

# Learning Curve of Laparoscopic Cholecystectomy

# Dr. Chetan Sharma<sup>1</sup>, Dr. Latika Sharma<sup>2</sup>, Dr. Harsh Bagla<sup>\*3</sup>, Dr. Amir Hussain Usmani<sup>4</sup>, Dr. Anupam Bhargava<sup>5</sup>, Dr Amit Soni<sup>6</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Ex Professor & Unit Head, Department of General Surgery, Dr. Sampurnanad Medical College, Jodhpur, RUHS, India <sup>2</sup>Professor & Unit Head, Department of General Surgery, Dr. Sampurnanad Medical College, Jodhpur, RUHS, India <sup>3,4,5,6</sup>Resident, Department of General Surgery, Dr. Sampurnanad Medical College, Jodhpur, RUHS, India

# ABSTRACT

**Background:** laparoscopic cholecystectomy can be performed with lower mortality and morbidity, compared with the traditional open surgery. Nevertheless conversion to open surgery may occasionally be obligatory for patients in whom laparoscopic cholecystectomy cannot be performed safely and /or because of technical difficulties or intra operative complications. Preoperative estimation of the risk probability for requirement of conversion to open surgery is important.

Methods: Study was a retrospective type which includes all patients admitted for elective cholecystectomy in single surgical unit over a period of ten year (2004-2013) in department of Surgery, Mahatma Gandhi Hospital, attached to Dr. S.N. Medical College and associated group of hospital Jodhpur.

**Results:** The conversion rate was 33% in 2005 which fell down to 8% in 2013. The learning curves shows rapid fall in the conversion rate in the initial phase of learning followed by gradual rate of improvement finally leading to a plateau phase.

**Conclusions:** The conversion rate of laparoscopic cholecystectomy decreased with exprerience years showing the learning curve with increasing surgical experience.

Keywords: Laparoscopic Cholecystectomy, Learning Curve, Conversion to Open.

# INTRODUCTION

Since 1985 lap cholecystectomy has developed rapidly and become the gold standard treatment of various gallbladder diseases. Contrary to the early reports of increased complication rates, recent studies suggest that laparoscopic cholecystectomy can be performed with lower mortality and morbidity, compared with the traditional open surgery. Its benefits over open cholecystectomy include less patient discomfort, better cosmetic results, shorter hospitalization and more rapid return to full activities postoperatively. Nevertheless conversion to open surgery may occasionally be obligatory for patients in whom laparoscopic cholecystectomy cannot be performed safely and /or because of technical difficulties or intra operative complications.

The most common reported reasons for conversion have been inability to perform a safe dissection due to obscure anatomy, inflammation, or adhesion, bleeding and bile duct injuries. Other infrequent factors to result in conversion include unexpected malignancies, inability to crate pneumoperitoneum, instrument failure multiple tears in the gall bladder, and common bile duct stones. Conversion from laparoscopic cholecystectomy to open surgery should not be considered as a failure or a complication of laparoscopic operation rather it should be accepted as a step towards safer surgery when completion of laparoscopic cholecystectomy is not possible.

Preoperative estimation of the risk probability for requirement of conversion to open surgery is important.

# AIMS AND OBJECTIVES

Aim of the study was:

• To study the learning curve of laparoscopic cholecystectomy in form of changing trend of rate of conversion of laparoscopic surgery into open cholecystectomy with surgical experience.



- To determine the risk factors for conversion of laparoscopic cholecystectomy into open surgery.
- To find causes leading to conversion of laparoscopic cholecystectomy into open cholecystectomy.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study was a retrospective type which includes all patients admitted for elective cholecystectomy in single surgical unit over a period of ten year (2004-2013) in department of Surgery, Mahatma Gandhi Hospital, attached to Dr. S.N. Medical College and associated group of hospital Jodhpur.

Patients of all age and both sexes were included.

- Inclusion Criteria :
  - ✓ Patients with symptomatic gallbladder diseases
    - Symptomatic gallstones /GB polyp
    - Acute or Chronic cholecystitis
    - Mucocele/Pyocele of gallbladder
- Exclusion Criteria :
  - ✓ CBD Stone.
    - $\checkmark$  Jaundice or abnormal liver function test .
    - ✓ Cholangitis or biliary enteric fistula.
    - $\checkmark$  Pregnancy.
    - ✓ Morbid Obesity.
    - ✓ Major bleeding disorder.
    - ✓ Cirrhosis with portal hypertension
    - ✓ Generalized peritonitis.
    - $\checkmark$  Patient not fit for general anesthesia.
    - ✓ Preoperative suspicion of gall bladder malignancy.
    - ✓ Patient not willing for laparoscopic surgery.

#### **OBSERVATION**

#### **Table: 1 Age Distribution**

	SEX	SEX			
AGE	F	М	TOTAL		
0-30	46	12	58		
31-50	109	21	130		
51-70	62	23	85		
71-90	5	6	11		
TOTAL	222	62	284		

Of the 284 cases attempted for laparoscopic cholecystectomy 222 were female which was statistically significant (F:M::3.6:1). The most common age group affected was from the 30 years to 50 years(48.77%)

#### **Table: 2: Rate of Conversion**

CONVERSION	Frequency	Percent
NO	250	88.03%
YES	34	11.97%
TOTAL	284	100.00%

Of the total 284 cases in 34 cases (11.97%) required conversion. This is the cumulative conversion rate over 10 years.

#### **Table: 3 Cause of Conversion**

CAUSE OF CONVERSION	Frequency	Percent
Abnormal Anatomy	6	17.65%
Bleeding	1	2.94%



CBD Injury	0	-
Dense Adhesions	24	70.59%
Suspicious of Malignancy	2	5.88%
Technical Problem	1	2.94%
TOTAL	34	100%

The most common cause of conversion of laparoscopic cholecystectomy into open cholecystectomy was dense adhesion (70.59%), followed by abnormal anatomy (17.65%), suspicion of malignancy (5.88%), bleeding and technical problem (2.94%). None of the cases was converted due to bile duct injury.

# Table 4: Converion Rate in Relation to Previous Surgery

	CONVERSION			
PREVIOUS SURGERY	YES	NO	TOTAL	
NO	17	199	216	
YES	17	51	68	
Total	34	250	284	

25% of patient who had history of previous abdominal surgery were converted to open procedure while it was 7.87% in previously non operated patient. The result is extremely statistically significant. Chi-square = 14.3999 P value = 0.000148

#### Table 5: Sex Wise Conversion Rate

	CONVERSION			
SEX	YES	NO	TOTAL	
F	24	198	222	
М	10	52	62	
Total	34	250	284	

The conversion rate in males was 16.13% in comparison to females in whom patient was 10.81%. The result is statistically not significant.

Chi-square = 1.3007 P value = 0.254086

#### Table 6: Conversion Rate in Acute V/S Chronic Cholecystits

DIAGNOSIS	CONVERSION		TOTAL
	YES	NO	
ACUTE CHOLECYSTITIS	13	18	31
CHRONIC CHOLECYSTITIS	16	224	240
Total	29	242	271

13 (42%) of the 31 cases of acute Cholecystitis were complete by open procedure while it was only in 16(7.14%) out of 224 cases for chronic Cholecystitis. The result is statistically significant. Chi-squre=35.7364P Value=0.0001

#### Table 7: Year Wise Conversion Rate

YEAR	CONVERSIO	CONVERSION		CONVERSION	RATE
	NO	YES	TOTAL	(%)	
2004	1	1	1	-	
2005	6	3	9	33.33	
2006	12	3	15	20.00	
2007	23	4	27	14.81	
2008	21	3	24	12.50	
2009	30	4	34	11.76	



2010	36	4	40	10.00
2011	18	2	20	10.00
2012	44	6	50	12.00
2013	59	5	64	7.81
TOTAL	250	34	284	-

The conversion rate for successive years decreased through year 2005 to year 2013 with exception being in 2012 in which it was more than in years 2010 and 2011. Since only I case was done laparoscopically in year 2004, it has not been considered. The conversion rate was 33.33% in 2005 which fell swiftly to 12.5% in 2008, Thereafter it had minimal variation till year 2012(12%). Least conversion rate was seen in year 2013 when it was 8%.

# DISCUSSION

Of the 284 cases attempted for laparoscopic cholecystectomy in our study, 222 were females (F:M::3,6,1). This observation is also supported by Cholecystectomy **Shaffer EA et al** study which shows that female gender has a most compelling association with gallstone disease, especially during the fertile years.

Of the total 284 cases included in this study over 10 years, 34 years(11.97%) required conversion which is a bit higher than seen in previous studies. The conversion rates decreased with increasing surgical experience. In **Sujit Vijay Sakpal et al** study restrospectively analyzed 2205 Laparoscopic cholecystectionies performed at a large tertiary community hospital over a 52 month period (May 2004 through October 2008) and found conversion occurred in ~5% of all laparoscopic cholecystectomy. In the study of 2284 patients done by **Livingston EH et al** conversion rate to open cholecystectomy was 4.9% in **Sultan Am et al** study conversion to open cholecystectomy was 5.3%.

Notably elderly patients have a higher incidence of severe, acute, and gangrenous cholecystitis as well as an increased incidence of comorbid cardiopulmonary disease, choledocholithisasis, and prior abdominal surgery. This may contribute to the higher conversion rate.

The conversion rate for attempted laparoscopic cholecystectomies in male patients in our study was 16.13% in comparison to female patients with conversion rate of 10.81%. The result is statistically not singificant (P=0.2707). Lein HH et al<sup>1</sup> in their study interpreted a conversion rate of 6.4 percent in men and 5.9 percent in women (P=0.843). The male gender is associated with a higher likelihood of conversion, which may be due to the more frequent association of pathologically severe disease. Date derived from the National Hospital Discharge database by Livingston EH et alrevealed that males with acute Cholecystitis incurred a higher risk of conversion (2.5%) than their female counterparts(1.5%). Further. Tang B et aland Ibrahim S et al<sup>2</sup> also support higher conversion rate among males.

The most common cause of conversion of laparoscopic cholecystectomy into open cholecystectomy in our study was dense adhesions (70.59%), followed by abnormal anatomy(17.65%), suspicion of malignancy (5.88%), bleeding and technical problem (2.94%). None of the cases in our study was converted due to bile duct injury. Dense adhesion has also been associated with a higher liklihood of conversion in numerous studies as well. **Sujit Vijay Sakpal et al**in their study of 2205 laparoscopic cholecystectomies found dense adhesion as most common reason for conversion to open procedure(40.4%) followed by technical difficulty (22.9%) iatrogenic injury (11%). haemorrhage (6.4%), anomalous bililary anatomy(2.8%), bile leak (2.8%). Usually as a result of prior surgery, especially in the upper abdomen, adhesions occurarround the gallbladder, limiting visualization, retraction, and dessection eventually leading to conversion of laparoscopic procedure to open. Similarly in **Sultan AT et al** study the main cause for conversion was dense adhesions (54.7%) as also in our study.

The present study shows conversion to open procedure in 25% of patients who has history of previous abdominal surgery while it was only 7.87% in patients with no history of previous abdominal surgery. The result is extremely statistically significant (P=0.0004). The result is supported by many other studies performed earlier like the studies done by **Bouasker at al** Tang B et and **Sujit Vijay Sakpal et al** In our study 13(42%) of the 31 cases of acute cholecystitis were completed by open procedure while it was only in 16(7.14%) out of 224 cases of chronic cholecystitis in this study. The result is statistically significant (P<0.0001). Similar result were found in **Ibrahim S et al**<sup>8</sup> study which concluded that the patients who had conversion were mostly men(P=0.0001), were heavier (P<0.05), had acute cholecystitis (P<0.0001), and had a history of upper abdominal surgery (P=0.001).

In our study over a period of 10 year the conversion rate for successive years decreased through year 2005 to year 2013 with exception being in 2012 in which it was more than in years 2010 and 2011. since only I case was done laparoscopically in year 2004, it has not been considered. The conversion rate was 33.33% in 2005 which fell swiftly to



12.5% in 2008. Thereafter it had minimal varitaion till year 2012(12%) least conversion rate was seen in year 2013 when it was 8%. This depicts the learning curve of laparoscpic cholecystectomy over 10 years which shows rapid fall in the conversion rate in the initial years of learning followed by gradual rate of improvement finally leading to a plateau phase. In the **Livingston EH et al**study over the 52 month study period,2284 patients underwent cholecystectomy. The annual rate of attempted laparoscopic cholecystectomy ranged from 94.8% (457 of 482 total cholecystectomies) in 2005 which fell to 3.7% (19 of 515 attempted laparoscopic cholecystectomies) in 2006. **Moore MJ et al** described learning curve in form of falling rate of bile duct injuries over time with surgeon's experience. In this study fifty-five surgeon performed 8,839 procedures. Fifteen bile duct injuries(by 13 surgeon) occurred with 90% of the injuries occurring within the first 30 cases performed by an individual surgeon. Multivariate analyses indicated that the only significant factor associated with an adverse outcome was the surgeon's experience with the procedure. A regression model predicted that a surgeon had a 1.7% chance of a bile duct injury occurring in the first case a 0.17% chance of a bile duct injury at the 50th case. **Andrus J.voitk et al** studied learning curve in form of decreasing operation time with increasing surgical experience. Their results were that there is a 40% decrease (P<0.05) in average operative time over the first 200 operations. Our study is a retrospective study and we had no time records of the surgeries, so cannot analyze our study in this regards.

# CONCLUSION

- Of the attempted laparoscopic cholecystectomy cases gall bladder diseases were more common in females than males(3.6:1).
- Incidence of gallbladder diseases was more in age group of 31-50 years.
- The commonest diagnosis was chronic cholecystitis.
- The cumulative conversion rate of laparoscopics cholecystectomy into open cholecystectomy over 10 years was 11.97%
- Conversion rate of laparoscopic cholecystectomy to open cholecystectomy was higher in males (16.13%) than in females (10.81%) but the difference was statistically not significant.
- The most common cause of conversion of laparoscopic cholecystectomy into open cholecystectomy was dense adhesion (70.59%), followed by abnormal anatomy (17.65%), suspicion of malignancy (5.88%), bleeding and technical problem (2.94%). None of the cases was converted due to bile duct injury.
- 25% of patients who had history of previous abdominal surgery were converted to open procedure while it was 7.87% in previously non operated patients. The result was extremely statistically significant.
- 13 (42%) of the 31 cases of acute cholecystitis were completed by open procedure while it was only in 16(7.14%) out of 224 cases for chronic cholecystitis. The result is statistically signifant.
- The conversion rate of laparoscopic cholecystectomy decreased with exprerience years showing the learning curve with increasing surgical experience. The conversion rate was 33% in 2005 which fell down to 8% in 2013. The learning curves shows rapid fall in the conversion rate in the initial phase of learning followed by gradual rate of improvement finally leading to a plateau phase.

# REFERENCES

- [1]. Lein HH, Huang cc, liujs .system approach to prevent common bile duct injury and enhance performance of laparoscopic cholecystectomy. Surglaparocendoscpercutan tech 2007;17(3):164-70
- [2]. Ibrahim S, hean tk. Ho ls, ravithran t, chyetn ,cheech.Risk factors for conversion to open surgery in patients undergoing laparoscopic cholecystectomy. World j surg 2006;30:16980704.
- [3]. Moore mj, bennet cl, the learning curve for laparoscopic cholecystectomy. the southern surgeons club. Am j surg 1995;170(1):55-9.
- [4]. Pessaux p. tuechij. Rouge c et al. laparoscopic cholecystectomy in acute Cholecystitis; a prospective study in patients with acute vs chronic Cholecystitis.surgendosc 2000;14(4):358-61
- [5]. Hannan el , impertopj . laparoscopic and open cholecystectomy in new york state :mortality complication and choice of procedure. Surgery 1999;125:223-31
- [6]. Schiemerbd ,edge sb . laparoscopic cholecystectomy :treatment of choice for symptomatic cholelithiasis. Ann surg 1991;213:665-77
- [7]. Liu c fan s laiecs. Factors affecting conversion of laparoscopic cholecystectomy to open surgery. Arch surg 1996;13:98-101.
- [8]. Delaitre b, dubois f. complication of cholecystectomy by laparoscopic approach. Apropos of 6512 cases chirugie 1992;118(1-2):92-9.
- [9]. Sain ah . laparoscopic cholecystectomy is the current "gold standard "for the treatment of gallstone disease . annsurg 1996;224(5):689-90.
- [10]. Fabini p, lovinel, dissection du triangle de calot par voiecoelioscopique. presse med 1993;22(11):535-7.
- [11]. Frazee rc, Roberts jw. What are the contraindication for laparoscopic cholecystectomy? am j surg 1992;64:491-5.