

$$d(y_n, y_{n+t}) \leq d(y_n, y_{n+1}) + d(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}) + \dots + d(y_{n+t-1}, y_{n+t}) \\ \leq (1 + h + h^2 + \dots + h^{n-1})d(y_n, y_{n+1})$$

$$d(y_n, y_{n+1}) \leq \frac{h^n}{1 - h} d(y_0, y_1)$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have $d(y_n, y_{n+t}) \rightarrow 0$. Therefore $\{y_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X .

Now, we prove our main result by using this lemma.

Theorem. Let (A, T) and (B, S) be weakly compatible pairs of self maps of a complete metric space (X, d) satisfying (3.1.1) and (3.1.2). Then A, B, S and T have a unique common fixed point in X .

Proof: By Lemma 3.1, $\{y_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X . Since X is complete, therefore, there exists a point z in X such

that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = z$.

Also, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_{2n+1} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_{2n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tx_{2n+2} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Bx_{2n+1} = z$.

$B(X) \subset T(X)$ so, there exists a point $u \in X$ such that $z = Tu$, then using (3.1.2), we obtain

$$d(Au, z) \leq d(Au, Bx_{2n+1}) + d(Bx_{2n+1}, z) \\ \leq \alpha \frac{d(Sx_{2n+1}, Bx_{2n+1})[1 + d(Tu, Au)]}{[1 + d(Tu, Sx_{2n+1})]} \\ + \beta \{d(Tu, Bx_{2n+1}) + d(Sx_{2n+1}, Au)\} + \gamma d[Tu, Sx_{2n+1}]$$

Taking limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ yields $d(Au, z) \leq \beta d(Au, z)$, a contradiction, since $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \geq 0, \leq \alpha + 2\beta + \gamma < 1$. Therefore $Au = Tu = z$.

Since $A(x) \subset S(x)$, there exists a point $v \in X$ such that $z = Sv$. Then again using (3.1.2), we get

$$d(z, Bv) = d(Au, Bv) \leq \alpha \frac{d(Sv, Bv)[1 + d(Tu, Au)]}{[1 + d(Tu, Sv)]} \\ + \beta [d(Tu, Bv) + d(Sv, Au)] + \gamma [d(Tu, Sv)] \\ d(z, Bv) \leq (\alpha + \beta)d(z, Bv), \text{ a contradiction}$$

Therefore, $z = Bv$. Thus $Au = Tu = Bv = Sv = z$

Since pair of maps A and T are weakly compatible, then $ATu = T Au$, i.e. $Az = Tz$. Now we show that z is a fixed point of A . If $Az \neq z$, then by (3.1.2),

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(Az, z) = d(Az, Bv) &\leq \alpha \frac{d(Sv, Bv) + d(Tz, Az)}{[1 + d(Tz, Sv)]} \\
 &+ \beta [d(Tz, Bv) + d(Sv, Az) + \gamma(Tz, Sv)] \\
 &= \beta [d(Az, z) + d(z, Az) + \gamma(Az, z)] \\
 &= (2\beta + \gamma)d(Az, z), \text{ which yields } Az = z.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $Tz = Az = z$.

Similarly, pairs of maps B and S are weakly compatible, we have $Bz = Sz = z$, since

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(z, Bz) \leq d(Az, Bz) &\leq \alpha \frac{d(Sz, Bz)[1 + d(Tz, Az)]}{[1 + d(Tz, Sz)]} \\
 &+ \beta [d(Tz, Bz) + d(Sz, Az)] + \gamma d(Tz, Sz) \\
 &= \beta [d(z, Bz) + d(z, Bz)] + \gamma d(Bz, z). \\
 &= (2\beta + \gamma)d(Bz, z), \text{ which yields } Bz = z.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $z = Tz = Sz = Az = Bz$ and z is a common fixed point of A, B, S and T.

Uniqueness follows easily from (3.1.2)

The following example illustrates our theorem.

Example.

Let $X = [0, 1]$ and d be usual metric, i.e. $d(x, y) = |x - y|$

Define maps A, B, S, T : $X \rightarrow X$ as follows:

$$Sx = 0, Ax = x, Tx = x/32, Bx = x$$

Pairs (T, B) and (S, A) are weakly compatible. Now

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(Sx, Ty) = |y/32| &\leq [x/2 + 59y/384 + 31xy/192] \text{ for all } x, y \in X \\
 &\leq 1/6 \left[31/32 \frac{|y|(1+|x|)}{1+|x-y|} + 1/12 |x - y/32| + |y| + 1/4 |x - y| \right] \\
 &= 1/6 \frac{d(By, Ty)[1 + d(Ax, Sx)]}{[1 + d(Ax, By)]} \\
 &+ 1/12 [d(Ax, Ty) + d(By, Sx)] + 1/4 d(Ax, By)
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence all the assumptions of the theorem are satisfied with $\alpha = 1/6, \beta = 1/12, \gamma = 1/4$ and zero is the unique common fixed point of A, B, S and T.

Remark

Our theorem improves the result of Fisher [2], Jungck[4], Lohani and Badshah [6] in two aspects. Firstly our theorem does not require the mappings to be continuous; secondly we prove the result for weakly compatible mappings instead of compatible mappings.

Source of support: nil, conflict of interest: none declared.



REFERENCES

- [1]. Chugh, R. and Kumar, S., Common fixed points for weakly compatible maps, **Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. (Math. Sci.)**, Vol. 111, No. 2(2001), 241-247.
- [2]. Fisher, B., “*Common fixed points of four mappings*”, Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Scinica, 11, (1983), 103-113.
- [3]. Jungck G. and Rhoades B.E., Fixed point for set valued functions without continuity, **Indian J. pure appl. Math.**, 29(3) (1998), 227-238.
- [4]. Jungck G., Compatible mappings and common fixed points. **Intern. J. Math. And Math. Sci.**, 9(1986), 771-79.
- [5]. Kang, S.M., Cho, Y.J. and Jungck, G., “*Common fixed points of compatible mappings*”, Internat. J. Math. and Math. Sci., 13, (1990), 61-66.
- [6]. Lohani P.C. and Badshah V.H., Compatible maps and common fixed point for four mappings, **Bull. Cal. Math. Soc.**, 90(1998), 301-308.