

# New type of hybrid conjugate gradient methods for optimization

Dr. Basim A. Hassan<sup>1</sup>, Alaa A. Mahmood<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Assist Professor / College of Computers Sciences and Math.\ University of Mosul / Iraq <sup>2</sup>Graduate student / College of Computers Sciences and Math.\ University of Mosul / Iraq

#### **ABSTRACT**

This paper given a new type hybrid conjugate gradient method for solving unconstrained optimization. The basic idea is to choose a new formula, and by searching a particular direction, the new method possess the descent property. The global convergence is established. The numerical results show that the given method is competitive to the other conjugate gradient methods for the test problems.

Keyword: Unconstrained optimization, Conjugate gradient method, Hybrid conjugate gradient, Global convergent property.

#### INTRODUCTION

Optimization problems can be classified as unconstrained optimization problems and constrained optimization problems. The mathematical description of unconstrained optimization problems is that :

$$\min f(x) , x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$$
 ......(1)

where f is smooth and its gradient g is available. Conjugate gradient method is quite useful in finding an unconstrained minimum of a high-dimensional function f. The iterates of conjugate gradient methods are obtained by:

$$x_{k+1} = x_k + \alpha_k d_k$$
,  $k = 0,1,...$  (2)

where  $\alpha_k$  is step size is determined a line search step satisfying the sufficient descent condition:

$$g_{k+1}^T d_{k+1} \le -c \|g_{k+1}\|^2$$
 ....... (3)

in addition to the standard Wolfe conditions, that is, the step size satisfying:

$$f(x_k + \alpha_k d_k) \le f(x_k) + \delta_1 \alpha_k d_k^T g_k$$
 ......(4)

$$g(x_k + \alpha_k d_k)^T d_k \ge \delta_2 d_k^T g_k$$
 ....... (5)

where  $0 < \delta_1 \le \delta_2 < 1$  are constants with the search direction are computed as :

$$d_{k+1} = \begin{cases} -g_{k+1} & \text{if } k = 0 \\ -g_{k+1} + \beta_k d_k & \text{if } k > 0 \end{cases} \dots \dots (6)$$

 $\beta_k$  is a suitable scale known as the conjugate gradient parameter.

The Fletcher-Reevers and Hestenes-Stiefel methods are two well-known conjugate gradient methods, they are specified by :

where  $y_k = g_{k+1} - g_k$  and  $\|\cdot\|$  stands for the Euclidean norm. For ease of presentation we call the methods corresponding to FR method [4] and HS method [5], respectively. Other conjugate gradient methods can be found [2,3,8,10] et al...



In the same context based on the quadratic model Hideaki and Yasushi [6] proposed the updating formulas as:

$$\beta_{k}^{HYG} = \frac{\left\|g_{k+1}\right\|^{2}}{2/\alpha_{k}(f_{k} - f_{k+1})}, \beta_{k}^{HYY} = \frac{g_{k+1}^{T}y_{k}}{2/\alpha_{k}(f_{k} - f_{k+1})} \dots \dots (8)$$

Important difference between HYG and HYY is that with HYY the has nice numerical results and on the other hand HYG have strong convergence properties. We combine the HYY method which has good numerical results with the HYG method which has strong convergence properties.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we construct a new hybrid method **BGY**, using the convex combination of parameters from the **HYG** method and from the **HYY** method. In this section we also find the formula for computing the parameter  $\theta_k \in [0, 1]$ , which is relevant for our method and we present the algorithm **BGY**. In Section 3 We prove that under some assumptions the search direction of our method satisfies the descent condition and global convergence is established. Section 4 contains some numerical experiments. Finally we present the conclusion in the last part.

### Hybridization of HYG and HYY

In this section, we completely describe a new hybrid method. Our new method is a convex combination of HYG and HYY method. Now we define the next conjugate gradient parameter as:

Hence, the direction  $d_k$  is given by:

The parameter  $\mathcal{G}_k$  is the scalar parameter to be determined later. Obviously, if  $\mathcal{G}_k=1$ , then  $\beta_k^{HBA}=\beta_k^{HYG}$ , and if  $\mathcal{G}_k=0$ , then  $\beta_k^{HBA}=\beta_k^{HYY}$ . On the other hand, if  $0<\mathcal{G}_k<1$ , then,  $\beta_k^{HBA}$  is a convex combination of the parameters  $\beta_k^{HYY}$  and  $\beta_k^{HYG}$ .

#### Theorem 1.

If the relations (9) and (10) hold, then:

$$d_{k+1}^{HBA} = (1 - \vartheta_k) d_{k+1}^{HYY} + \vartheta_k d_{k+1}^{HYG} \qquad .......$$
 (11)

## Proof:

Having in view the relations  $\beta_k^{HYG}$  and  $\beta_k^{HYY}$ , the relation (9) becomes:

so, the relation (10) becomes:

In further consideration of the relation (13), we can get:

$$d_{k+1}^{HBA} = -(\vartheta_k g_{k+1} + (1 - \vartheta_k) g_{k+1}) + ((1 - \vartheta_k) \beta_k^{HYY} + \vartheta_k \beta_k^{HYG}) s_k. \qquad ....... (15)$$

The last relation yields;

$$d_{k+1}^{HBA} = \theta_{k} \left( -g_{k+1} + \beta_{k}^{HYG} s_{k} \right) + (1 - \theta_{k}) \left( -g_{k+1} + \beta_{k}^{HYY} s_{k} \right). \qquad ...... (16)$$

From (16) we finally conclude:



We shall find the value of the parameter  $\mathcal{G}_{\nu}$  in such a way that the conjugacy condition :

$$y_k^T d_k^{HBA} = 0.$$
 ....... (18)

holds.

Firstly, we multiply both sides of the relation (13) by  $y_k^T$  from the left:

$$-y_{k}^{T}g_{k+1} + (1 - \theta_{k}) \frac{g_{k+1}^{T}y_{k}}{2/\alpha_{k}(f_{k} - f_{k+1})} (y_{k}^{T}s_{k}) + \theta_{k} \frac{g_{k+1}^{T}g_{k+1}}{2/\alpha_{k}(f_{k} - f_{k+1})} (y_{k}^{T}s_{k}) = 0, \qquad (20)$$

So,

i.e.

$$\frac{(\xi_{k+1} - y_k^T s_k)}{2 / \alpha_k (f_k - f_{k+1})} (y_k^T g_{k+1}) = \theta_k \frac{g_{k+1}^T g_k}{2 / \alpha_k (f_k - f_{k+1})} (y_k^T s_k) \qquad \dots \dots (22)$$

Finally,

$$\theta_{k} = \frac{(2/\alpha_{k}(f_{k} - f_{k+1}) - y_{k}^{T} s_{k})(y_{k}^{T} g_{k+1})}{g_{k+1}^{T} g_{k}(y_{k}^{T} s_{k})}.$$
 ....... (23)

It is possible that  $\mathcal{G}_k$ , calculated as in (23), has the values outside the interval [0, 1]. However. In order to have a real convex combination in (14) the following rule is used: if  $\mathcal{G}_k \leq 0$ , then set  $\mathcal{G}_k = 0$  in (13) i.e.  $\mathcal{G}_k^{HBSQ} = \mathcal{G}_k^{INQ}$ , if  $\mathcal{G}_k \geq 1$ , then set  $\mathcal{G}_k = 1$  in (13) i.e.  $\mathcal{G}_k^{HBSQ} = \mathcal{G}_k^{BSQ}$ . Therefore, under this rule for  $\mathcal{G}_k$  selection, the direction  $d_{k+1}$  combines the properties of the HYY and the HYG algorithms in a convex way.

Now, we can outline our new algorithm as follows:

## **Outline of the new algorithms:**

**Step 1.** Input parameters: 
$$x_1 \in R^n$$
,  $0 < \delta_1 < \delta_2$ ,  $d_1 = -g_1$ ,  $f(x_1) \alpha_1 = 1/\|g_1\|$ .

**Step 2.** If 
$$||g|_{k+1}|| \le 10^{-6}$$
, then stop.

**Step 3.** Determine the biggest  $\alpha_{k+1} > 0$  it holds:

$$f\left(x_{_k}\,+\,\alpha_{_k}d_{_k}\right)\leq\,f\left(x_{_k}\right)+\,\delta_{_1}\alpha_{_k}g_{_k}^{^T}d_{_k}\,.$$

$$d_{k}^{T}g(x_{k} + \alpha_{k}d_{k}) \geq \delta_{2}d_{k}^{T}g_{k}.$$

and update the variables  $x_{k+1} = x_k + \alpha_k d_k$ .

**Step 4.** If 
$$g_{k+1}^T g_k (y_k^T s_k) = 0$$
, then  $\theta_k = 0$ , else find  $\theta_k$  from (23).

**Step 5.** Compute: 
$$\beta_k^{HBA} = (1 - \theta_k) \frac{g_{k+1}^T y_k}{2 / \alpha_k (f_k - f_{k+1})} + \theta_k \frac{g_{k+1}^T g_{k+1}}{2 / \alpha_k (f_k - f_{k+1})}$$
.

**Step 6.** If 
$$\left| g_{k+1}^T g_k \right| \ge 0.2 \left\| g_{k+1} \right\|^2$$
, then  $d_{k+1} = -g_{k+1}$ , else  $d_{k+1} = -g_{k+1} + \beta_k^{HBA} s_k$ .

**Step 7.** k = k + 1, go to step 1.



#### **CONVERGENCE ANALYSIS**

For further considerations we need the next assumptions:

**i-** The level set  $L = \{x \in R^n \mid f(x) \le f(x_0)\}$  is bounded.

ii- In some neighborhood U and L, f(x) is continuously differentiable and its gradient id Lipschitz continuous, namely, there exists a constant L > 0 such that:

$$\left\| g\left( x_{k+1} \right) - g\left( x_{k} \right) \right\| \le L \left\| x_{k+1} - x_{k} \right\|, \ \forall \ x_{k+1}, \ x_{k} \in U \quad . \tag{24}$$

Under these assumptions on f, there exists a constant then a constant  $\Gamma > 0$  exists, such that :

$$\left\|g_{k+1}\right\| > \Gamma , \qquad \qquad \dots \dots \tag{25}$$

for all  $x \in L$ . More details can be found in [9].

In [7] it is proved that for any conjugate gradient method with the strong Wolfe line search, it holds:

## Lemma 1.

Let assumptions (i) and (ii) holds. Consider the method (2) and (6), where  $d_{k+1}$  is a descent direction and  $\alpha_k$  is received from the strong Wolfe line search. If the Lipschitz condition holds, then either:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left\| g_{k+1} \right\|^4}{\left\| d_{k+1} \right\|^2} < \infty , \qquad \dots \dots \dots (26)$$

then

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \inf \|g_{k+1}\| = 0 . \tag{27}$$

We first prove the descent property in this subsection.

#### Theorem 2.

Let Assumptions (i) and (ii) hold and let Wolfe conditions (4) – (5) hold. Also, let  $\{\|s_k\|\}$  tend to zero, and let there exist some nonnegative constants  $\eta_1$ ,  $\eta_2$  such that :

$$2/\alpha_{k}(f_{k}-f_{k+1}) \geq \eta_{1}\|s_{k}\|^{2},$$
 ....... (28)

$$\left\| g_{k+1} \right\|^2 \leq \eta_2 \left\| s_k \right\| . \tag{29}$$

then  $d_k^{HBA}$  satisfies the descent condition for all k.

#### **Proof:**

It holds  $d_0 = -g_0$ . So, for k = 0, it holds  $g_0^T d_0 = -\|g_0\|^2 < 0$ . Multiplying (17) by  $g_{k+1}^T$  from the left, we get:

$$g_{k+1}^{T} d_{k+1}^{HBA} = (1 - \theta_{k}) g_{k+1}^{T} d_{k+1}^{HYY} + \theta_{k} g_{k+1}^{T} d_{k+1}^{HYG}. \qquad ....... (30)$$

If  $\theta_{\nu} = 0$ , the relation (30) becomes:

$$g_{k+1}^T d_{k+1}^{HBA} = g_{k+1}^T d_{k+1}^{HYY}$$
 ........ (31)

So, if  $\mathcal{G}_k = 0$ , the sufficient descent holds for the hybrid method, if it holds for HYY method. We can prove the descent for HYY method under the conditions of **Theorem 2..** It holds:

$$d_{k+1}^{HYY} = -g_{k+1} + \beta_k^{HYY} s_k. \qquad ...... (32)$$

Multiplying (32) by  $g_{k+1}^{T}$  from the left, we get:

$$g_{k+1}^{T} d_{k+1}^{HYY} = -g_{k+1}^{T} g_{k+1} + \beta_{k}^{HYY} g_{k+1}^{T} s_{k}. \qquad ........$$
(33)

Using  $\beta_k^{HYY}$ , we get:



$$g_{k+1}^{T} d_{k+1}^{HYY} = -g_{k+1}^{T} g_{k+1} + \frac{g_{k+1}^{T} y_{k}}{2 / \alpha_{k} (f_{k} - f_{k+1})} g_{k+1}^{T} s_{k}. \qquad \dots \dots (34)$$

From (33), we get:

$$g_{k+1}^{T} d_{k+1}^{HYY} \leq -\left\|g_{k+1}\right\|^{2} + \frac{\left\|g_{k+1}\right\|^{2} \left\|y_{k}\right\| \left\|s_{k}\right\|}{2 / \alpha_{k} (f_{k} - f_{k+1})}.$$
(35)

From Lipschitz condition we have  $\|y_k\| \le L \|s_k\|$ , so:

$$g_{k+1}^{T} d_{k+1}^{HYY} \leq -\left\|g_{k+1}\right\|^{2} + \frac{\left\|g_{k+1}\right\|^{2} L\left\|s_{k}\right\|^{2}}{2/\alpha_{*}(f_{*} - f_{*,*})}.$$
......(36)

But, using (28) - (29), we get:

$$g_{k+1}^{T} d_{k+1}^{HYY} \leq -\left\|g_{k+1}\right\|^{2} + \frac{\eta_{2} L \left\|s_{k}\right\|}{\eta_{1}}.$$
 ......(37)

But, because of the assumption  $\|s_k\| \Rightarrow 0$ , the second summand in (37) tends to zero, so there exists a number  $0 < \delta \le 1$ , such that:

$$\frac{1}{\eta_{1}} \eta_{2} L \| s_{k} \| \leq \delta \| g_{k+1} \|^{2}. \tag{38}$$

Now, (37) becomes:

i.e.

$$g_{k+1}^T d_{k+1}^{HYY} \le -(1-\delta) \|g_{k+1}\|^2 < 0.$$
 ....... (40)

On the other hand, for  $\theta_k = 1$ , the relation (30) becomes:

$$g_{k+1}^T d_{k+1}^{HBA} = g_{k+1}^T d_{k+1}^{HYG}$$
 ....... (41)

But, under the Wolfe line search, HYG method satisfies the descent condition [6].

Now, let  $0 < \vartheta_{\nu} < 1$  and from (30), we get:

$$g_{k+1}^{T} d_{k+1}^{HBA} \leq (1 - \theta_{k}) g_{k+1}^{T} d_{k+1}^{HYY} + \theta_{k} g_{k+1}^{T} d_{k+1}^{HYG}. \qquad (42)$$

We obviously can conclude now:

$$g_{k+1}^T d_{k+1}^{HBA} \le 0.$$
 ....... (43)

Next, we show the convergence of the HBA method.

## Theorem 3.

Consider the iterative method, defined by algorithm **HBA**. Let all conditions of Theorem 2. hold. Then either  $g_{k+1} = 0$ , for some k + 1, or

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \inf \|g_{k+1}\|^2 = 0.$$
 (44)

**Proof:** 

Let  $g_{k+1} \neq 0$  for all k. Then, we are going to prove (44). Suppose, on the contrary, that (44) doesn't hold. Then there exists a constant c > 0, such that:

$$g_{k+1} \ge c$$
,  $\forall k$ . ....... (45)

From (17), we get:

$$\left\| d_{k+1}^{HBA} \right\| \le \left\| d_{k+1}^{HYY} \right\| + \left\| d_{k+1}^{HYG} \right\|. \tag{46}$$



Next, it holds:

$$\left\| d_{k+1}^{HYG} \right\| \le \left\| g_{k+1} \right\| + \left| \beta_{k}^{HYG} \right| \left\| s_{k} \right\|. \tag{47}$$

**Further** 

$$\left\| d_{k+1}^{HYG} \right\| \leq \Gamma + \frac{\eta_2}{\eta_1} .$$
 ...... (48)

Also,

$$\left\| d_{k+1}^{HYY} \right\| \le \left\| g_{k+1} \right\| + \left| \beta_{k}^{HYY} \right| \left\| s_{k} \right\|. \tag{49}$$

It holds

$$\left\| d_{k+1}^{HYY} \right\| \le \Gamma + \frac{\Gamma L}{\eta_{\perp}}. \tag{50}$$

So, using (48), (50) and (46) we get:

$$\left\| d_{k+1}^{HBA} \right\| \le 2\Gamma + \frac{\Gamma L}{\eta_1} + \frac{\eta_2}{\eta_1}.$$
 ...... (51)

But, now we can get:

$$\frac{\left\|g_{k+1}\right\|^{4}}{\left\|d_{k+1}\right\|^{2}} \ge \frac{c^{4}}{\left[2\Gamma + \frac{\Gamma L}{\eta_{1}} + \frac{\eta_{2}}{\eta_{1}}\right]^{2}}.$$
......(52)

Wherefrom

$$\sum_{k\geq 1}^{\infty} \frac{\left\| g_{k+1} \right\|^4}{\left\| d_{k+1} \right\|^2} = \infty . \tag{53}$$

Using **Lemma 1**, we conclude that this is a contradiction. So, we finish the proof.

#### NUMERICAL RESULTS

In this section we present the computational performance of a Fortran implementation of the CG algorithm on a set of 15 unconstrained optimization test problems. The test problems are the unconstrained problems in the CUTE library, along with other large-scale optimization problems presented in [1].

All algorithms implement the Wolfe line search conditions (4)-(5) with  $\delta_1 = 0.001$  and  $\delta_2 = 0.9$ , and the same stopping criterion  $\|g_{k+1}\| \le 10^{-6}$ .

Tables 1 list numerical results. The meaning of each column is as follows : NI : number of iterations. NF : number of function evaluations.

So, the limited numerical experiments (Table 1) indicate that the algorithm HBA is potentially efficient.

## **CONCLUSION**

In this paper, we propose a new hybrid conjugate gradient method known as **HBA**. This method possessed good performance when compared to other classical CG. Based on the theoretical proof and the numerical result in table 1, it is shown that this **HBA** converges globally.

Table 1: Comparison of different CG-algorithms with different test functions and different dimensions

		FR algorithm		HBA algorithm		HBA wit	0.5		
	P. No.	n	NI	NF	NI	NF	NI	NF	
1	100	19	35	19	35	18		34	
	1000	38	65	36	66	38		65	
2	100	43	88	40	84	41		87	
	1000	46	92	40	92	40		89	
3	100	32	64	13	25	13		25	
	1000	77	129	16	30	14		28	
4	100	180	313	72	137	69		133	



International Journal of Enhanced Research in Science, Technology & Engineering ISSN: 2319-7463. Vol. 6 Issue 10. October-2017. Impact Factor: 4.059

	1000	$\mathbf{F}$	${f F}$	81	154	65	124
5	100	124	231	51	90	78	146
	1000	445	711	183	317	172	309
6	100	71	110	29	56	31	58
	1000	47	84	31	62	34	65
7	100	101	217	85	206	87	210
	1000	101	214	87	207	85	203
8	100	32	65	26	57	28	60
	1000	53	116	37	88	34	84
9	100	40	65	34	53	43	67
	1000	43	68	41	67	$\mathbf{F}$	$\mathbf{F}$
10	100	398	605	414	657	351	555
	1000	$\mathbf{F}$	$\mathbf{F}$	$\mathbf{F}$	$\mathbf{F}$	F	F
11	100	121	218	90	142	77	125
	1000	345	634	266	423	245	383
12	100	74	123	84	135	80	128
	1000	370	616	259	430	239	401
13	100	9	18	8	16	8	16
	1000	12	82	9	20	7	15
14	100	23	45	18	33	20	36
	1000	27	55	19	44	21	46
15	100	25	43	23	46	22	44
	1000	46	<b>741</b>	44	564	35	367
Total		2723	5391	2033	4115	1930	3779

Fail: The algorithm fail to converge.

Problems numbers indicant for: 1. is the Trigonometric, 2. is the Extended White & Holst, 3. is the Extended Tridiagonal 1, 4. is the Extended Powell, 5. is the Quadratic Diagonal Perturbed, 6. is the Extended Wood, 7. is the Extended Hiebert, 8. is the Extended Quadratic Penalty, 9. is the Extended Tridiagonal 2, 10. is the TRIDIA (CUTE), 11. is the DIXMAANE (CUTE), 12. is the Partial Perturbed Quadratic, 13. is the ARWHEAD (CUTE), 14. is the LIARWHD (CUTE), 15. is the Generalized Tridiagonal 1.

#### **REFERENCES**

- [1]. Andrei N. (2008), An Unconstrained Optimization test function collection. Adv. Model. Optimization. 10. pp.147-161.
- [2]. Dai Y. H. and Yuan Y., (1999). A nonlinear conjugate gradient method with a strong global convergence property, SIAM J. optimization, pp. 177-182.
- [3]. Fletcher R. and Reeves C. M., (1964). Funtion minimization by conjagate gradients, Computer Journal 7, pp. 149-154.
- [4]. Fletcher R., (1989). Practical Method of Optimization (2nd Edition), John Wiley and Sons, New York .
- [5]. Hestenes M. R. and Stiefel E., (1952). Methods of conjugate gradients for solving linear systems, Journal of Research of National Burean of Standard, 49, pp. 409-436.
- [6]. Hideaki I., and Yasushi N., (2011)," Conjugate gradient methods using value of objective function for unconstrained optimization Optimization Letters, V.6, Issue 5, pp. 941-955.
- [7]. Hager W.W. and Zhang H., (2006),'A survey of nonlinear conjugate gradient methods, Pacific journal of Optimization, 2, pp.35–58.
- [8]. Polak E. and Ribiere G., (1969). Note sur la Convergence de Directions Conjugate, Revue Française Informant, Reserche. Opertionelle16, pp. 35-43.
- [9]. Snezana S. D., (2017), New hybrid conjugate gradient method as a convex combination of LS and CD methods, Filomat ,6 ,pp. 1813–1825.
- [10]. Liu Y. and Storey C. (1991) 'Efficient generalized conjugate gradients algorithms' Part 1: Theory. J. Optimization Theory and Applications, 69, pp. 129-137.