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#### Abstract

Axiomatic structures have been studied by various mathematicians, such as [1] and [2]. The formation of axiomatization of a commonly known kind of algebraic structure such as groups have steadily established. The consequences of basic terminologies are identified in the field of group theory.
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## INTRODUCTION

In this paper we consider group in the signature of addition and multiplication structures, i.e. $(0,1 ;+,$.$) and ( 0,1 ;-$, . ), where 0 and 1 are the constant symbols," $-"$ is the unary function symbol and " + "and $" .4$ are binary functions.
To achieve our objectives, we started with some basic principles enabling us to progress through the whole of our process in this research.

## 1. BASICS

These basic definitions and properties are given in [1], [2], [3] and [4].
Definition 1.1: A signature $\sigma$ is a system (3-tuples ), ( $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}, \mathrm{R}, \sigma$ ), which consists of a set C of constant symbols, a set F of function symbols a set R of relation symbols and a signature symbol $\sigma: F \cup R \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \backslash\{0\}$.

Example 1.1

$$
<R ;+, ., \ldots, \geq ; 0,1>
$$

$R \equiv$ Function symbol
,.,$+-\equiv$ Arity 2
$\geq \equiv$ Relation symbol
$0,1 \equiv$ Constant symbol
Definition 1.2: A sign structure $\mathcal{M}$ is a quadruple ( $\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}, \mathrm{R}$ ) which consists of, $(\mathbb{C}: c \in \mathbb{C}), F=(f \in F)$ and $R: R \in \mathbb{R}$ ), where $f \in F$ and R is a $\sigma(R)$ - arity relation is M .

Example 1.2: Consider $\sigma=(0,1 ;+; \quad ;<)$, where $\sigma(+)=\sigma()=.\sigma(<)=2$. Then $(R ; 0,1 ;+, . ;<)$ is an ordered ring structure.

Take $\sigma=<., 1,(-1)^{-1}>$ is a $\sigma-$ structure for a group.
Definition 1.3: A homomorphism of $\sigma-$ structure from $\mathcal{A}$ to $\mathcal{B}$ is a Relation $\theta: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ such that

1. for all relation symbols R of $\sigma$, if $\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right) \in R^{\mathcal{A}}$ then $\theta\left(a_{1}\right), \ldots, \theta\left(a_{n}\right) \in R^{\mathcal{B}}$.
2. For all function relation symbols of $\sigma$.

$$
\theta\left(f^{\mathcal{A}}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)=f^{\mathcal{B}}\left(\theta\left(f^{\mathcal{A}}\left(a_{1}\right), \ldots, \theta\left(a_{n}\right)\right)\right.\right.
$$

3. For each constant symbol C of $\sigma,\left(c^{\mathcal{A}}\right)=c^{\mathcal{B}}$

An embedding is a homomorphism which is injective and for all relation symbols $R$, $\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right) \in R^{\mathcal{A}}$ if and only if $\theta\left(a_{1}\right), \ldots, \theta\left(a_{n}\right) \in R^{\mathcal{B}}$. An isomorphism of $\sigma-$ structure is a homomorphism $\theta: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ if there is $\varphi: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ with $\theta . \varphi$ the identity on $\mathcal{B}$. ie, $\varphi . \theta$ is the identity.

Lemma 1.1: $\quad$ Every isomorphism of $\sigma-$ structure is an embedding.
Proof : Suppose $\theta: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is an isomorphism and R is a relation symbol of $\sigma$.
Suppose $\bar{a}=\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right) \in A$, and $(\mathcal{A}) \in R^{\mathcal{B}}$. Then writing $\theta^{-1}$ for inverse of $\theta$, we have $\theta^{-1}(\theta(\mathcal{A})) \in R^{\mathcal{A}}$. So $\bar{a} \in R^{\mathcal{A}}$. Therefore $\theta$ is an embedding.

Example 1.3: There is an inclusion map $<Q,+, .,-, 0,1, \leq>\rightarrow<\mathbb{R},+, .,-, 0, \leq>$.

## 2. PRODUCTS AND EXPANSIONS

The structures $<Z ;+>$ and $<Z ;+$, . $>$ are different as they have different signatures.
We say that $<Z ;+>$ is a reduct of $<Z ;+, .>$. Also $<Z,+,$.$\rangle is an expansion.$

## Products and Ultra products

Definition 2.1: Let I be a non-empty set and $\left(\mathcal{M}_{i}\right)_{\text {iEI }}$ be a family of a structures. The product $\mathcal{M}=\pi_{i \in I} \mathcal{M}_{i}$ is $\sigma-$ structure defined by:

1. $\quad$ The domain of $\mathcal{M}$ is a set of functions $\alpha=I \rightarrow U_{i \in I} \mathcal{M}_{i}$ st $\alpha(i) \in \mathcal{M}_{i}, i \in I$

$$
(\alpha(i))_{i \in I}=\alpha
$$

2. For each constant symbol $c$ of $\sigma C^{\mathcal{M}}=\left(C^{\mathcal{M}_{i}}\right)_{i \in I}$
3. For $f, f^{n}$ symbol, anity n and $\bar{a} \in \mathcal{M}^{n}, f^{\mathcal{M}}(\bar{a})=f^{\mathcal{M} i}(\bar{a}(i))$, where $i \in \mathrm{I}$
4. For $R$ relation symbol anity n and $\bar{a}=\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right) \in \mathcal{M}^{n}$

$$
\bar{a} \in R^{\mathcal{M}} \Leftrightarrow \bar{a}(i) \in R^{\mathcal{M} i} \in R^{\mathcal{M} i}, \forall i \in I
$$

Example 2.1:
Consider a family $\left(G_{i}\right) i \in I$ of abelian groups. $\left.\sigma=<+,-, 0\right\rangle$. Let $\mathcal{G}=\Pi_{i \in I} G_{i}$, the product of abelian groups. For $g \in \mathcal{G}$ the support is defined by:
$\operatorname{Supp}(\mathrm{g})=\{i \in I=\mathcal{G}(i) \neq 0\}$.
Lemma 2.1: $\quad H=\{a \in \mathcal{G}=\operatorname{supp}(a)$ is finte $\}$.H is a subgroup of $\mathcal{G}$.
Proof: $\quad \operatorname{Supp}\left(O^{\mathcal{G}}\right)=\operatorname{supp}\left(O^{\mathcal{G}}\right) i \in I=\emptyset . O^{\mathcal{G}} \in H \Rightarrow O \in H . \operatorname{Supp}(-a)=\operatorname{supp}(a)$,
$\operatorname{supp}(a+b) \subseteq \operatorname{supp}(a) \cup \operatorname{supp}(b)$. If $a, b \in H$, then $-a$ and $a+b \in H$
Therefore $H$ is a subgroup of $\mathcal{G}$.
$H$ is called the direct sum of the $\mathcal{G}_{i}$, written $H=\oplus_{i \in I} \mathcal{G}_{i}$
As $\mathcal{G}$ is abelian, $H$ is a normal subgroup of $\mathcal{G}$.So the quotient $\mathcal{G} / H$ is a group.
An element of $\mathcal{G} / H$ is an equivalence class of elements of $\mathcal{G}$ under the equivalence relation $a \sim b$ if and only if $-b \in H$.
That means $a, b$ are the same class except possibly at finitely many indices.
Convention: If it does not confuse we use the same letter for a structure as its domain e.g $\mathcal{G}, G$ and $\mathcal{M}$ for $\mathcal{M}$. In $\mathcal{G} / H g_{1}+H=g_{2}+H$ if and only if $g_{1}-g_{2}$ is finite, if and only if $g_{1}(i)=g_{2}(i)$ except for finitely many indices.

## 3. FORMAL LANGUAGES AND THEIR INTERPRETATIUON

We want to see new to build a formal first order language $L(\sigma)$ and the signature $\sigma$ from a $\sigma_{-}$structure. We can use $L(\sigma)$ to describe $\sigma_{-}$structures .

Terms: We have an infinite supply of variables. We use letters $x, y, z, x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots$ etc to represent variables. The set of terms of $L(\sigma)$ is defined recursively:
i. Every variable is a term.
ii. Every constant symbol of $\sigma$ is a term.
iii. If f is a function symbol of $\sigma$, of arity n , and $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}$ is a term, then $\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{t}_{1} \ldots \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ is a Term.
iv. Only things build from i,...,iii is finitely many steps are terms .

Example 3.1: $\quad \sigma=<s \quad, f, \quad c>$

Function $\quad f^{\mathrm{n}}$ symb. $2 \quad$ Constant
system . 1 symbol
$c, x, s(c), f(x, c), f(f(s, c), s(c) x$ are terms.
Note: often we use a binary function symbols like + , . and write $t_{1}+t_{2}$ instead of $+\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)$ Similarly we write $x^{-1}$ instead of $i(x)$.
Terms are just strings of symbols without meaning. Note that terms of $L(\sigma)$ are called $\sigma_{-}$terms or $L_{-}$terms or, $(\sigma)$ _terms.

## Interpretation of Terms

$\sigma_{-} t e r m s$ can be interpreted as functions in $\sigma_{-}$structures .
Example 3.2: $\quad R=<R ;+, .,-0,1>$
The term $[((x . x)+x)+1]$ can be interpreted as the polynomial functions $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$
$x \rightarrow x^{2}+x+1$
Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a $\sigma_{-}$Structure , to $\sigma_{-}$term $t(\bar{x})$ suing variable from $\bar{x}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$, we associate a function $t^{\mathcal{A}}: A^{n} \rightarrow A$ as follows
i. If $t=x_{i}$ then $t^{\mathcal{A}}(a)=a_{i}$.
ii. If $t=c$ then $t^{\mathcal{A}}=c^{\mathcal{A}}$.
iii. If $t=f\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)$ then $t^{\mathcal{A}}(\bar{x})$ is given by composition:

$$
t^{\mathcal{A}}(\bar{x})=f^{\mathcal{A}}\left(t^{\mathcal{A}}(\bar{x}), \ldots, t_{n}^{\mathcal{A}} \quad(\bar{x})\right)
$$

## Formulas

We now define $L(\sigma)$ - formulas ( $L$-formulas), which are interpreted as statements about the structure:
i. If $t_{1}$ and $t_{2}$ are $\sigma_{-}$terms, then $t_{1}=t_{2}$ is an $L(\sigma)$ formula.
ii. If $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}$ are $\sigma_{-}$terms and R is a relation symbol of arity n , then $R\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)$ is an $L(\sigma)$-formula.
iii. If $\emptyset, \psi$ are $L(\sigma)$-formulas, then $(\varnothing \wedge \psi)$ and $\rightharpoondown \emptyset$ are $L(\sigma)_{\text {_ formula }}$.
iv. If $\emptyset$ is an $L(\sigma)$ - formula and x is a variable, then $\exists x \emptyset$ as an $L(\sigma)$-formula .
v. Only something built from (i) - (iv) in finitely many steps is an $(\sigma)-$ formula.

Formulas from (i) and (ii) are called atomic formulas. Those built from (i), (ii) and (iii) are quantifiers - free formulas. We define the language $L(\sigma)$ to be the set of all $(\sigma)$ - formulas.
$L(\sigma)$ is called a first - order language because we only quantify over elements, not over a set of elements.
Theorem 3.1 Let $\sigma_{\text {ring }}=<+, . ;-, 0,1>$ be the structure of the ring of integers considered as a $\sigma_{\text {ring }}$ structure. Then every polynomial function in $\mathrm{Z}\left[\mathrm{x}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right]$ is the interpretation I Z of a $\sigma_{\text {ring }}$ term.

Proof $0,1,-1$ are polynomials. So is $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}$. If p and q are polynomials then $\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{q}$ is a polynomial. pq is also a polynomial.
Now 0,1 and -1 are the interpretations of the terms written in the same way.
Similarly variables $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}$ are terms.
Suppose p and q are polynomial functions and assume that there are terms $\tau$ and $\sigma$ such that $\tau=\mathrm{p}$ and $\sigma=\mathrm{q}$
Then the terms $(\tau+\sigma)$ and $\tau \sigma$ have interpretation in Z which are $\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{q}$ and p q respectively. By induction every polynomial is the interpretation in Z of a $\sigma_{\text {ring }}$ term.

Theorem 3.2: Let $M \vDash T s$ where $T s$ is the theory of the successor. Suppose $a, b \in M \backslash N$. Then there is an automorphism $\pi$ of M such that $\pi(\mathrm{a})=\mathrm{b}$.

Proof: Assume that $M=M_{1}$ for some $I$, as every symbol is isomorphic to $M_{i}$ for some i.

Since $a, b \in M \backslash N$, we have $a=(i, n)$ and $b=(j, m)$ for some $i, j \in I$ and some $n, m \in Z$. $x \sim y$ if and only if $x=s(y)$ for some $r \in Z$.
If $x \sim y$ define $\pi$ by $\pi(x)=x$ for every $x$ such that: $a \sim b$ and $\pi(i, r)=(i, r+m-n)$, and $\pi(j, r)=(i, r)$
Both cases show that $\pi(\mathrm{a})=\mathrm{b}$ and that $\pi$ is an automorphism.
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