International Journal of Enhanced Research in Science, Technology & Engineering
ISSN: 2319-7463, Vol. 15 Issue 5, May-2026

Effect of Printing Parameters on the Mechanical Properties of 3D Printed Concrete

Berrin AKKUŞ

Selçuk University, Cihanbeyli Vocational School, Department of Machine and Metal Technologies, Konya, Türkiye

ABSTRACT

3D concrete printing technology is considered one of the most important components of digitalization in the construction industry and offers more sustainable, faster, and flexible production compared to conventional construction methods. In this technology, mechanical performance depends not only on the material composition but also strongly on the process parameters of printing. Parameters such as printing speed, extrusion rate, layer height, and interlayer time interval play a decisive role, particularly in interlayer adhesion and porosity. Recent studies have revealed that 3D printed concrete elements exhibit anisotropic behavior, which is largely attributed to production parameters. In this context, optimization of printing parameters has become a critical research area in terms of both strength and durability. In this study, the effects of printing parameters on mechanical properties are examined through a detailed literature review, and a comprehensive analysis is presented by also evaluating the interactions between parameters.

Keywords: 3D Concrete Printing, Printing Parameters, Mechanical Properties

INTRODUCTION

3D concrete printing, which has emerged through the application of additive manufacturing technologies in civil engineering, has shown significant development over the past decade. This technology eliminates the need for formwork, providing material savings and enabling the production of complex geometries. However, the layered nature of the production process may lead to structural discontinuities and weak interlayer interfaces compared to conventional concrete production (Buswell et al., 2018).

In 3D concrete printing, mechanical properties are not solely dependent on mix design but are also directly related to the control of process parameters. In particular, the quality of interlayer bonding varies depending on time, speed, and material flow during the printing process. This is considered one of the most important factors determining the macroscopic strength of the structure (Le et al., 2012).

Experimental studies in the literature indicate that weak interlayer bonding leads to significant reductions in tensile and flexural strength. Furthermore, the variation in mechanical behavior depending on the printing direction is an important factor that must be considered in the design process (Panda et al., 2018).

This study aims to systematically examine the main parameters used in the 3D concrete printing process and to evaluate their effects on mechanical properties based on the literature. In addition, interactions between parameters and improvement methods are discussed in detail (Ngo et al., 2018).

MECHANICAL BEHAVIOR OF 3D PRİNTED CONCRETE ELEMENTS

3D printed concrete elements exhibit anisotropic mechanical behavior due to the layered production process. This anisotropy becomes more pronounced when interlayer bonding is weak. While compressive strength is generally higher in the direction perpendicular to the layers, tensile strength tends to be lower in the direction parallel to the layers (Le et al., 2012).

Moreover, voids and heterogeneity formed during the printing process cause variability in properties such as strength and elastic modulus. Porosity and interfacial defects at the micro-scale directly influence fracture behavior at the macro-scale (Buswell et al., 2018).

Experimental studies show that interlayer delamination is the most common failure mechanism under flexural and tensile loading. This necessitates the development of new approaches in the design of 3D printed concrete structures (Panda et al., 2018).

Effect of Printing Speed on Mechanical Properties

Printing speed is one of the most important parameters determining the placement time of the material and the quality of interlayer bonding in the 3D concrete printing process. At low printing speeds, the material is deposited more uniformly, resulting in stronger interlayer adhesion. This positively affects tensile and flexural strength (Panda et al., 2018).

At high printing speeds, however, the material is deposited without sufficient spreading, leading to increased void content and weaker interlayer bonding. This negatively affects fracture strength and ductility. In addition, shear stresses generated during extrusion at high speeds may alter the rheological behavior of the material, reducing mechanical performance (Nerella et al., 2019).

Another important effect of printing speed is its indirect influence on the interlayer time interval. As speed increases, the time between layers decreases, which may improve adhesion. However, excessive speeds eliminate this advantage and result in irregular structures (Bos et al., 2016).

Therefore, maintaining printing speed within an optimal range is essential, and this range depends on material properties and environmental conditions (Ngo et al., 2018).

Extrusion Rate and Nozzle Parameters

The extrusion rate refers to the amount of material discharged per unit time and is directly related to layer density. Insufficient extrusion leads to the formation of voids between layers, significantly reducing mechanical strength (Bos et al., 2016).

Excessive extrusion, on the other hand, causes material spreading and loss of geometric accuracy. This negatively affects the stability of upper layers and may result in structural deformation (Nerella et al., 2019).

Nozzle diameter is a key parameter determining printing resolution and layer width. Larger nozzles enable faster production, whereas smaller nozzles provide better surface quality and higher interlayer adhesion (Le et al., 2012).

Additionally, nozzle geometry influences the flow direction of the material and the contact area between layers, thereby indirectly affecting mechanical properties. Therefore, nozzle design should be optimized not only for production speed but also for mechanical performance (Buswell et al., 2018).

Layer Height and Geometric Effects

Layer height is one of the most critical parameters determining the contact area between layers in 3D concrete printing. Lower layer heights provide greater contact surfaces, improving interlayer adhesion and mechanical strength (Panda et al., 2018).

In contrast, higher layer heights reduce production time but weaken interlayer bonding. This negatively affects tensile and flexural strength (Nerella et al., 2019).

From a geometric perspective, layer height also plays a significant role in structural stability. Higher layers impose additional loads on underlying layers that have not yet hardened, increasing the risk of deformation (Bos et al., 2016). Furthermore, there is a direct relationship between layer height and surface roughness. Lower layer heights produce smoother surfaces, whereas higher values reduce surface quality (Ngo et al., 2018).

Interlayer Time Interval

The interlayer time interval refers to the duration between the deposition of successive layers. As this time increases, the hydration process of the underlying layer progresses, weakening the bond with the newly deposited layer (Le et al., 2012).

Interfacial bond strength decreases significantly with increasing time intervals. In long delays, weak zones similar to cold joints may form between layers (Panda et al., 2018).

To mitigate this issue, it is recommended to minimize the time interval or apply surface activation techniques. Environmental conditions such as temperature and humidity also affect this parameter by influencing the hydration rate (Nerella et al., 2019).

Thus, controlling the interlayer time interval is one of the most critical factors determining the strength of 3D printed concrete structures (Buswell et al., 2018).

Effect of Printing Direction on Mechanical Properties

Printing direction is a fundamental factor influencing the mechanical behavior of 3D printed concrete elements. Due to the layered structure, the material exhibits different strength values in different directions (Panda et al., 2018).

Tensile strength is lower in the direction parallel to the layers, whereas compressive strength is higher in the perpendicular direction. This requires design strategies to be adapted according to loading direction (Ngo et al., 2018). Moreover, printing direction influences crack propagation. Cracks typically propagate along weak interlayer interfaces, determining fracture behavior (Buswell et al., 2018).

Therefore, considering printing direction in structural design is essential for achieving safe and durable structures (Le et al., 2012).

Interaction Between Parameters and Multiscale Effects

The parameters used in the 3D concrete printing process are not independent and often interact with each other. For instance, evaluating printing speed together with extrusion rate can result in optimal layer density (Nerella et al., 2019). Similarly, layer height and interlayer time interval jointly affect the quality of interlayer bonding. Therefore, parameters should be evaluated using a holistic approach rather than individually (Bos et al., 2016).

At the micro-scale, porosity, fiber distribution, and hydration products influence mechanical behavior, while at the macro-scale, properties such as strength and stiffness are affected. Hence, multiscale modeling approaches are essential for understanding 3D concrete printing (Buswell et al., 2018).

Recent studies have shown that machine learning methods can be used for optimizing these parameters (Ngo et al., 2018).

Improvement of Mechanical Properties

Various methods have been developed to improve the mechanical properties of 3D printed concrete elements. One of these methods is fiber reinforcement. Steel, glass, and polymer fibers significantly enhance tensile strength and crack control (Panda et al., 2018).

Chemical admixtures and rheology modifiers improve the flow properties of the material and increase interlayer adhesion, resulting in more homogeneous and durable structures (Le et al., 2012).

In addition, real-time process control, sensor technologies, and adaptive manufacturing systems can minimize production errors. These technologies offer significant advantages, especially in large-scale construction (Nerella et al., 2019).

Finally, surface treatment techniques and interlayer activation methods improve bonding between layers and enhance mechanical performance (Buswell et al., 2018).

CONCLUSION

In this study, the effects of key parameters used in the 3D concrete printing process on mechanical properties have been evaluated through a comprehensive literature review. The findings indicate that optimizing printing parameters is critical for structural performance.

In particular, printing speed, layer height, and interlayer time interval have a decisive influence on interlayer bonding, and appropriate combinations of these parameters lead to improved strength. Furthermore, interactions between parameters should not be neglected, and multiscale approaches should be adopted to obtain more accurate results.

Future studies focusing on process optimization, new material development, and digital manufacturing technologies will contribute to the broader adoption of 3D concrete printing in the construction industry.

REFERENCES

[1] Bos, F. P., Wolfs, R. J. M., Ahmed, Z. Y., & Salet, T. A. M. (2016). Additive manufacturing of concrete in construction: Potentials and challenges of 3D concrete printing. Virtual and Physical Prototyping, 11(3), 209–225.

[2] Buswell, R. A., Leal de Silva, W. R., Jones, S. Z., & Dirrenberger, J. (2018). 3D printing using concrete extrusion: A roadmap for research. Cement and Concrete Research, 112, 37–49.

[3] Le, T. T., Austin, S. A., Lim, S., Buswell, R. A., Gibb, A. G. F., & Thorpe, T. (2012). Mix design and fresh properties for high-performance printing concrete. Materials and Structures, 45(8), 1221–1232.
[4] Nerella, V. N., Hempel, S., & Mechtcherine, V. (2019). Effects of layer-interface properties on mechanical performance of concrete elements produced by extrusion-based 3D-printing. Construction and Building Materials, 205, 586–601.
[5] Ngo, T. D., Kashani A., Imbalzano, G., Nguyen, K.T.Q., Hui, D., (2018). Additive manufacturing (3D printing): A review of materials, methods, applications and challenges, Composites Part B: Engineering, 143, 172–196.
[6] Panda, B., Paul, S. C., Mohamed, N. A. N., Tay, Y. W. D., & Tan, M. J. (2018). Measurement of tensile bond strength of 3D printed geopolymer mortar. Measurement, 113, 108–116.